OFFICE OF CAMPAIGN and POLITICAL FINANCE

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

Campaign Finance Activity by Mayoral Candidates in Massachusetts

2005

One Ashburton Place, Room 411 Boston, Massachusetts 02108 (617) 727-8352 (800) 462-OCPF

INTRODUCTION

This study examines campaign finance activity by candidates for mayor in municipal elections throughout the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in 2005. The Office of Campaign and Political Finance (OCPF) started issuing mayoral studies after the 1997 city elections, due primarily to the significant amount of campaign finance activity on the municipal level, especially in cities. OCPF devotes considerable attention to municipal races, both by providing guidance and workshops to candidates and working closely with local election officials to help ensure compliance with the campaign finance law.

OCPF is an independent state agency that administers Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 55, which provides for disclosure and regulation of campaign finance activity by candidates for state, county and municipal office. Mayoral candidates file disclosure reports with their local election officials (city clerks or election commissioners), with the exception of candidates in the cities of Boston, Springfield and Worcester, where information concerning candidates is filed directly with OCPF. OCPF responds to questions from local candidates and committees and also reviews any complaints received regarding campaign finance activity on the municipal level.

The information contained in this study is based on data compiled from campaign finance reports filed by 71 mayoral candidates in the 38 cities that held mayoral elections in 2005. The study is limited to those who were on the ballot in the November elections in the cities (or mounted write-in campaigns) and does not include those who were eliminated in preliminary elections.

The totals for most of the finalists listed here include activity for all of 2005. Activity for some first-time candidates, however, started only after the commencement of their campaigns later in 2005.

Most mayoral candidates and their committees are required to file reports directly with their local election officials up to three times during an election year. If a preliminary election is held in the city, the first report is due eight days before that election. The second report is due eight days before the general election (in 2005, that due date was Oct. 31). All candidates and committees were required to file year-end reports on Jan. 20, 2006, disclosing activity through Dec. 31, 2005. The filing location and schedule differ for mayoral candidates in Boston, Cambridge, Lowell, Springfield and Worcester. The financial institutions for these candidates file reports directly with OCPF once a month and then twice monthly in the last six months of an election year. These candidates were also required to file a year-end summary report with OCPF on Jan. 20. All candidates are required to disclose on their reports their account balances at the beginning of each reporting period, receipts and expenditures for the reporting period, in-kind contributions for the reporting period and all liabilities.

Some of the expenditures that are included in the totals contained in this report, especially those made by incumbents, may not have been directly related to campaigning. For example, candidates may legally use campaign funds for purposes such as constituent services, charitable contributions, transportation and other activity that is for a political or official purpose. A mayor

who is unopposed for re-election, for example, may still make significant expenditures, though they may be related more to his or her incumbency than to election activity.

The campaign finance law allows a candidate to have only one political committee while running for state or local office, regardless of how many offices he or she may seek or hold. Some mayoral candidates in this report also held another elected office, such as city councilor, and figures from their committees may also include activity related to that office.

OCPF has taken steps to ensure that the information contained in this report is accurate as of the time of its compilation. Nevertheless, the original information used for this report may not necessarily reflect amendments filed after the spring of 2006. In addition, the information provided by candidates and committees may have contained some mathematical errors and balance inconsistencies.

This report was compiled and written by Denis Kennedy, OCPF's Director of Public Information, based on information gathered from local election officials. Once again, OCPF acknowledges its debt to those municipal officials for their cooperation in preparing this report. Those wishing further information on this report or any other facet of the Massachusetts campaign finance law may contact the Office of Campaign and Political Finance, McCormack Building, One Ashburton Place, Room 411, Boston, MA 02108, or call (617) 727-8352 or (800) 462-OCPF. The office's e-mail address is ocpf@cpf.state.ma.us. This mayoral study, as well as those from previous years, may be found on OCPF's website, at www.mass.gov/ocpf.

May 2006

FINDINGS

Voters in 38 of the 46 cities in Massachusetts went to the polls to elect their mayors in November 2005. Twenty-nine of those 38 mayoral finals were contested, i.e., featuring at least two candidates. Of those contested races, 24 featured an incumbent running for re-election and 4 featured a contest for an open seat.¹ (The final race was contested, but its incumbent had lost in the preliminary election in September.)

A total of 71 candidates were before the voters in November, including 33 incumbents, nine of whom were unopposed for re-election. Of the 24 opposed incumbents, 19 were re-elected and five were defeated (in Chicopee, Everett, Marlborough, New Bedford and Woburn).

