Campaign Finance Activity by Candidates for the Massachusetts General Court

1998



Commonwealth of Massachusetts
Office of Campaign and Political Finance
One Ashburton Place
Boston, MA 02108
(617) 727-8352 / (800) 462-OCPF

CONTENTS

Introduction	i
Overview	iii
I. The Senate	1
Appendix A – Aggregate Campaign Finance Activity by Senate Candidates 1990 - 98	13
Appendix B – Campaign Finance Activity in 1998 Senate Races	15
II. The House of Representatives	27
Appendix C - Aggregate Campaign Finance Activity by House Candidates 1990 - 98	37
Appendix D - Campaign Finance Activity in 1998 House	39

INTRODUCTION

This study examines campaign finance activity undertaken by candidates for the Massachusetts Senate and House of Representatives (known collectively as The General Court) in 1998. It is the fifth time the Office of Campaign and Political Finance has issued such a biennial study and the second time data for both chambers have been issued under the same cover.

The Office of Campaign and Political Finance (OCPF) is an independent state agency that administers Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 55, the Campaign Finance Law. The law provides for disclosure and regulation of campaign finance activity on the state, county and municipal levels. Candidates who report directly to OCPF include those running for state and county office and some citywide offices in Boston, Lowell, Springfield and Worcester, as well as state and local party committees, political action committees, people's committees and state ballot question committees. The reports filed by these candidates and committees are available for public inspection at OCPF's office at the McCormack State Office Building, One Ashburton Place, Boston.

The information contained in this legislative spending report is based on data for 1998 compiled from campaign finance reports filed by candidates and treasurers of political committees organized on behalf of candidates for the Massachusetts Senate and House. The campaign finance law defines a "candidate" as a person who takes steps to advance himself or herself for nomination or election to an office, whether through gathering signatures to place their names on the ballot or mounting a write-in campaign. In 1998, a total of 367 candidates sought legislative office and filed disclosure reports with OCPF: 71 running for the Senate and 296 running for the House.

Legislative candidates and their committees are required to file three campaign finance reports for an election year. The reports are due with OCPF eight days prior to the September primary election; eight days prior to the November election; and on January 20. Reports were due from the candidates in this study on Sept. 8 and Oct. 26, 1998, and Jan. 20, 1999. (Only one report is due for a non-election year and is filed on Jan. 20.)

Candidates and committee treasurers are required to disclose on those reports their account balances at the beginning of each reporting period; receipts and expenditures for the reporting period; in-kind contributions for the reporting period; and all liabilities.

The campaign finance law allows legislative candidates and committees to make expenditures for "the enhancement of the political future of the candidate," as long as the expenditure is not primarily for the personal use of a candidate or any other person. Some of the expenditures that are included in the totals contained in this report, especially expenditures by incumbents, may not have been directly related to campaigning. For example, candidates may use campaign funds for purposes such as constituent or legislative services, opening or maintaining a legislative district office, charitable

contributions, transportation and other activity that is for an identifiable political or governmental purpose.

In addition, some data may also reflect activity related to a municipal office also held or sought by some legislative candidates in 1998 (e.g., a city councilor or selectman running for the Senate or House). The campaign finance law does not allow the formation of more than one political committees by a candidate. A candidate holding or seeking elected positions on both the state and municipal levels has dual filing requirements with OCPF and his or her local election official, but the candidate's reports reflect activity by only one committee.

OCPF has taken steps to ensure that the information contained in this report is accurate as of the time of its compilation, the fall of 1999. This report takes into account many corrections, additions or deletions made by candidates as a result of any review conducted by OCPF or amendments filed by candidates or political committees. Nevertheless, the information used for this report may not necessarily reflect all amendments. In addition, the information provided by candidates and committees may include some mathematical errors and balance inconsistencies. Finally, comparative data are not adjusted for inflation.

This report was compiled and written by Denis Kennedy, OCPF's Director of Public Information, based on information gathered by the office staff. Anyone wishing further information on this report, individual legislative spending reports or any other facet of the Massachusetts campaign finance law may contact the Office of Campaign and Political Finance, McCormack Building, One Ashburton Place, Room 411, Boston, MA 02108, or call (617) 727-8352 or (800) 462-OCPF. This report and other data are also available on the office's web site, at www.state.ma.us/ocpf.

November 1999

OVERVIEW

The 1998 election saw a reversal of the steady decline in the number of legislative candidates throughout the last decade. The 367 candidates in 1998 marked an increase of almost 7 percent from the 343 who ran in 1996, which was the lowest number ever recorded in an OCPF study. Nonetheless, the number of candidates in 1998 was still well below the totals of the early 1990s and 141 less than the high of 507 registered in 1990, the first year OCPF issued its legislative campaign finance studies.

Of the 367 candidates for the General Court, 181, or 49 percent, were incumbent legislators and 186, or 51 percent, were non-incumbents. Of the incumbents, 104, or 57 percent, were unopposed, while the remaining 77 incumbents faced opposition in either the primary or general election or both. Seventy of those opposed incumbents, or 90 percent, were re-elected. Of the non-incumbents, 26 out of 186 were elected, for a success rate of 14 percent. Therefore, the composition of the Legislature seated in January 1999 was 174 re-elected incumbents and 26 newcomers. Five of the new lawmakers were senators, 21 were representatives.

The resurgence in the number of candidates over 1996 was a major factor in the increase in campaign finance activity. Specifically, increases were observed in several areas:

- Total raised and spent: Total receipts and expenditures in 1998 showed significant gains over two years before, especially in the House. Senate and House candidates combined raised a total of \$10,574,575 in 1998, which was 22 percent more than the 1996 aggregate figure. Total spending in 1998 was \$10,681,853, an increase of 29 percent from two years before. The 1996 aggregate figures were the lowest ever contained in OCPF studies, dating back to 1990. Both 1998 totals, however, are less than the record highs of \$12 million raised and \$12.3 million spent, both of which were recorded in 1992. In the individual chambers in 1998, total Senate fundraising rose 8 percent from two years before and spending rose 18 percent. Total receipts by House candidates rose 31 percent, while expenditures rose 36 percent over 1996.
- While total figures were up from 1996, the **average receipts and expenditures** for each chamber showed varying levels of change. The most striking changes were in the House, where the \$22,855 raised by the average candidate was an increase of 24 percent over 1996 and the average spent, \$23,229, was a 28 percent increase. (Many candidates had money on hand at the start of 1998, allowing them to spend more than they raised that year.) The two averages were the highest ever recorded by House candidates. The numbers were mixed in the Senate, where the average raised, \$53,656, was a drop of 4 percent from two years before and the average spent, \$53,606, was an increase of 5 percent. The averages were still several thousand dollars lower than the Senate highs recorded in 1994.

- The data also show a continuing advantage for certain **types of candidates**. As in past years, Democrats and incumbents showed significantly more campaign finance activity than their opponents. The top fundraisers and spenders also topped the ticket at the polls: in 1998, the candidate spending the most money won 83 of the 95 contested races, for a success rate of 88 percent. That was an increase of nine percentage points from 1996.
- The lists of the top ten **most active individual candidates** in terms of fundraising and spending was once again populated mostly by candidates for open seats and by incumbents. The least active candidates were non-incumbents looking to defeat incumbents, who were usually outspent and also defeated. Of the **types of races**, those for open seats usually saw the greatest amount of activity.

SECTION I: THE SENATE

The 1998 election for state Senate marked an upturn from 1996, in terms of both the number of candidates and total campaign finance activity. The 71 Senate candidates in 1998 represented an increase of 13 percent from 1996, which featured the lowest number of candidates since OCPF began issuing studies on legislative campaign finance activity.

The roster of candidates in 1998 included 66 who were listed on the primary ballot in September and/or the general election ballot in November and 5 who were not listed on either ballot but ran write-in or sticker campaigns.¹

Of the 40 Senate races, 22 featured unopposed candidates, all of whom were incumbents. The other 18 races featured 49 candidates, including 13 incumbents. Each of the 13 opposed incumbents won re-election, so all 35 incumbents were returned to office. The five new senators won open seats, meaning five out of 36 non-incumbent candidates were successful.

OVERVIEW OF CAMPAIGN FINANCE ACTIVITY FOR SENATE CANDIDATES 1996 & 1998

	1996	1998
Number of candidates	63	71
Total cash on hand at start	\$ 1,995,024	\$ 2,310,802
Total receipts	\$ 3,510,827	\$ 3,809,576
Total available to candidates	\$ 5,505,852	\$ 6,120,378
Total expenditures	\$ 3,211,808	\$ 3,806,032
Average receipts per candidate	\$ 55,727	\$ 53,656
Average expenditures per candidate	\$ 50,982	\$ 53,606

Fundraising and spending totals by Senate candidates both rose in 1998 from their 1996 levels. The \$3.8 million raised was an increase of 8 percent from two years before, while total expenditures, which were also about \$3.8 million, represented an increase of 18 percent.

¹ This report does not include campaign finance activity in the special election to fill the Suffolk and Norfolk District Senate seat in early 1998. The figures for the winner of that election, Brian Joyce, reflect activity after his election to the seat. Sen. Joyce is considered an incumbent for purposes of this report. Figures for the special election are available from OCPF.

The average amounts collected and spent by candidates in 1998, however, went in different directions from the 1996 figures. The \$53,656 collected by the average candidate in 1998 was a 4 percent drop from 1996. On the other hand, the \$53,606 spending average was an increase of 5 percent. Both averages have exceeded \$50,000 since 1992, peaking in 1994, when record averages of \$56,812 and \$59,352 were posted. (See Appendix A). 2

The greatest amount of campaign finance activity in 1998 once again was shown by groups that have traditionally been strong: incumbents, Democrats and those who ultimately won their races. As a whole, incumbents outspent non-incumbents almost two to one. Democrats outspent Republicans more than three to one. And in the 18 contested races, the top spender won all but two times. In average fundraising and spending figures, however, non-incumbents and Republicans showed the greatest rates of increase from 1996.

A breakdown and analysis of the totals for the 71 candidates follows. A breakdown of beginning balances, total receipts, total expenditures and ending balances for each candidate is contained in Appendix B after this section.

I. Winners vs. Losers

Winners almost always outspent their opponents in 1998, continuing a trend from recent years. The candidate who spent the most money won in 16 of the 18 races for contested seats, or 88 percent of the time. (The exceptions were in the 2nd Worcester District, where Rep. Guy Glodis won an open seat despite being outspent by Karyn Polito by almost \$91,000, and the Norfolk, Bristol and Plymouth District, where the winner, Rep. Jo Ann Sprague, was outspent by James Timilty by more than \$12,000.) The top spenders' winning percentage was down slightly from 1996, when the candidates who spent the most money won 93 percent of the time (14 of 15 seats).

As a group, the 40 successful candidates, including the 22 unopposed incumbents, outraised and outspent the 31 losing candidates more than three-to-one in 1998. (Some candidates were able to spend more than they raised due to existing balances with which they began the year.) The difference in funds raised is roughly the same it was in 1996, but the spending edge rose from a two-to-one margin in 1996.

The average amount spent by both winners and losers rose in 1998 over 1996: the average spending by those who were elected rose about 10 percent and the average spent by those who were not elected rose 16 percent. The average amount raised by an unsuccessful candidate also rose, by 23 percent, but the average raised by a winner dropped slightly, by 1 percent, in 1998.

_

² Expenditures do not include debts incurred that had not been paid at the end of 1998. Also, total receipts do not include in-kind contributions, which are things of value other than money. Senate candidates reported receiving \$39,647 in in-kind contributions in 1998, up about \$3,000 from 1996.

SENATE CANDIDATES' RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY SUCCESS OF CAMPAIGNS 1998

	Successful (40)	Unsuccessful (31)
Total Receipts	\$ 2,950,272	\$ 859,304
Average Receipts	\$ 73,757	\$ 27,719
Total Expenditures	\$ 2,904,662	\$ 901,370
Average Expenditures	\$ 72,617	\$ 29,076

II. Incumbents vs. Non-Incumbents

Incumbents continued to account for the bulk of campaign finance activity in 1998. The 35 incumbents on the ballot accounted for just under half of all candidates, but raised 68 percent and spent 65 percent of the total for the year. Incumbents as a group, both opposed and unopposed, therefore outspent and outraised non-incumbents about two to one.

SENATE CANDIDATES' RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY INCUMBENCY 1998

	Incumbents (35)	Non-Incumbents (36)
Total Receipts	\$ 2,588,670	\$ 1,220,905
Average Receipts	\$ 73,962	\$ 33,914
Total Expenditures	\$ 2,467,969	\$ 1,338,063
Average Expenditures	\$ 70,513	\$ 37,168

(For the purposes of this report, incumbents are defined as those individuals holding the office of senator at the time of the 1998 election.)

Both incumbents and non-incumbents saw their average expenditures rise in 1998, with non-incumbents showing a greater increase. The average incumbent spent 6 percent more than in 1996 and the average non-incumbent spent 18 percent more. On the fundraising side, the amount raised by the average incumbent dropped 4 percent from 1996, while amount raised by the average non-incumbent rose 19 percent.

III. Party Affiliation

An analysis of activity by party affiliation shows a continued dominance by Democrats, who accounted for the majority of the candidates and a majority of those who won. In 1998, the 49 Democrats (69 percent of the candidates) accounted for 79 percent of funds raised and 78 percent of those spent. The 20 Republican candidates (32 percent of the total) accounted for 21 percent of the fundraising and 22 percent of the spending, while the two independent candidates accounted for less than 1 percent in both categories. The Democratic advantage was also reflected in the average amounts raised and spent per candidate.

SENATE CANDIDATES' RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY PARTY AFFILIATION 1998

	Democrats (49)	Republicans (20)	Other (2)
Total receipts	\$ 3,003,304	\$ 804,641	\$ 1,631
Average receipts	\$ 61,292	\$ 40,232	\$ 815
Total expenditures	\$ 2,978,528	\$ 825,886	\$ 1,618
Average expenditures	\$ 60,786	\$ 41,294	\$ 809

^{* &}quot;Other" affiliations include Libertarian, unenrolled and other minor party affiliations.

Most of the average figures for Republicans and Democrats represented increases from 1996, with one exception: average receipts by Democrats dropped 10 percent from two years before. Spending by Democrats was up 4 percent. For Republicans, average receipts were up 12 percent and average spending was up 2 percent. The averages for independent candidates vary from election to election, due largely to the small size of the category, in which just one or two candidates can cause a significant change.

IV. Contested and Uncontested Races

Of the 40 races for Senate seats, 22 featured only one candidate, which in all cases was the incumbent. The remaining 18 were contested, featuring two or more candidates.³

Opposed candidates outnumbered unopposed candidates, both in number of candidates and aggregate campaign finance receipts and expenditures. However, opposed candidates on average raised and spent less than those who were unopposed for election.

³ Some studies of legislative races use other criteria in determining whether a race was "contested" or whether an incumbent was "opposed," looking at such factors as whether an opponent was a minor or fringe candidate or if the winner exceed a certain margin of victory. This study considered a race contested if there were two or more candidates for the seat, regardless of the outcome.

RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY SENATE CANDIDATES OPPOSED AND UNOPPOSED 1998

	Opposed (49)	Unopposed (22)
Total Receipts	\$ 2,333,509	\$ 1,476,066
Average receipts	\$ 47,623	\$ 67,094
Total Expenditures	\$ 2,489,344	\$ 1,316,688
Average Expenditures	\$ 50,803	\$ 59,849

CONTESTED SEATS

The 18 contested Senate races in 1998 can be grouped in two categories: contests for open seats being vacated by incumbents (5) and those featuring an incumbent facing opposition (13). Of the two types of races, those featuring open seats were the most active in terms of average amounts spent and raised. Both types of races, however, were exceeded by averages posted by unopposed incumbents.

In 1998, 16 candidates ran for five *open Senate seats* in the following districts:

District	Previous incumbent	Winner
2 nd Essex & Middlesex	John O'Brien (D)	Susan Tucker (D)
1 st Middlesex & Norfolk	Lois Pines (D)	Cynthia Creem (D)
Middlesex & Suffolk	Warren Tolman (D)	Steven Tolman (D)
Norfolk, Bristol and Plymouth	William Keating (D)	Jo Ann Sprague (R)
2 nd Worcester	Matthew Amorello (R)	Guy Glodis (D)

These 16 candidates raised a total of \$877,857, or \$54,857 per candidate, and spent \$996,201, or \$62,263 per candidate.

The five winners became new senators, but four held another elected office at the time of their election. Glodis, Sprague and Tolman were state representatives; Creem was a member of the Governor's Council. Tucker did not hold state office in 1998, but had been a state representative in the 1980s.

* * * * *

Thirteen seats saw *contested races featuring incumbents*, who won each time:

District	Candidates
Cape & Islands	Edward Lamperti, Henri Rauschenbach*
1 st Essex	Edward Clancy*, Robert Wofford
3 rd Essex	Everett Jabour, James Jajuga*, Ron Levesque
Hampden	Linda Melconian*, Alfred Warren
Middlesex & Worcester	Robert Durand*, William Monnie
4 th Middlesex	Robert Havern*, David McKenna
5 th Middlesex	Susan Fargo*, Thomas Healy
Norfolk, Bristol & Middlesex	Cheryl Jacques*, Robert Reardon
Plymouth & Barnstable	Therese Murray*, John Steven Pitney
Suffolk & Middlesex	Vincent Dixon, Ralph Lopez, Peter Sheinfeld, Robert
	Travaglini*
Suffolk & Norfolk	Alice Berryman-Delgardo, Maureen Feeney, Brian
	Joyce*
2 nd Suffolk	Rose Brayboy, Caleb Desrosiers, Dianne Wilkerson*,
	Frank Williams, Arthur Williams
Worcester and Middlesex	Robert Antonioni*, Sheryl Thibault

^{*} denotes winner

In these 13 contested races featuring the incumbent, the 33 candidates raised a total of \$1,455,798, or \$44,115 per candidate, and spent \$1,493,143, or \$45,247 per candidate.