The mayors who won election in November 2005 were therefore 28 returning incumbents and 10 newcomers: 6 who had defeated incumbents (one in the preliminary) and four who had captured open seats.

The candidates' campaign finance activity in brief:

- The 71 mayoral candidates raised a total of \$5,778,781 and spent a total of \$6,209,404 in 2005, according to their reports. (The larger expenditure figure is due to the fact that many candidates already had funds on hand at the start of the year.) Both figures are new aggregate records, surpassing the previous highs of \$4.5 million and \$5.9 million, respectively, which were both posted in 2001. The earlier records were set by 76 candidates, five more than are contained in the current study.²
- The median amount of fundraising by mayoral candidates in 2005 was \$30,021, while the spending median was \$27,621. (A median represents the exact midpoint of all of 71 totals: half were higher and half were lower.³) The corresponding medians in 2003 were \$31,586 and \$27,672, respectively. The fundraising median in recent mayoral studies has been consistently between \$31,000 and \$33,000. The spending median has fluctuated from a low of \$23,388 in 1999 to a high of \$33,040 two years later.

¹ The mayoral race was not on the ballot in five other cities: Malden, Revere, Waltham and Weymouth, where the mayoral terms are four years and expire at the end of 2007, and Greenfield, which elects its mayor every three years and was slated to hold its election in the spring of 2006. The mayors of two other cities, Cambridge and Lowell, are elected as city councilors and chosen by their fellow members to serve as mayor. Because those candidates never appear on the ballot for mayor, they are not included in this study. (By contrast, the mayor of Worcester is a city councilor-at-large but is also elected by voters separately as mayor. That race is included in this report.) In addition to the 45 cities headed by mayors, one other city, Chelsea, does not elect a mayor.

² Two candidates for mayor did not file the required year-end report with their city clerks. Both candidates, Richard Goyette of Chicopee and David Ragucci of Everett, were incumbents in 2005; both lost their races for re-election. Goyette dropped out of the race in earlier November after he was arrested on federal bribery charges. Ragucci did not file but has not been referred to OCPF as a non-filer by the city clerk. The figures for both candidates in this study are from the other reports they filed covering the period through Oct. 21.

³ In this case, a median is a more accurate figure than an average because a handful of candidates with significant activity would skew the average of a base of this size. That was especially true in 2005, when the presence of Boston in the list meant a substantial increase in total activity. (For example, Boston accounted for 38 percent of the spending for the year.) The average fundraising and spending figures for the 71 candidates were much higher than the medians: \$81,391 and \$87,456.

- Fourteen mayoral candidates raised more than \$100,000 in 2005; the same number spent more than \$100,000. All but one candidate were on both lists, so 13 candidates hit six figures in both categories. Two years before, eight mayoral candidates raised more than \$100,000 and 10 crossed that threshold in spending.
- Candidates spent more than \$100,000 in 13 cities, most of which featured competitive races. The total represented a drop of one city from 2003. The race with the highest spending was in Boston, where the two finalists reported total expenditures of \$2,361,830. That figure is the highest ever recorded for a single city in an OCPF study, surpassing the \$1.7 million record set by the same city in 2001. Boston's total was more than four times the amount posted in the second place city, New Bedford. Fall River, Salem and Springfield rounded out the top five spending list. Springfield was number one in 2003, with spending of just over \$514,000.
- The top fundraiser among mayoral candidates in 2005 was Thomas Menino of Boston, who raised \$644,270 as he successfully sought re-election. While Menino has topped the fundraising list each of his election years, his 2005 receipts fell short of his 2001 record by more than \$200,000. The 2003 top fundraiser was Joseph Curtatone of Somerville, who raised \$262,218 in a successful campaign to unseat an incumbent.
- Mayor Menino also topped the spending list with disbursements of just under \$1.7 million. That figure is a new record for an OCPF study, eclipsing Menino's \$1.6 million from the last time he ran in 2001. The mayoral candidate who spent the most money in 2003 was Edward Lambert of Fall River, who reported expenditures of \$238,969 in his successful bid for another two-year term. The top mayoral fundraiser has also been the top spender in each of the five studies of mayoral activity issued by OCPF, with one exception: Somerville's Curtatone, the top fundraiser in 2003, ranked third in spending. Otherwise, Menino and Lambert have alternated at the top of the lists.
- The average amount spent per vote by a candidate in 2005 was \$14.18, an increase of 29 percent over two years before and the highest such figure ever noted in an OCPF mayoral study.
- The candidate who spent the higher amount won in 21 of the 29 contested races in 2005, or 72 percent of the time. That percentage was four points higher than 2003, when 21 of 31 races went to the top spender. Seventeen of last year's top spenders were incumbents.
- Comparisons to figures from other years are difficult, due to such factors as turnover of candidates and fact that not all cities hold mayoral elections every two years. A significant factor in any study of mayoral spending is whether Boston is included. The largest city in the Commonwealth, Boston elects its mayor every four years and has generated the greatest amount of campaign finance activity by far each time it is included in this study. Conversely, studies reflecting the years Boston does not have an election have usually featured lower totals in such categories as total fundraising and spending; (In fact, the amount of the increase in total spending for 2005 from two years before is