UNCONTESTED SEATS

In 1998, 22 incumbents ran unopposed (a figure that was three less than in 1996). These candidates reported raising \$1,476,066, or \$67,094 per candidate, and spending \$1,316,688, or \$59,849 per candidate.

Historically, much of the expenditures by incumbents are not necessarily related directly to their election campaigns (such as bumper stickers or advertisements), but are geared toward constituent or legislative expenses. The campaign finance law allows campaign funds to be used for such expenditures as maintaining a district office, charitable contributions and official or political travel that is not otherwise paid for by the Commonwealth.

V. Starting Balances

Senate candidates reported having a total of \$2,310,802 on hand at the beginning of 1998. That is about \$316,000 more than was available at the beginning of 1996.

One reason for the greater amount of campaign spending by incumbents was a sizable edge in cash on hand at the start of the election year. The 35 incumbents who were on the 1998 ballot reported a total starting balance of \$2.1 million, which was 92 percent of the total for all candidates and almost 12 times the cash on hand for non-incumbents. The average amount on hand in an incumbent's account, \$60,922, also reflected that advantage, exceeding the amount on hand for non-incumbents by \$56,000. In fact, the average incumbent's starting balance itself exceeded the amount raised or spent by the average non-incumbent in the coming year, providing a substantial early advantage.

The other breakdowns of average starting balances mirror the margins shown in the previous sections. For example, Democrats showed an average starting balance of \$41,935 versus an average of \$12,798 for Republicans. The average winner had \$56,214 on hand at the start of 1998, compared to \$2,008 for those who did not win. Unopposed candidates reported having an average of \$73,508 to start, versus \$14,156 for those who were opposed.

It should be remembered that many challengers are first-time candidates and begin their campaign with zero balances. In fact, many have not even organized campaign committees at the start of an election year. The starting balance figures therefore have traditionally favored incumbents.

All of the 10 candidates with the largest starting balances in 1998 were incumbents. Nine were Democrats. Eight of those 10 ultimately had no opposition that year. All were re-elected.

SENATE CANDIDATES WITH THE HIGHEST STARTING BALANCES

(As of Jan. 1, 1998)

	Candidate	District	Balance	Opposed	Elected?
1.	Mark Montigny (D) (I)	2 nd Bristol	\$ 391,555	No	Yes
2.	Thomas Birmingham (D) (I)	Middlesex, Suffolk &	\$ 333,456	No	Yes
3.	Cheryl Jacques (D) (I)	Norfolk, Bristol &	\$ 146,177	Yes	Yes
4.	Marc Pacheco (D) (I)	1 st Plymouth & Bristol	\$ 107,856	No	Yes
5.	Linda Melconian (D) (I)	Hampden	\$ 91,318	Yes	Yes
6.	Michael Morrissey (D) (I)	Norfolk & Plymouth	\$ 87,684	No	Yes
7.	Thomas Norton (D) (I)	1 st Bristol	\$ 87,248	No	Yes
8.	Richard Tisei (R) (I)	3 rd Middlesex	\$ 84,475	No	Yes
9.	Charles Shannon Jr. (D) (I)	2 nd Middlesex	\$ 79,705	No	Yes
10.	Stephen Brewer (D) (I)	Worcester, Hampden,	\$ 71,118	No	Yes
		Hampshire & Franklin			

I = Incumbent

VI. Most Active Candidates and Races

The most expensive contested Senate race in 1998 was in the 2nd Worcester District, where three candidates – Guy Glodis, Karyn Polito and Jennifer Callahan – were seeking an open seat. Glodis, a state representative, won the election.⁴ The three candidates reported raising a total of \$339,386 and spending \$404,079.

Of the top 10 most expensive contested Senate races, six were won by incumbents and four by non-incumbents (all of whom were seeking open seats).

SENATE RACES WITH THE HIGHEST SPENDING 1998

	District	Total	Number of	Winner
		Spent	candidates	
1.	2 nd Worcester *	\$ 404,079	3	Guy Glodis (D)
2.	Suffolk & Norfolk	\$ 349,544	3	Brian Joyce (D) (I)
3.	2 nd Essex & Middlesex *	\$ 251,136	5	Susan Tucker (D)
4.	5 th Middlesex	\$ 205,579	2	Susan Fargo (D) (I)
5.	Norfolk, Bristol & Plymouth *	\$ 161,585	4	Jo An Sprague (R)
6.	Cape & Islands	\$ 149,540	2	Henri Rauschenbach (R) (I)
7.	Hampden	\$ 117,697	2	Linda Melconian (D) (I)
8.	2 nd Suffolk	\$ 108,896	5	Diane Wilkerson (D) (I)
9.	Suffolk and Middlesex	\$ 103,268	4	Robert Traviglini (D)(I)
10.	Middlesex & Norfolk *	\$ 94,539	2	Cynthia Creem (D)

^{*} Open seat. I = Incumbent.

The least expensive contested race was in the 3rd Essex District, where three candidates – incumbent and winner James Jajuga and write-in challengers Everett Jabour and Ron Levesque – spent a total of \$50,103. Jajuga accounted for 97 percent of the spending, or \$48,689.

* * * * *

The list of candidates who raised and spent the most money in 1998 is made up largely of incumbents who gained re-election, though some non-incumbents made both lists.

The top candidate in terms of both fundraising and spending in 1998 was the same person, Karyn Polito of the 2nd Worcester District. In 1998, Polito raised \$189,813 and spent \$228,155 in an unsuccessful run for an open seat. While neither of Polito's figures is

⁴ Glodis is not considered an incumbent in this report. While he was a state representative in 1998, he did not hold the Senate seat he was seeking in 1998.

a record, this marks the first time either the receipts or expenditures list has been headed by an unsuccessful candidate. It is also the first time a Republican or a non-incumbent has topped either list.

The list of top fundraisers contains seven incumbents and seven Democrats. Six candidates were in contested races; of that number four were elected. Only Polito and Maureen Feeney, both non-incumbents, were not elected.⁵

SENATE CANDIDATES RAISING THE MOST MONEY IN 1998

	Candidate	District	Receipts	Opposed	Elected
1.	Karyn Polito (R)	2 nd Worcester*	\$ 189,813	Yes	No
2.	Thomas Birmingham (D) (I)	Middlesex, Suffolk &	\$ 183,973	No	Yes
	_	Essex			
3.	Brian Joyce (D) (I)	Suffolk & Norfolk	\$ 182,402	Yes	Yes
4.	Maureen Feeney (D)	Suffolk & Norfolk	\$ 161,771	Yes	No
5.	Guy Glodis (D)	2 nd Worcester*	\$ 115,901	Yes	Yes
6.	Henri Rauschenbach (R) (I)	Cape & Islands	\$ 115,784	Yes	Yes
7.	Richard Tisei (R) (I)	3 rd Middlesex	\$ 115,003	No	Yes
8.	Mark Montigny (D) (I)	2 nd Bristol	\$ 112,895	No	Yes
9.	Thomas Norton (D) (I)	1 st Bristol	\$ 110,676	No	Yes
10.	Robert Travaglini (D) (I)	Suffolk & Middlesex	\$ 109,542	Yes	Yes

^{*} Open seat. I = Incumbent.

One candidate reported raising no money; he lost the election. The winning candidate who raised the least amount of money was incumbent Robert Bernstein of the 1st Worcester District, who raised \$8,925. The winning opposed candidate who raised the least was Robert Havern (4th Middlesex District), who raised \$38,069.

The Top 10 list for spending included the major candidates in the two most expensive races, the 2^{nd} Worcester and Suffolk and Norfolk districts. All but two of the candidates on the list (Polito and Feeney) were elected. All but three – Polito, Feeney and Glodis – were incumbent senators. All but two were opposed.

⁵ Feeney was also a Boston city councilor during 1998.

SENATE CANDIDATES SPENDING THE MOST MONEY IN 1998

	Candidate	District	Expenditures	Opposed	Elected
1.	Karyn Polito (R)	2 nd Worcester*	\$ 228,155	Yes	No
2.	Brian Joyce (D) (I)	Suffolk & Norfolk	\$ 182,958	Yes	Yes
3.	Thomas Norton (D) (I)	1 st Bristol	\$ 161,639	No	Yes
4.	Maureen Feeney (D)	Suffolk & Norfolk	\$ 159,175	Yes	No
5.	Guy Glodis (D)	2nd Worcester*	\$ 137,225	Yes	Yes
6.	Henri Rauschenbach (R) (I)	Cape & Islands	\$ 122,001	Yes	Yes
7.	Linda Melconian (D) (I)	Hampden	\$ 116,589	Yes	Yes
8.	Richard Tisei (R) (I)	3rd Middlesex	\$ 111,988	No	Yes
9.	Susan Fargo (D) (I)	5th Middlesex	\$ 110,523	Yes	Yes
10.	Robert Travaglini (D) (I)	Suffolk & Middlesex	\$ 102,757	Yes	Yes

^{*} Open seat. I = Incumbent.

Two Senate candidates, both non-incumbents, reported spending no money in 1998; neither was elected. The winning opposed candidate spending the least amount of money in 1998 was incumbent Cheryl Jacques of the Norfolk, Bristol and Middlesex District, who reported spending \$36,473. Four other winning incumbents -- Bruce Tarr, Robert Creedon, Robert Hedlund, Stephen Brewer and Robert Bernstein -- reported spending less (Tarr spending the least, \$13,104), but all were unopposed.

VII. Ending Balances

Senate candidates reported finishing 1998 with a total balance of \$2,314,476, a figure that corresponds roughly with the amount reported on hand at the start of the year.⁶ For each category, the largest shares were held by incumbents (97 percent), Democrats (90 percent), winners (99 percent) and unopposed candidates (77 percent).

All of the candidates with the ten highest ending balances were incumbents and were re-elected. Eight of the ten were Democrats and nine had not been opposed for re-election.

_

⁶ This ending balance may not correspond exactly with the aggregate starting balance, total receipts and total expenditures because of math errors on reports filed by some candidates.

SENATE CANDIDATES WITH THE HIGHEST ENDING BALANCES

(As of Dec. 31, 1998)

	First Name	District	Balance	Opposed	Elected
1.	Thomas Birmingham (D) (I)	Middlesex, Suffolk & Essex	\$ 422,961	No	Yes
2.	Mark Montigny (D) (I)	2 nd Bristol	\$ 404,232	No	Yes
3.	Cheryl Jacques (D) (I)	Norfolk, Bristol & Middlesex	\$ 170,917	Yes	Yes
4.	Marc Pacheco (D) (I)	1 st Plymouth & Bristol	\$ 117,302	No	Yes
5.	Michael Morrissey (D) (I)	Norfolk & Plymouth	\$ 106,377	No	Yes
6.	Richard Tisei (R) (I)	3 rd Middlesex	\$ 87,489	No	Yes
7.	Stephen Brewer (D) (I)	Worcester, Hampden,	\$ 85,007	No	Yes
		Hampshire & Franklin			
8.	Stanley Rosenberg (D) (I)	Hampshire & Franklin	\$ 77,338	No	Yes
9.	Charles Shannon Jr. (D) (I)	2 nd Middlesex	\$ 72,334	No	Yes
10.	Brian Lees (R) (I)	1 st Hampden & Hampshire	\$ 64,489	No	Yes

I = Incumbent

A table of campaign finance activity by all Senate candidates may be found in Appendix B, following this section.

Appendix A Aggregate Campaign Activity by Senate Candidates 1990-98

	1990	1992	1994	1996	1998
Candidates	106	111	85	63	71
Total received	\$ 4,530,863	\$ 5,674,643	\$ 4,829,019	\$ 3,510,827	\$ 3,809,576
Average received	\$ 42,744	\$ 51,123	\$ 56,812	\$ 55,727	\$ 53,656
Total spent	\$ 5,060,968	\$ 6,086,061	\$ 5,044,959	\$ 3,211,808	\$ 3,806,032
Average spent	\$ 47,745	\$ 54,829	\$ 59,352	\$ 50,981	\$ 53,606

Appendix B Campaign Finance Activity in 1998 Senate Races

	<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
Berkshire, Franklin, Hampden & Hampshire							
Andrea F. Nuciforo, Jr.	D	1	W	\$14,801.97	\$39,829.10	\$44,433.79	\$10,197.28
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$14,801.97	\$39,829.10	\$44,433.79	\$10,197.28
1st Bristol							
Thomas Norton	D	1	W	\$87,248.43	\$110,675.74	\$161,639.32	\$36,284.85
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$87,248.43	\$110,675.74	\$161,639.32	\$36,284.85
2nd Bristol							
Mark Montigny	D	I	W	\$391,555.3	\$112,894.55	\$100,217.98	\$404,231.90
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$391,555.33	\$112,894.55	\$100,217.98	\$404,231.90

<u>Legend</u>

 $\overline{D = Dem}$ ocrat; R = Republican; O = Other/unenrolled

I = Incumbent; N = Non-incumbent

W = Winner; L = Loser

	<u>Party</u>	Incumber	<u>won/Lost</u>	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
Cape & Islands							
Edward Lamperti	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$27,792.00	\$27,538.90	\$253.10
Henri Rauschenbach	R	I	W	\$7,543.42	\$115,784.24	\$122,001.32	\$1,326.34
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$7,543.42	\$143,576.24	\$149,540.22	\$1,579.44
1st Essex & Middlesex							
Bruce Tarr	R	I	W	\$12,790.13	\$14,407.50	\$13,103.65	\$14,093.98
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$12,790.13	\$14,407.50	\$13,103.65	\$14,093.98
2nd Essex & Middlesex							
Kevin Anderson	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$30,307.50	\$29,673.71	\$633.79
David O'Brien	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$47,660.00	\$46,288.93	\$1,371.07
Frederick Simon	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$55,436.50	\$55,296.44	\$140.06
Susan Tucker	D	N	W	\$2,192.79	\$73,473.83	\$75,128.06	\$538.56
John Wilson, Jr.	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$46,022.01	\$44,748.87	\$1,273.14
Total Candidates for Seat: 5				\$2,192.79	\$252,899.84	\$251,136.01	\$3,956.62
1st Essex							
Edward J. Clancy Jr.	D	I	W	\$34,560.23	\$72,553.95	\$67,134.34	\$39,979.84
Robert Wofford	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$34,560.23	\$73,553.95	\$68,134.34	\$39,979.84

	<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
2nd Essex							
Frederick Berry	D	I	W	\$21,051.18	\$41,576.44	\$38,065.34	\$24,562.28
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$21,051.18	\$41,576.44	\$38,065.34	\$24,562.28
3rd Essex							
Everett Jabour	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$1,147.00	\$904.29	\$242.71
James Jajuga	D	1	W	\$23,643.61	\$60,979.00	\$48,689.02	\$35,933.59
Ron Levesque	0	N	L	\$0.00	\$520.00	\$510.00	\$10.00
Total Candidates for Seat: 3				\$23,643.61	\$62,646.00	\$50,103.31	\$36,186.30
<u>Hampden</u>							
Linda Melconian	D	1	W	\$91,318.04	\$79,424.97	\$116,588.92	\$54,154.09
Alfred Warren	0	N	L	\$0.00	\$1,111.06	\$1,107.85	\$3.21
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$91,318.04	\$80,536.03	\$117,696.77	\$54,157.30
1st Hampden & Hampshire							
Brian Lees	R	I	W	\$47,851.89	\$93,475.01	\$76,837.43	\$64,489.47
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$47,851.89	\$93,475.01	\$76,837.43	\$64,489.47
2nd Hampden & Hampshire							
Michael Knapik	R	1	W	\$18,629.04	\$47,636.92	\$40,594.36	\$25,671.60
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$18,629.04	\$47,636.92	\$40,594.36	\$25,671.60

	<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
Hampshire & Franklin							
Stanley Rosenberg	D	I	W	\$42,279.83	\$96,524.82	\$61,466.22	\$77,338.43
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$42,279.83	\$96,524.82	\$61,466.22	\$77,338.43
1st Middlesex & Norfolk							
Thomas B. Concannon Jr.	D	N	L	\$11,378.76	\$16,694.88	\$21,785.35	\$6,288.29
Cynthia Creem	D	N	W	\$23,521.27	\$66,484.65	\$72,753.77	\$17,252.15
Total Candidates for Seat: 2				\$34,900.03	\$83,179.53	\$94,539.12	\$23,540.44
Middlesex & Suffolk							
Guy Carbone	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$2,410.32	\$2,319.79	\$90.53
Steven Tolman	D	N	W	\$45,461.61	\$56,939.00	\$82,541.87	\$19,858.74
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$45,461.61	\$59,349.32	\$84,861.66	\$19,949.27
Middlesex & Worcester							
Robert Durand	D	I	W	\$59,692.75	\$79,813.21	\$89,173.84	\$50,332.12
William Monnie	R	N	L	\$42.80	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,042.80
Total Candidates for Seat: 2				\$59,735.55	\$80,813.21	\$89,173.84	\$51,374.92
1st Middlesex							
Steven Panagiotakos	D	1	W	\$22,406.03	\$51,970.53	\$55,076.92	\$19,299.64
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$22,406.03	\$51,970.53	\$55,076.92	\$19,299.64