almost exactly comparable to the total recorded in Boston, which was not on the list in 2003.) Boston's Thomas Menino has been the top fundraiser and spender in each of the three OCPF studies in which he has been included, in 1997, 2001 and, now, 2005. In fact, as noted above, Menino's spending in 2005 set a new record for an OCPF study.

• Incumbents and winning candidates once again posted higher medians than no-incumbents and unsuccessful candidates, respectively, in 2005. Contests for open mayoral seats did not see as much activity as in prior years: medians for candidate seeking those seats were lower than the medians for all candidates.

See the table on the next page for totals for mayoral candidates since 1997.

Campaign Finance Activity by Mayoral Candidates in Municipal General Elections 1997-2005

		Rece	ipts	Expenditures			
Year	Number of candidates	Total	Median	Total	Median	Average spent per vote	
1997	66	\$3,708,975	\$28,157	\$3,835,055	\$27,127	\$6.63	
1999	69	\$3,198,736	\$32,289	\$3,284,268	\$23,388	\$7.44	
2001	76	\$4,546,947	\$32,644	\$5,852,880	\$33,040	\$11.70	
2003	70	\$3,587,911	\$31,586	\$3,949,051	\$27,672	\$11.03	
2005	71	\$5,778,781	\$30,021	\$6,209,404	\$27,621	\$14.18	

Data are for candidates in the general election only and does not include those who were eliminated in a preliminary election. The number of cities holding mayoral elections in each year was 38, with the exception of 1997, when there were 37.

The findings in more detail:

Most Active Races

Once again, the largest cities in the Commonwealth topped the list of those with the highest mayoral spending. The greatest activity was once again in Boston, the state's largest city, where incumbent Thomas Menino and Councilor Maura Hennigan spent a total of \$2,361,830, the highest amount ever recorded in an OCPF study of mayoral activity. The previous record was also set in Boston at its last mayoral election four years ago, when Menino and his challenger, Peggy Davis-Mullen, together spent just over \$1.7 million. (Menino accounted for all but \$107,000 of that amount. The breakdown was less lopsided in 2005, as Menino spent \$1.7 million and Hennigan spent almost \$700,000, for a 72 to 28 percent split.)

Mayoral Races with the Highest Total Spending by Finalists 2005

	City	Total Expenditures
1)	Boston	\$2,361,830
2)	New Bedford	\$513,345
3)	Fall River	\$412,928
4)	Salem	\$276,538
5)	Springfield	\$228,405
6)	Lawrence	\$217,386
7)	Worcester	\$212,084
8)	Somerville	\$199,723
9)	Newton	\$183,586
10)	Everett	\$178,786

Nine of the races on the top ten spending list were contested. In six, the incumbents were reelected. In two others (New Bedford, Everett), the incumbents lost in November, while in another (Salem) the incumbent lost in the September preliminary. The final city in the top ten, Somerville, featured an unopposed incumbent.

Thirteen cities in all saw combined spending of more than \$100,000 by finalists, a decrease of one from 2003. In addition to the 10 listed above, the three other cities were Brockton (\$167,229), Peabody (\$143,330) and Quincy (\$125,545). The incumbent mayor was unopposed in Quincy.

Top Fundraisers

Mayor Thomas Menino of Boston was the top mayoral fundraiser in 2005, as he has been each of the other two times he has appeared in this study (1997 and 2001). Menino's \$1.3 million figure in 2005 is a new record for a mayoral candidate in an OCPF study, far exceeding the previous high of \$880,714 the last time he ran in 2001. Menino's 2005 opponent, City Councilor Maura Hennigan, placed second in total spending. In all, the top ten fundraisers for 2005 included seven incumbents, six of whom were re-elected, and three non-incumbents, two of whom won their elections. One of the latter group was running for an open seat.