	<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
2nd Middlesex							
Charles Shannon Jr.	D	1	W	\$79,704.73	\$61,046.21	\$68,416.98	\$72,333.96
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$79,704.73	\$61,046.21	\$68,416.98	\$72,333.96
3rd Middlesex							
Richard Tisei	R	I	W	\$84,474.55	\$115,002.67	\$111,987.91	\$87,489.31
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$84,474.55	\$115,002.67	\$111,987.91	\$87,489.31
4th Middlesex							
Robert A. Havern III	D	1	W	\$26,428.39	\$38,069.06	\$50,389.02	\$14,108.43
David McKenna	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$4,415.00	\$3,915.00	\$500.00
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$26,428.39	\$42,484.06	\$54,304.02	\$14,608.43
5th Middlesex							
Susan Fargo	D	I	W	\$15,167.33	\$102,437.76	\$110,523.21	\$7,081.88
Thomas Healy	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$94,467.00	\$95,056.12	(\$589.12)
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$15,167.33	\$196,904.76	\$205,579.33	\$6,492.76
Middlesex, Norfolk & Worcester							
David Magnani	D	I	W	\$26,429.02	\$41,354.99	\$39,919.57	\$27,864.44
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$26,429.02	\$41,354.99	\$39,919.57	\$27,864.44

	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
Middlesex, Suffolk & Essex							
Thomas Birmingham	D	1	W	\$333,455.8	\$183,973.21	\$94,467.90	\$422,961.17
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$333,455.86	\$183,973.21	\$94,467.90	\$422,961.17
Norfolk & Plymouth							
Michael Morrissey	D	ı	W	\$87,683.53	\$62,920.00	\$44,226.06	\$106,377.47
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$87,683.53	\$62,920.00	\$44,226.06	\$106,377.47
Norfolk & Suffolk							
Marian Walsh	D	I	W	\$34,329.14	\$47,965.67	\$56,134.05	\$26,160.76
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$34,329.14	\$47,965.67	\$56,134.05	\$26,160.76
Norfolk, Bristol & Middlesex							
Cheryl Jacques	D	1	W	\$146,176.7	\$61,213.14	\$36,473.01	\$170,916.83
Robert Reardon	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$146,176.70	\$61,213.14	\$36,473.01	\$170,916.83

	<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumben</u>	t Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
Norfolk, Bristol & Plymouth							
Richard Driscoll	D	N	L	\$1,114.00	\$6,615.00	\$7,588.00	\$141.00
Carol Nathan	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$3,622.32	\$3,557.01	\$65.31
Jo Ann Sprague	R	N	W	\$20,476.96	\$48,803.48	\$69,044.27	\$236.17
James Timilty	D	N	L	\$3,470.23	\$83,855.00	\$81,395.84	\$5,929.39
Total Candidates for Seat : 4				\$25,061.19	\$142,895.80	\$161,585.12	\$6,371.87
Plymouth & Barnstable							
Therese Murray	D	1	W	\$18,626.88	\$64,938.53	\$64,986.25	\$18,579.16
John Steven Pitney	R	N	L	\$379.51	\$1,475.00	\$2,774.24	(\$789.62)
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$19,006.39	\$66,413.53	\$67,760.49	\$17,789.54
1st Plymouth & Bristol							
Marc Pacheco	D	1	W	\$107,855.8	\$74,380.99	\$64,935.02	\$117,301.78
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$107,855.81	\$74,380.99	\$64,935.02	\$117,301.78
2nd Plymouth & Bristol							
Robert Creedon Jr.	D	1	W	\$2,427.63	\$20,730.00	\$15,924.18	\$7,233.45
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$2,427.63	\$20,730.00	\$15,924.18	\$7,233.45

	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent	t Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	Raised	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
Plymouth & Norfolk							
Robert Hedlund	R	1	W	\$23,704.69	\$37,273.34	\$20,451.64	\$40,526.39
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$23,704.69	\$37,273.34	\$20,451.64	\$40,526.39
Suffolk & Middlesex							
Vincent Dixon	R	N	L	\$46.10	\$125.00	\$156.00	\$15.10
Ralph Lopez	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$40.00	\$40.00	\$0.00
Peter Sheinfeld	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$315.00	\$315.00	\$0.00
Robert Travaglini	D	1	W	\$19,975.84	\$109,541.74	\$102,757.23	\$26,760.35
Total Candidates for Seat : 4				\$20,021.94	\$110,021.74	\$103,268.23	\$26,775.45
Suffolk & Norfolk							
Alice Berryman-Delgardo	D	N	L	\$644.03	\$7,334.60	\$7,410.05	\$568.58
Maureen Feeney	D	N	L	\$31.39	\$161,771.25	\$159,175.46	\$2,627.18
Brian Joyce	D	1	W	\$1,725.32	\$182,401.63	\$182,958.41	\$1,168.54
Total Candidates for Seat : 3				\$2,400.74	\$351,507.48	\$349,543.92	\$4,364.30
1st Suffolk							
Stephen Lynch	D	1	W	\$43,062.70	\$102,150.00	\$93,013.93	\$52,198.77
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$43,062.70	\$102,150.00	\$93,013.93	\$52,198.77

	<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
2nd Suffolk							
Rose Brayboy	R	N	L	\$1,664.00	\$4,469.75	\$5,794.12	\$339.63
Caleb Desrosiers	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$17,122.00	\$17,116.56	\$5.44
Dianne Wilkerson	D	1	W	\$3,872.67	\$68,763.08	\$72,654.26	(\$18.51)
Frank Williams	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$9,312.50	\$9,281.02	\$31.48
Arthur Williams	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$4,050.00	\$4,050.00	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat : 5				\$5,536.67	\$103,717.33	\$108,895.96	\$358.04
Worcester & Middlesex							
Robert Antonioni	D	1	W	\$66,367.09	\$76,683.39	\$86,952.19	\$56,098.29
Sheryl Thibault	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$5,727.62	\$5,717.62	\$10.00
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$66,367.09	\$82,411.01	\$92,669.81	\$56,108.29
Worcester & Norfolk							
Richard Moore	D	I	W	\$22,525.39	\$74,381.65	\$61,332.25	\$35,574.79
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$22,525.39	\$74,381.65	\$61,332.25	\$35,574.79
1st Worcester							
Robert Bernstein	D	1	W	\$41,788.20	\$8,925.00	\$31,360.29	\$19,352.91
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$41,788.20	\$8,925.00	\$31,360.29	\$19,352.91

	<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumber</u>	nt Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
2nd Worcester							
Jennifer Callahan	D	N	L	\$5,117.71	\$33,671.84	\$38,699.39	\$90.16
Guy Glodis	D	N	W	\$24,623.47	\$115,901.00	\$137,224.78	\$3,299.69
Karyn Polito	R	N	L	\$38,365.70	\$189,813.37	\$228,154.58	\$24.49
Total Candidates for Seat: 3				\$68,106.88	\$339,386.21	\$404,078.75	\$3,414.34
Worcester, Hampden, Hampshire & Franklin							
Stephen Brewer	D	1	W	\$71,118.46	\$36,972.10	\$23,083.29	\$85,007.27
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$71,118.46	\$36,972.10	\$23,083.29	\$85,007.27
Total Candidates 71		Senat	e Totals	\$2,310,802.14	\$3,809,575.62	\$3,806,031.99	\$2,314,475.8

SECTION II: THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

A total of 296 candidates ran for the House in 1998, an increase of six percent from two years before. The roster of candidates included 292 who were listed on the primary ballot in September and/or the general election ballot in November as well as four who were not listed on either ballot but ran write-in or sticker campaigns.¹

Of the 160 races for a House seat, 83 featured only one candidate, which in all but one case was an incumbent. (In the exception, a candidate was chosen by a party caucus to succeed a deceased candidate who had also been unopposed.) The remaining 77 races were contested, featuring at least two candidates. Of the 213 candidates in contested races, 64 were incumbents. Of that number, 57 were re-elected and six were defeated. (Another opposed incumbent died shortly after winning the primary.²) A total of 15 incumbents did not seek re-election. Incumbents were therefore re-elected in 139 of the 145 races in which they ran, for a 96 percent success rate.

OVERVIEW OF CAMPAIGN FINANCE ACTIVITY FOR HOUSE CANDIDATES 1996 & 1998

	1996	1998
Number of Candidates	280	296
Total cash on hand at start	\$ 1,668,215	\$ 2,650,720
Total receipts	\$ 5,165,929	\$ 6,765,000
Total available to candidates	\$ 6,834,144	\$ 9,415,720
Total expenditures	\$ 5,065,065	\$ 6,875,821
Average receipts per candidate	\$ 18,450	\$ 22,855
Average expenditures per candidate	\$ 18,090	\$ 23,229

The \$6.8 million raised by House candidates represented an increase of 31 percent over 1996, while the \$6.9 million in total expenditures was an even higher increase at 36 percent.³ The 1998 figures are the second-highest totals ever tracked by OCPF, trailing

¹ This study does not include campaign finance activity in the special election for a House seat in the 11th Suffolk District in early 1998. The figures for the winner of that election, Elizabeth Malia, reflect activity after the special election. Malia is considered an incumbent in this report. Figures for the special election are available from OCPF.

² That candidate, Rep. Anthony Scibelli of the 10th Hampden District, won the primary and would have faced no opposition in the general election. A party caucus chose Cheryl Rivera for the Democratic nomination, and she was elected to the seat after defeating two write-in candidates. Scibelli is included in the general totals in this study and those for party, incumbency and opposed candidates. He is not, however, included in the breakdowns for unsuccessful candidates. His seat is considered open in this study.

³ Expenditures do not include debts incurred that had not been paid at the end of 1998. Also, total receipts do not include in-kind contributions, which are things of value other than money. House

only the record highs of 1990. What may be most noteworthy about the 1998 figures, however, is that the receipt and expenditure totals were posted by fewer candidates than in 1990. In 1990, there were 401 House candidates, while there were only 296 in 1998. As a result, candidates in 1998 showed the highest fundraising and spending averages ever reflected in an OCPF report.

The jump in activity in 1998 was illustrated in the averages as well, as the average House candidate's campaign that year was much more active financially than its 1996 counterpart. Average receipts increased 24 percent and expenditures were up 28 percent over 1996. (See Appendix C.)

Successful campaigns once again outspent unsuccessful campaigns in 1998, often bolstered by a substantial starting balance. The candidate who spent the most money in a race won more than four-fifths of the time in 1998.

Incumbents once again had greater financial activity as a group than non-incumbents, but the latter group showed greater gains from two years before. Incumbents outspent challengers by 20 percent, but that was a drop from the 30 percent difference in 1996. Incumbents accounted for only four of the top 10 spending candidates, down three from 1996, marking the first time the top 10 list featured more non-incumbents than incumbents.

Democrats continued to account for the bulk of fundraising and spending in 1998, which was not surprising given their continued predominance in legislative races. Democrats outraised and outspent Republicans more than four to one, a slight increase from 1996.

A breakdown and analysis of the totals for the 296 House candidates follows. A breakdown of beginning balances, total receipts, total expenditures and ending balances for each candidate starts after this section.

I. Winners vs. Losers

Those who ultimately won their races continued to account for most of the total fundraising and spending in House campaigns. In 1998, the 160 successful candidates raised and spent 66 percent of the money, down a few percentage points from 1996. Despite the slight drop, winners in 1998 once again outraised and outspent losers two-to-one.

In the 77 contested races for a House seat in 1998, the candidate who spent the most money won 67 times, for a success rate of 87 percent. That percentage is up from

candidates reported a total of \$168,153 in in-kind contributions in 1998, an increase of almost \$24,000 from 1996.

1996, when the rate was 76 percent, and identical to 1994, when top spenders won 87 percent of the time.

HOUSE CANDIDATES' RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY SUCCESS OF CAMPAIGNS 1998

	Successful (160)	Unsuccessful (135*)
Total Receipts	\$ 4,500,994	\$ 2,258,433
Average Receipts	\$ 28,131	\$ 16,729
Total Expenditures	\$ 4,515,917	\$ 2,358,851
Average Expenditures	\$ 28,224	\$ 17,473

^{*}Totals do not include those for Rep. Anthony Scibelli, who died before the general election.

All four averages, for both winners and losers, increased a few thousand dollars over 1996, good enough for substantial jumps. Average expenditures by both types of candidates showed almost identical increases of about 31 percent. Receipts by the average losing candidate rose 28 percent, while receipts by winners rose 25 percent.

II. Incumbents vs. Challengers

Incumbents enjoyed a total fundraising and spending advantage over their challengers once again in 1998: the 146 incumbents seeking re-election raised and spent about 55 percent of the total figure. It was the non-incumbents, however, who showed the greatest percentage gains. The amount raised by an average incumbent rose 22 percent, while the average raised by a non-incumbent rose 28 percent. On the spending side, the average incumbent increased receipts by 23 percent, but the comparable figure for a non-incumbent was 38 percent.

RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY INCUMBENCY 1998

	Incumbents (146)	Non-Incumbents (150)
Total Receipts	\$ 3,761,080	\$ 3,003,920
Average Receipts	\$ 25,761	\$ 20,026
Total Expenditures	\$ 3,747,973	\$ 3,127,847
Average Expenditures	\$ 25,671	\$ 20,852

(Incumbents are defined as those individuals holding the office of Representative at the time of the 1998 election.)

Six incumbents were defeated in their re-election campaigns: John Businger (15th Norfolk District), Forrester Clark (4th Essex), Donna Cuomo (14th Essex), Joseph Gallitano (1st Plymouth), Paul Iannuccillo (16th Essex), and Alvin Thompson (28th Middlesex). Four – Businger, Cuomo, Gallitano and Thompson – were outspent by at least one opponent, while Clark and Iannuccillo lost despite outspending their opponents.

As with the Senate figures, spending by incumbents, especially those who were not opposed for re-election, may not have been all directly related to their campaigns. (See Section IV: Contested and Uncontested Races.)

III. Party Affiliation

Democrats once again accounted for most of the financial activity of candidates, due largely to the fact that they greatly outnumbered Republicans and other political designations and in fact increased in their presence on the ballot in 1998. Seventy-two percent of the candidates were Democrats (up four percentage points from 1996), but they accounted for 81 percent of both the total funds raised and spent. (The party's figures for 1996 were 78 percent of the fundraising and 77 percent of spending.)

The predominance of Democrats is also reflected in the fundraising and spending averages, which showed Democrats once again leading Republicans in both categories by about \$9,000.

RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY PARTY AFFILIATION 1998

	Democrats (212)	Republicans (73)	Other (11)*
Total Receipts	\$ 5,489,395	\$ 1,234,869	\$ 40,736
Average Receipts	\$ 25,893	\$ 16,916	\$ 3,703
Total Expenditures	\$ 5,578,654	\$ 1,257,134	\$ 40,032
Average Expenditures	\$ 26,314	\$ 17,221	\$ 3,639

^{* &}quot;Other" affiliations include unenrolled, independents and other political designations.

Average receipts and expenditures by both Democrats and Republicans increased over 1996, but the rates of increase for the Democrats was substantially higher. Average receipts rose 23 percent and average expenditures increased 30 percent for Democrats, while the increases for Republicans were 15 and 13 percent. Activity by the candidates in "other designations" varies each year.

IV. Contested and Uncontested Races

Of the 160 races for House seats, 83 featured only one candidate, which in all but one case was the incumbent. The remaining 77 were contested, featuring two or more candidates. (Three incumbents had a single opponent who reported no campaign finance activity. They are still considered opposed in this study.)⁴

Candidates in contested races continued to raise and spend more money than unopposed candidates in 1998. On average, the 213 candidates vying for 77 seats received 13 percent more than the 83 unopposed candidates. The margin was greater on the spending side, where the average spent by an opposed candidate was 30 percent more than the average spent by an unopposed candidate.

RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY HOUSE CANDIDATES OPPOSED AND UNOPPOSED 1998

	<i>Opposed</i> (213)	Unopposed (83*)
Total Receipts	\$ 5,030,720	\$ 1,734,280
Average receipts	\$ 23,618	\$ 20,895
Total Expenditures	\$ 5,290,092	\$ 1,585,729
Average Expenditures	\$ 24,836	\$ 19,105

^{*}Eighty-two of the unopposed candidates were incumbents. The sole unopposed newcomer was Kathi-Anne Reinstein, who was chosen for the primary ballot by a Democratic caucus after the death of her father, Rep. William Reinstein.

All four of the above averages represented increases over 1996, with the greatest jumps in activity by opposed candidates. Average receipts by opposed candidates rose 25 percent over 1996, while spending rose 30 percent. For unopposed candidates, average receipts increased 15 percent and spending rose 21 percent over 1996.

CONTESTED SEATS

The 77 contested House races in 1998 can be grouped in two categories: contests for open seats being vacated by incumbents and contests featuring an incumbent facing

_

⁴ Some studies of legislative races use other criteria in determining whether a race was "contested" or whether an incumbent was "opposed," looking at such factors as whether an opponent was a minor or fringe candidate or if the winner exceed a certain margin of victory. This study considered a race contested if there were two or more candidates for the seat, regardless of the outcome.

opposition. Of the two types of races, those featuring open seats were the most active in terms of average amounts spent and raised.