Top Mayoral Campaign Fundraisers 2005

	Candidate	City	Receipts	Won/Lost
1)	Thomas Menino (I)	Boston	\$1,306,545	W
2)	Maura Hennigan	Boston	\$644,896	L
3)	Timothy Murray (I)	Worcester	\$354,222	W
4)	Edward Lambert (I)	Fall River	\$310,113	W
5)	Frederick Kalisz (I)	New Bedford	\$259,934	L
6)	Scott Lang	New Bedford	\$213,679	W
7)	Joseph Curtatone (I) (U)	Somerville	\$172,342	W
8)	Charles Ryan (I)	Springfield	\$156,455	W
9)	Michael Sullivan (I)	Lawrence	\$119,038	W
10)	James Harrington	Brockton (O)	\$114,244	W

⁽I) = Incumbent (U) = Unopposed (O) = Open seat

A total of four candidates reported raising no funds at all; all were defeated in November. For the second straight election, the opposed candidate who raised the least amount of money and won was Gerald St. Hilaire of Gardner, who raised \$700 in his successful campaign for a second term. As in 2003, Easthampton Mayor Michael Tautznik raised the least of any successful candidate, but he was unopposed again in 2005. Tautznik raised even less than the \$19 he amassed two years before: his total receipts in 2005 were \$6.62.

Fundraising totals include contributions made by candidates to their own campaigns, as well as other receipts such as interest earnings.

Top Spenders

Mayor Menino of Boston was once again the leading spender among all mayoral candidates in 2005, setting a record with just under \$1.7 million in expenditures. As is the case with receipts, Menino has topped the list of spenders in each of the three years he has appeared in an OCPF study. Councilor Hennigan finished second in spending with \$663,000. Mayor Edward Lambert of Fall River was third. Lambert and Menino have alternated as top spenders in OCPF studies since the office first issued them after the 1997 election: Menino, who served a four year term, has been top spender each time he runs, while Lambert has topped the list the other years, when Menino is not on the ballot.

All but one of the top ten spenders also placed on the list of top fundraisers. Seven of the top spenders were incumbents, all but one of whom (Frederick Kalisz of New Bedford) won their elections. The man who defeated Kalisz, Scott Lang, was the only one of the three non-incumbents on the list to win election. In all, seven of the top ten spenders were winners in November.

Top Mayoral Campaign Spenders in 2005

	Last Name	City	Expenditures	Won/Lost
1)	Thomas Menino (I)	Boston	\$1,698,530	W
2)	Maura Hennigan	Boston	\$663,300	L
3)	Edward Lambert (I)	Fall River	\$380,330	W
4)	Frederick Kalisz (I)	New Bedford	\$302,252	L
5)	Scott Lang	New Bedford	\$211,093	W
6)	Joseph Curtatone (I) (U)	Somerville	\$199,723	W
7)	Timothy Murray (I)	Worcester	\$160,430	W
8)	Kevin Harvey	Salem	\$156,486	L
9)	Charles Ryan (I)	Springfield	\$143,947	W
10)	Michael Sullivan (I)	Lawrence	\$137,696	W

⁽I) = Incumbent (U) = Unopposed (O) = Open seat

The same four candidates who reported no expenditures in 2005 also reported no expenditures. All were unsuccessful at the polls. The winner who spent the least in a contested election was Gardner Mayor Gerald St. Hilaire, who reported expenditures of \$607. (His opponent, Dennis Venuto, reported no receipts or expenditures.)

Per-Vote Spending

The average mayoral candidate spent \$14.18 per vote in 2005, an increase of \$3.15, or 29 percent, from two years before and the highest figure ever recorded in an OCPF study.

The candidate spending the most per vote in 2005 was Frederick Kalisz of New Bedford, who spent \$39.76 per vote, or almost three times the average, in his unsuccessful attempt at reelection. Kalisz's mark is still short of the record, set by incumbent Edward Lambert of Fall River in 2003. Lambert spent \$41.87 per vote that year.

The top ten list of per-vote spenders includes seven incumbents, four of whom won re-election (one was unopposed). All three of the non-incumbents on the list lost in November, making for a total of six losing candidates.

Per-Vote Spending by Mayoral Candidates 2005

	Last Name	City	Amount	Won/Lost
1.	Frederick Kalisz (I)	New Bedford	\$39.76	L
2.	Kevin Harvey	Salem	\$36.66	L
3.	Edward Lambert (I)	Fall River	\$32.46	W
4.	Thomas Menino (I)	Boston	\$26.54	W
5.	Joseph Curtatone (I) (U)	Somerville	\$25.47	W
6.	David Ragucci (I)	Everett	\$23.34	L
7.	Michael Sullivan (I)	Lawrence	\$22.42	W
8.	Maura Hennigan	Boston	\$21.77	L
9.	Marcos Devers	Lawrence	\$20.64	L
10.	Richard Goyette (I)	Chicopee	\$18.78	L

⁽I) = Incumbent (U) = Unopposed

Twenty-nine of the 71 candidates spent more than \$10 per vote. The mayoral candidate who got the biggest bargain for his money in 2005 was Mayor St. Hilaire of Gardner, who spent 26 cents per vote (as noted above, his opponent reported no expenditures).

The highest per-vote totals by city (the total number of votes for all November candidates divided by their combined spending) were posted in many of the largest municipalities. Somerville saw the highest per-vote total of any city, but that title is deceptive: Mayor Joseph Curtatone set the figure by himself because he was unopposed for re-election. That was also the case in Quincy, which placed eighth.

Total Spent per Vote by All Mayoral Candidates by City 2005

	City	Number of candidates	Spent per Vote
1.	Somerville (U)	1	\$25.47
2.	Boston	2	\$25.00
3.	Salem	2	\$23.75
4.	New Bedford	2	\$22.06
5.	Lawrence	2	\$21.73
6.	Fall River	2	\$21.51
7.	Everett	2	\$18.85
8.	Quincy (U)	1	\$13.15
9.	Brockton (O)	2	\$12.58
10.	Newton	2	\$11.88

O = Open seat. U: Unopposed incumbent.

Top Spenders' Success

The candidate who spent the higher amount won in 21 of the 29 contested races in 2005, or 72 percent of the time. The comparable figure in 2003 was 21 of 31 contested races, for a success rate of 68 percent. Of the 21 winning top spenders, 17 were incumbents. The four non-incumbents who outspent their opponents and won were in Brockton, Chicopee, Methuen and Newburyport. All but Chicopee featured races for open mayoral seats (Chicopee Mayor Richard Goyette withdrew from the race a week before the election).

The eight candidates who outspent their opponents and lost were in Amesbury, Attleboro, Everett, Leominster, Marlborough, New Bedford, Salem and Woburn. Four of these losing top spenders were incumbents: in Everett, Marlborough, New Bedford and Woburn.

Winners

Once again, winning candidates as a whole raised and spent significantly more than those who lost in 2005. Winners raised and spent about twice as much, a percentage that is comparable to 2003 and 2001.

Fundraising and Spending by Winning and Losing Mayoral Candidates 2005

	Raised (% of total)	Spent (% of total)
Winners (38)		
Total	\$3,872,880 (67%)	\$4,194,453 (68%)
Median	\$43,418	\$38,751
Losers (33)		
Total	\$1,905,901 (33%)	\$2,014,951 (29%)
Median	\$23,560	\$22,825
Overall median	\$30,021	\$27,621

Opposed vs. Unopposed

Opposed candidates recorded significantly higher campaign finance activity than those who did not face an opponent in 2005. The 62 opposed candidates accounted for 87 percent of those running but raised and spent 92 percent of the totals. The results were the opposite for medians, where fundraising and spending by an unopposed candidate were slightly higher.

Fundraising and Spending in Contested/Uncontested Mayoral Races 2005

	Raised (% of total)	Spent (% of total)
Opposed (62)		
Total	\$5,333,308 (92%)	\$5,713,802 (92%)
Median	\$29,725.50	\$27,326.23
Unopposed (9)		
Total	\$445,473 (8%)	\$495,601 (8%)
Median	\$31,176	\$33,538
Overall median	\$30,021	\$27,621

Open seats

A total of four mayoral races in 2005 did not feature incumbents. Eight candidates were on the ballot for these seats in November. As a whole, the candidates for open seats raised \$317,311 and spent \$312,600, which accounted for 5 percent of total activity in both categories. The medians for fundraising and spending by these candidates were \$20,012 and \$19,071, respectively – both lower than the overall medians for all candidates.

Of the four races for open seats, the candidate who spent the higher amount won in three cities (in Brockton, Methuen and Newburyport, but not in Amesbury). The top fundraiser and spender among candidates for an open seat was City Councilor James Harrington of Brockton, who raised \$114,244 and spent \$92,527 in his successful run for mayor.

Incumbency

While incumbents constituted a minority of all candidates (46 percent), they accounted for almost two-thirds of total receipts and expenditures in 2005.