A great amount of campaign finance activity was in the contests for 14 open House seats.

District	Previous incumbent	Winner
2 nd Barnstable	John Klimm (D)	Demetrius Atsalis (D)
3 rd Barnstable	Thomas Cahir (D)	Nancy Caffyn (R)
12 th Bristol	Joseph McIntyre (D)	George Rogers (D)
10 th Hampden	Anthony Scibelli (D)	Cheryl Rivera (D)
11 th Middlesex	David Cohen (D)	Ruth Balser (D)
18 th Middlesex	Edward LeLacheur (D)	David Nangle (D)
35 th Middlesex	Patrick Guerriero (R)	Michael Festa (D)
1st Norfolk	Michael Bellotti (D)	Bruce Ayers (D)
7 th Norfolk	Brian Joyce (D)	Walter Timilty (D)
9 th Norfolk	Jo Ann Sprague (R)	Scott Brown (R)
5 th Plymouth	Janet O'Brien (D)	Robert Nyman (D)
8 th Plymouth	Jacqueline Lewis (R)	David L. Flynn (D)
18 th Suffolk	Steven Tolman (D)	Brian Golden (D)
16 th Worcester	Guy Glodis (D)	John Fresolo (D)

(Another seat, in the 16th Suffolk District, was officially open because of the death of the incumbent, William Reinstein. However, it is included in the totals for uncontested seats because there was only one candidate seeking the seat after Reinstein's death.)

The 68 candidates for these open seats reported raising a total of \$1,651,962, or an average of \$24,294 each, and spending \$1,675,302, or an average of \$24,637 each.

There were 63 *contested races featuring an incumbent*, in which the incumbent won 57 times. In those races, the 145 candidates reported raising \$3,390,124, or an average of \$23,380, and spending \$3,629,870, or an average of \$25,034.

UNCONTESTED SEATS

In 1998, 83 candidates were unopposed for election; all but one were incumbents. These candidates reported raising \$1,734,280, or \$20,895 each, and spending \$585,729, or \$19,105 per candidate.

Historically, it is safe to say that much of the expenditures by unopposed incumbents, and some unopposed incumbents for that matter, were not necessarily related directly to their campaigns (such as bumper stickers or advertisements), but were geared toward constituent or legislative expenses. The campaign finance law allows campaign

funds to be used for such expenses as maintaining a district office, charitable contributions and official or political travel that is not otherwise paid for by the Commonwealth.

V. Starting Balances

Candidates reported having \$2,650,720 on hand at the start of 1998, which was about \$1 million more than the total amount on hand at the start of 1996. As a rule, incumbents and well-established or returning challengers usually have money on hand at the start of an election season. Generally, new candidates do not organize their campaigns until the year in which the election is held, accounting for significantly lower beginning balances among non-incumbents. A head start in fundraising is often accompanied by success at the polls: of the total amount on hand at the start of the year, 91 percent was held by eventual winners.

The largest starting balances in 1998 were usually held by incumbents, many of whom ultimately had no opposition. Incumbents controlled 90 percent of the \$2.6 million on hand at the start of the election year. The average incumbent had \$16,427 on hand on Jan. 1; the average non-incumbent starting balance was \$1,683, though that figure is artificially deflated by the fact that many candidates had not even organized their committees at that time and would therefore have shown no balance.

The list of the candidates with the 10 highest starting balances consists of incumbent Democrats, all of whom won their 1998 elections. Eight were unopposed.

HOUSE CANDIDATES WITH HIGHEST STARTING BALANCES

(As of Jan. 1, 1998)

	Candidate	District	Balance	Opposed	Elected
1.	Paul Caron (D) (I)	11 th Hampden	\$ 147,836	No	Yes
2.	Thomas M. Finneran (D)(I)	12 th Suffolk	\$ 122,776	No	Yes
3.	Salvatore DiMasi (D)(I)	3 rd Suffolk	\$ 100,920	No	Yes
4.	Paul Haley (D)(I)	4 th Norfolk	\$ 88,450	Yes	Yes
5.	Antonio F Cabral (D)(I)	13 th Bristol	\$ 80,871	No	Yes
6.	Thomas Petrolati (D)(I)	7 th Hampden	\$ 68,596	No	Yes
7.	Arthur Stephen Tobin (D)(I)	2 nd Norfolk	\$ 66,382	No	Yes
8.	John F. Quinn (D)(I)	9 th Bristol	\$ 55,774	Yes	Yes
9.	Harriette L. Chandler (D)(I)	13 th Worcester	\$ 47,878	No	Yes
10.	Dennis M. Murphy (D)(I)	9 th Hampden	\$ 47,052	No	Yes

I = Incumbent

VI. Most Active Candidates and Races

Of the top 10 most expensive races, four were won by incumbents and six by non-incumbents. All of the seats won by non-incumbents were open.

The 27th Middlesex District race was the most expensive for the second straight time. In 1996, Alice Wolf, Anthony Galluccio and a third candidate spent a total of \$184,969 for an open seat, the highest of any race that year. Two years later, two candidates --Wolf, who was the incumbent, and Galluccio – spent a total of \$212,135.⁵

HOUSE RACES WITH THE HIGHEST SPENDING 1998

	District	Total Spent	Number of candidates	Winner
1.	27 th Middlesex	\$ 212,135	2	Alice Wolf (D) (I)
2.	18 th Middlesex*	\$ 201,366	7	David Nangle (D)
3.	3 rd Plymouth	\$ 196,588	4	Mary Jeanette Murray (R) (I)
4.	18 th Suffolk*	\$ 174,790	5	Brian Golden (D)
5.	9 th Suffolk	\$ 159,040	3	Byron Rushing (D) (I)
6.	7 th Norfolk*	\$ 157,350	3	Walter Timilty (D)
7.	35 th Middlesex*	\$ 134,829	4	Michael Festa (D)
8.	17 th Essex	\$ 133,638	2	Barry Finegold (D) (I)
9.	11 th Middlesex*	\$ 132,912	3	Ruth Balser (D)
10.	12 th Bristol*	\$ 128,554	5	George Rogers (D)

^{*} Open seat. I = Incumbent.

The least expensive contested race was in the 38^{th} Middlesex District, where Democratic incumbent Anthony Giglio and two opponents spent a combined \$6,217 (Giglio accounted for \$6,022 of that total, and one of the challengers had no expenditures).

As was the case two years before, both Wolf and Galluccio made the list of the top fundraisers and spenders for 1998. (Wolf topped the receipts list in 1996, but not the spending list.) The most active candidate in terms of both fundraising and spending in 1998 was Rep. Thomas Finneran, the Speaker of the House.

_

⁵Galluccio was also a Cambridge city councilor during 1998.

HOUSE CANDIDATES RAISING THE MOST MONEY IN 1998

The list of top House fundraisers contained six incumbents and ten Democrats. Eight candidates were in contested races; of that number five were elected.

	Candidate	District	Receipts	Opposed	Elected
1.	Thomas M. Finneran (D) (I)	12 th Suffolk	\$ 152,356	No	Yes
2.	Anthony Galluccio (D)	27 th Middlesex	\$ 99,877	Yes	No
3.	Walter Timilty (D)	7 th Norfolk*	\$ 97,538	Yes	Yes
4.	Paul Haley (D) (I)	4 th Norfolk	\$ 96,269	Yes	Yes
5.	Alice Wolf (D) (I)	27 th Middlesex	\$ 88,016	Yes	Yes
6.	Garrett Bradley (D)	3 rd Plymouth	\$ 80,717	Yes	No
7.	Paul Caron (D) (I)	11 th Hampden	\$ 74,965	No	Yes
8.	Terence Meehan Sr. (D)	16 th Worcester*	\$ 73,378	Yes	No
9.	Barry R. Finegold (D) (I)	17 th Essex	\$ 71,922	Yes	Yes
10	Paul Demakis (D) (I)	8 th Suffolk	\$ 68,050	Yes	Yes

^{*} Open seat. I = Incumbent

Five candidates reported no receipts in 1998; none was elected. The winning candidate who raised the least amount of money was unopposed incumbent William Galvin (6^{th} Norfolk District), who reported raising \$100. Galvin spent \$2,180, which included money on hand at the start of 1998.

The top ten list of candidates in terms of spending included six non-incumbents and four incumbents. Nine candidates were opposed, of which five were elected. There were nine Democrats and one Republican on the list.