Mayoral Campaign Fundraising and Spending by Incumbency 2005

	Raised (% of total)	Spent (% of total)
Incumbents (33)		
Totals	\$3,706,542 (64%)	\$4,058,315 (65%)
Median	\$43,691	\$42,900
Non-Incumbents (38)		
Totals	\$2,072,239 (36%)	\$2,151,089 (35%)
Median	\$22,883	\$22,299
Overall median	\$30,021	\$27,621

The sitting mayor was the top spender in 21 of the 24 races that featured an opposed incumbent in the November 2005 election.⁴ The three mayors who were outspent were in Attleboro, Chicopee and Leominster; one of those mayors, Richard Goyette of Chicopee, lost his election, while the other two were re-elected. Of the 21 top-spending incumbents, all but four were re-elected. The unsuccessful mayors were David Ragucci of Everett, Dennis Hunt of Marlborough, Frederick Kalisz of New Bedford and John Curran of Woburn.

Starting and ending balances

The 71 mayoral candidates reported starting their 2005 campaigns with a total of \$1,780,647 on hand. Of that amount, most was held by the 33 incumbents running for re-election, who had \$1,550,048, or 87 percent. The candidate with the highest amount on hand was Mayor Menino of Boston, who started 2005 with \$594,573. That was more than four times the next largest amount, the \$145,585 held by Quincy Mayor William Phelan, and one third of the total funds held at the start of the year by all candidates.

The incumbents' share of the total starting amount has traditionally been high because many mayoral challengers have little or no funds at the start of their campaigns. None of the 17 candidates who reported starting their 2005 campaigns without any money was an incumbent. (Of that number, however, three were ultimately elected mayor: Thatcher Kezer of Amesbury, Scott Lang of New Bedford and John Moak of Newburyport).

Mayoral candidates reported finishing the 2005 election with a total of \$1,352,580 on hand. Of that total, \$1,198,276, or 89 percent, was held by those who were incumbents seeking reelection. Winners were more likely to have a year-end balance: 89 percent of the funds were held by those who won their elections. All of the November winners finished with some money in the bank; eight of the 33 losing candidates reported an ending balance of zero.

While Mayor Menino started the year with the most money, he did not finish the year at the top of the list. That distinction went to Mayor Timothy Murray of Worcester, who finished with \$226,766 after winning re-election. (In early 2006, Murray announced he was seeking the Democratic nomination for lieutenant governor.) Menino was second with \$202,588 on hand as of Dec. 31.

A table of candidates and their individual campaign finance totals follows.

⁴ One incumbent was defeated in a preliminary election in 2005: Stanley Usovicz of Salem. Usovicz was not included in this study, which only considers data pertaining to candidates in the final election. Usovicz was not the top fundraiser or spender in his races. Nevertheless, the fundraising and spending recorded by his campaign was not insubstantial: Usovicz raised \$100,260 and spent \$94,000 for the year.

Campaign Finance Activity by Finalists in the 2005 Mayoral Elections

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Agawam								
Michae	el E.T. Davidson				\$0.00	\$0.00	1,457	\$0.00
Richard	d A. Cohen	✓	✓		\$7,851.00	\$2,918.39	7,183	\$0.41
	City totals				\$7,851.00	\$2,918.39	8,640	\$0.34
Amesbury	/							
Thatch	er W. Kezer III		✓	\checkmark	\$10,646.75	\$10,120.89	2,912	\$3.48
Thoma	is lacobucci			✓	\$17,287.52	\$16,368.29	1,763	\$9.28
	City totals				\$27,934.27	\$26,489.18	4,675	\$5.67
Attleboro								
Kevin .	J. Dumas	✓	✓		\$17,870.02	\$15,703.57	5,132	\$3.06
Thoma	ıs M. Parker				\$23,560.00	\$22,824.73	2,116	\$10.79
	City totals				\$41,430.02	\$38,528.30	7,248	\$5.32
Beverly								
Patrick	Lucci				\$17,443.00	\$17,057.48	3,822	\$4.46
William	n F. Scanlon Jr.	\checkmark	✓		\$43,145.00	\$55,159.23	5,697	\$9.68
	City totals				\$60,588.00	\$72,216.71	9,519	\$7.59

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Boston								
Maura	Hennigan				\$644,896.18	\$663,299.69	30,468	\$21.77
Thomas N	M. Menino	✓	\checkmark		\$1,306,545.37	\$1,698,530.17	64,001	\$26.54
	City totals				\$1,951,441.55	\$2,361,829.86	94,469	\$25.00
Brockton								
James E.	Harrington		✓	\checkmark	\$114,244.14	\$92,527.45	7,438	\$12.44
Jass	Stewart			✓	\$73,654.98	\$74,701.70	5,857	\$12.75
	City totals				\$187,899.12	\$167,229.15	13,295	\$12.58
Chicopee								
Michael D). Bissonnette		✓		\$36,886.27	\$36,306.27	7,725	\$4.70
Richard R	t. Goyette	✓			\$26,050.00	\$27,620.55	1,471	\$18.78
	City totals				\$62,936.27	\$63,926.82	9,196	\$6.95
Easthampto	n							
Michael A	. Tautznik	✓	✓		\$6.62	\$939.70	2,828	\$0.33
	City totals				\$6.62	\$939.70	2,828	\$0.33
Everett								
David	Ragucci	✓			\$112,432.04	\$107,809.72	4,620	\$23.34
John	Hanlon		✓		\$82,971.64	\$70,976.11	4,867	\$14.58
	City totals				\$195,403.68	\$178,785.83	9,487	\$18.85

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Fall River								
Edward M	. Lambert Jr.	✓	✓		\$310,113.21	\$380,330.18	11,718	\$32.46
F. George	Jacome				\$30,021.00	\$32,597.50	7,479	\$4.36
	City totals				\$340,134.21	\$412,927.68	19,197	\$21.51
Fitchburg								
Dan H.	Mylott	✓	✓		\$15,904.00	\$11,286.90	3,421	\$3.30
Patrick	Magnan				\$0.00	\$0.00	346	\$0.00
Ronald	Dionne				\$653.05	\$653.05	119	\$5.49
	City totals				\$16,557.05	\$11,939.95	3,886	\$3.07
Gardner								
Dennis J.	Venuto				\$0.00	\$0.00	838	\$0.00
Gerald E.	St. Hilaire	✓	✓		\$700.00	\$607.32	2,336	\$0.26
	City totals				\$700.00	\$607.32	3,174	\$0.19
Gloucester								
Jeff	Worthley				\$20,642.00	\$21,021.75	3,319	\$6.33
John	Bell	✓	✓		\$45,991.58	\$42,900.26	4,806	\$8.93
	City totals				\$66,633.58	\$63,922.01	8,125	\$7.87
Haverhill								
James A.	Fiorentini	✓	✓		\$40,745.00	\$23,012.88	5,494	\$4.19
William	Slavit				\$80.00	\$80.00	1,270	\$0.06
	City totals				\$40,825.00	\$23,092.88	6,764	\$3.41

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Holyoke								
Mark A.	Lubold				\$29,175.33	\$28,857.66	3,067	\$9.41
Michael J.	Sullivan	✓	✓		\$62,959.00	\$65,083.21	6,225	\$10.46
	City totals				\$92,134.33	\$93,940.87	9,292	\$10.11
Lawrence								
Marcos A.	Devers				\$78,889.81	\$79,689.79	3,861	\$20.64
Michael J.	Sullivan	✓	✓		\$119,038.00	\$137,696.16	6,142	\$22.42
	City totals				\$197,927.81	\$217,385.95	10,003	\$21.73
Leominster								
Dean J.	Mazzarella	✓	✓		\$29,430.00	\$26,820.14	6,212	\$4.32
Peter A.	Angelini				\$52,888.07	\$52,835.02	3,360	\$15.72
	City totals				\$82,318.07	\$79,655.16	9,572	\$8.32
Lynn								
Edward J.	Clancy	✓	✓		\$46,533.51	\$41,195.93	6,681	\$6.17
	City totals				\$46,533.51	\$41,195.93	6,681	\$6.17
Marlborough	1							
Dennis C.	Hunt	✓			\$42,421.00	\$48,601.00	3,191	\$15.23
Nancy E.	Stevens		✓		\$19,285.00	\$19,265.07	3,658	\$5.27
	City totals				\$61,706.00	\$67,866.07	6,849	\$9.91

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Medford								
Michael .	J. McGlynn	✓	✓		\$43,691.06	\$47,725.59	7,195	\$6.63
	City totals				\$43,691.06	\$47,725.59	7,195	\$6.63
Melrose								
Robert	Dolan	✓	✓		\$17,435.00	\$33,537.52	3,825	\$8.77
	City totals				\$17,435.00	\$33,537.52	3,825	\$8.77
Methuen								
Ellen M.	Bahan			\checkmark	\$3,680.00	\$3,613.13	2,294	\$1.58
William N	I. Manzi III		✓	\checkmark	\$61,230.00	\$80,810.74	5,493	\$14.71
	City totals				\$64,910.00	\$84,423.87	7,787	\$10.84
New Bedfor	d							
Frederick	M.Kalisz Jr.	✓			\$259,933.94	\$302,252.06	7,601	\$39.76
Scott W.	Lang		✓		\$213,678.78	\$211,092.60	15,673	\$13.47
	City totals				\$473,612.72	\$513,344.66	23,274	\$22.06
Newburypo	rt							
Donna	Holaday			\checkmark	\$13,830.87	\$12,684.71	2,489	\$5.10
John F.	Moak		✓	✓	\$22,736.43	\$21,773.36	3,707	\$5.87
	City totals				\$36,567.30	\$34,458.07	6,196	\$5.56

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Newton								
David B.	Cohen	\checkmark	✓		\$83,577.00	\$104,733.76	9,134	\$11.47
Michael	Striar				\$78,852.27	\$78,852.27	6,318	\$12.48
	City totals				\$162,429.27	\$183,586.03	15,452	\$11.88
North Adam	S							
John	Barrett III	✓	✓		\$16,041.34	\$15,487.72	1,970	\$7.86
Walter L.	Smith Jr.				\$0.00	\$0.00	474	\$0.00
	City totals				\$16,041.34	\$15,487.72	2,444	\$6.34
Northampto	n							
Mary Clar	e Higgins	✓	✓		\$16,945.00	\$17,062.25	5,695	\$3.00
Richard J	. Feldman				\$12,629.00	\$11,967.11	3,560	\$3.36
	City totals				\$29,574.00	\$29,029.36	9,255	\$3.14
Peabody								
John	Slattery				\$48,429.99	\$54,914.05	6,811	\$8.06
Michael	Bonfanti	✓	✓		\$53,550.00	\$88,415.99	8,207	\$10.77
	City totals				\$101,979.99	\$143,330.04	15,018	\$9.54
Pittsfield								
Donna	Walto				\$857.93	\$582.93	2,779	\$0.21
James M.	Ruberto	✓	✓		\$52,035.90	\$22,834.04	7,095	\$3.22
	City totals				\$52,893.83	\$23,416.97	9,874	\$2.37

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Quincy								
William J.	Phelan	V	✓		\$109,688.74	\$125,544.95	9,545	\$13.15
	City totals				\$109,688.74	\$125,544.95	9,545	\$13.15
Salem								
Kevin	Harvey				\$103,216.18	\$156,485.81	4,269	\$36.66
Kimberley	Driscoll		✓		\$109,580.00	\$120,052.48	7,376	\$16.28
	City totals				\$212,796.18	\$276,538.29	11,645	\$23.75
Somerville								
Joseph A.	Curtatone	✓	✓		\$172,341.98	\$199,723.48	7,840	\$25.47
	City totals				\$172,341.98	\$199,723.48	7,840	\$25.47
Springfield								
Charles	Ryan	~	✓		\$156,455.00	\$143,947.43	14,164	\$10.16
Thomas M	1. Ashe				\$80,767.77	\$84,457.25	8,038	\$10.51
	City totals				\$237,222.77	\$228,404.68	22,202	\$10.29
Taunton								
Robert G.	Nunes	✓	✓		\$31,175.92	\$14,209.61	6,244	\$2.28
	City totals				\$31,175.92	\$14,209.61	6,244	\$2.28
West Spring	field							
Edward J.	Gibson	✓	✓		\$9,880.00	\$7,617.89	2,664	\$2.86
	City totals				\$9,880.00	\$7,617.89	2,664	\$2.86

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Westfield								
Richard	K. Sullivan Jr.	✓	✓		\$14,720.00	\$25,106.59	4,526	\$5.55
	City totals				\$14,720.00	\$25,106.59	4,526	\$5.55
Woburn								
John	Curran	✓			\$87,114.00	\$63,470.09	4,976	\$12.76
Thomas	McLaughlin		✓		\$23,030.00	\$22,966.46	5,857	\$3.92
	City totals				\$110,144.00	\$86,436.55	10,833	\$7.98
Worcester								
Juan A.	Gomez				\$27,140.00	\$27,031.90	1,504	\$17.97
Konstar	tina Lukes				\$7,900.00	\$13,323.58	5,352	\$2.49
Timothy	P. Murray	✓	✓		\$354,221.58	\$160,430.28	10,085	\$15.91
William	Coleman III				\$1,100.00	\$1,100.00	985	\$1.12
William	J. McCarthy				\$10,355.00	\$10,198.35	1,285	\$7.94
	City totals				\$400,716.58	\$212,084.11	19,211	\$11.04
	Totals	71 Cand	lidates	-	\$5,778,780.77	\$6,209,403.74	437,930	\$14.18
	Medians				\$30,021	\$27,621		