HOUSE CANDIDATES SPENDING THE MOST MONEY IN 1998

	Candidate	District	Expenditures	Opposed	Elected
1.	Thomas M. Finneran (D) (I)	12 th Suffolk	\$ 151,453	No	Yes
2.	Alice Wolf (D) (I)	27 th Middlesex	\$ 112,216	Yes	Yes
3.	Barry R. Finegold (D) (I)	17 th Essex	\$ 101,334	Yes	Yes
4.	Anthony Galluccio (D)	27 th Middlesex	\$ 99,919	Yes	No
5.	Garrett Bradley (D)	3 rd Plymouth	\$ 99,012	Yes	No
6.	Walter Timilty (D)	7 th Norfolk*	\$ 95,888	Yes	Yes
7.	David M. Peters (R) (I)	6 th Worcester	\$ 78,726	Yes	Yes
8.	Terence Meehan Sr. (D)	16 th Worcester*	\$ 74,318	Yes	No
9.	Jarrett Barrios (D)	28 th Middlesex	\$ 72,724	Yes	Yes
10.	Gilbert Hoy (D)	18 th Suffolk*	\$ 64,246	Yes	No

^{*} Open seat. I = Incumbent.

Six candidates reported spending no money in 1998; none was elected. The winning candidate who spent the least amount of money was unopposed incumbent Barbara Hyland (1st Bristol), who reported spending \$85.

VII. Ending Balances

House candidates reported a total ending balance of \$2,533,385 as of Dec. 31, 1998, the closing date of their final campaign finance reports for the election. The ending balance is only about \$100,000 less than the total starting balance as of Jan. 1, 1998.

For each category, the largest shares were held by incumbents (95 percent), Democrats (87 percent), winners (95 percent) and unopposed candidates (66 percent).

All of the candidates with the ten highest ending balances were incumbents and were re-elected. Eight of the ten were Democrats and nine were unopposed.

HOUSE CANDIDATES WITH THE HIGHEST ENDING BALANCES

(As of Dec. 31, 1998)

	Candidate	District	Balance	Opposed	Elected
1.	Paul Caron (D) (I)	11 th Hampden	\$ 161,432	No	Yes
2.	Paul Haley (D) (I)	4 th Norfolk	\$ 128,771	Yes	Yes
3.	Thomas M. Finneran (D) (I)	12 th Suffolk	\$ 123,679	No	Yes
4.	Salvatore DiMasi (D) (I)	3 rd Suffolk	\$ 99,038	No	Yes
5.	Antonio F Cabral (D) (I)	13 th Bristol	\$ 94,910	No	Yes
6.	Arthur Stephen Tobin (D) (I)	2 nd Norfolk	\$ 66,784	No	Yes
7.	Thomas Petrolati (D) (I)	7 th Hampden	\$ 59,206	No	Yes
8.	John J. Binienda (D) (I)	17 th Worcester	\$ 58,133	No	Yes
9.	Joseph C. Sullivan (D) (I)	5 th Norfolk	\$ 56,934	No	Yes
10.	Rachel Kaprielian (D) (I)	32 nd Middlesex	\$ 53,339	No	Yes

I = Incumbent

A table of campaign finance activity by all House candidates may be found in Appendix D, following this section.

36

¹ This ending balance may not correspond exactly with the aggregate starting balance, total receipts and total expenditures because of math errors on reports filed by some candidates.

Appendix C Aggregate Campaign Activity by House Candidates 1990-98

	1990	1992	1994	1996	1998
Candidates	401	361	323	280	296
Total received	\$ 6,787,691	\$ 6,342,000	\$ 5,662,804	\$ 5,165,929	\$ 6,765,000
Average received	\$ 16,927	\$ 17,568	\$ 17,532	\$ 18,450	\$ 22,855
Total spent	\$ 7,129,457	\$ 6,250,385	\$ 5,488,771	\$ 5,065,065	\$ 6,875,821
Average spent	\$ 17,779	\$ 17,314	\$ 16,993	\$ 18,090	\$ 23,229

Appendix D Campaign Finance Activity in 1998 House Races

	<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumben</u>	t Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
1st Barnstable							
Barbara Bird	0	N	L	\$0.00	\$1,087.00	\$1,086.24	\$0.76
Thomas George	R	1	W	\$10,358.20	\$17,615.00	\$17,734.96	\$10,238.24
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$10,358.20	\$18,702.00	\$18,821.20	\$10,239.00
2nd Barnstable							
Anthony Alva	R	N	L,	\$0.00	\$19,536.88	\$18,959.57	\$577.31
Demetrius Atsalis	D	N	W	\$0.00	\$52,232.07	\$49,825.60	\$2,406.47
Richard Cross	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$9,991.15	\$9,364.55	\$626.60
Linda Edson	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$3,800.00	\$3,820.54	(\$20.54)
James Munafo	R	N	L	\$63.41	\$7,683.36	\$7,746.77	\$0.00
Susan Rohrbach	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$17,225.39	\$16,966.05	\$259.34
Thomas Rugo	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$7,300.00	\$7,300.00	\$0.00
Kevin Turner	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$11,916.01	\$11,907.00	\$9.01
Total Candidates for Seat: 8				\$63.41	\$129,684.86	\$125,890.08	\$3,858.19

Legend

D = Democrat; R = Republican; O = Other/unenrolled I = Incumbent; N = Non-incumbent

W = Winner; L = Loser

	<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumber</u>	nt Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
3rd Barnstable							
Nancy Caffyn	R	N	W	\$0.00	\$35,037.25	\$33,281.14	\$1,756.11
George Costa	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$6,980.00	\$6,963.38	\$16.62
Leo Locke	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$15,709.33	\$15,709.33	\$0.00
James McMahon III	0	N	L	\$0.00	\$9,544.98	\$9,517.07	\$27.91
Matthew C. Patrick	D	N	L	\$126.73	\$3,095.23	\$2,892.91	\$329.05
Timothy Swift	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$7,343.08	\$7,343.08	\$0.00
Susan Walker	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$28,021.47	\$25,913.58	\$2,107.89
Brenda Westgate	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$7,724.07	\$7,760.71	(\$36.64)
Total Candidates for Seat : 8				\$126.73	\$113,455.41	\$109,381.20	\$4,200.94
4th Barnstable							
Shirley A. Gomes	R	I	W	\$11,871.24	\$29,084.93	\$27,853.93	\$13,102.24
Robert Lawless	D	N	L	\$1,203.00	\$12,540.00	\$13,743.00	\$0.00
Leonard Stewart	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$12,555.22	\$12,555.22	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat : 3				\$13,074.24	\$54,180.15	\$54,152.15	\$13,102.24
Barnstable, Dukes & Nantucket							
Eric Turkington	D	I	W	\$12,701.40	\$820.53	\$4,572.03	\$8,949.90
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$12,701.40	\$820.53	\$4,572.03	\$8,949.90
1st Berkshire							
Daniel Bosley	D	ı	W	\$7,917.57	\$36,810.52	\$40,509.91	\$4,218.18
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$7,917.57	\$36,810.52	\$40,509.91	\$4,218.18

	<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumben</u>	t Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
2nd Berkshire							
Shaun Kelly	R	1	W	\$10,031.65	\$9,845.00	\$12,909.02	\$6,967.63
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$10,031.65	\$9,845.00	\$12,909.02	\$6,967.63
3rd Berkshire							
Peter Larkin	D	1	W	\$3,703.94	\$32,484.39	\$25,541.80	\$10,646.53
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$3,703.94	\$32,484.39	\$25,541.80	\$10,646.53
4th Berkshire							
Christopher J. Hodgkins	D	I	W	\$56.74	\$17,849.60	\$3,500.47	\$14,405.87
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$56.74	\$17,849.60	\$3,500.47	\$14,405.87
1st Bristol							
Barbara Hyland	R	I	W	\$9,590.52	\$527.87	\$85.00	\$10,033.39
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$9,590.52	\$527.87	\$85.00	\$10,033.39
2nd Bristol							
John Lepper	R	I	W	\$8,057.99	\$7,511.00	\$9,863.71	\$5,705.28
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$8,057.99	\$7,511.00	\$9,863.71	\$5,705.28
3rd Bristol							
James H. Fagan	D	I	W	\$5,148.34	\$18,756.44	\$21,355.26	\$2,549.52
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$5,148.34	\$18,756.44	\$21,355.26	\$2,549.52

		<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
4th Bristol								
Philip Travis Total Candidates for Seat:	1	D	I	W	\$7,444.32 \$7,444.32	\$10,003.77 \$10,003.77	\$13,374.79 \$13,374.79	\$4,073.30 \$4,073.30
5th Bristol								
Joan M. Menard Total Candidates for Seat:	1	D	I	W	\$2,925.75 \$2,925.75	\$28,464.44 \$28,464.44	\$23,218.39 \$23,218.39	\$8,171.80 \$8,171.80
6th Bristol								
Bradford L. Kilby David B. Sullivan Total Candidates for Seat:	2	D D	N I	L W	\$0.00 \$4,190.38 \$4,190.38	\$34,620.00 \$33,562.33 \$68,182.33	\$37,914.29 \$34,387.08 \$72,301.37	(\$3,294.29) \$3,365.63 \$71.34
7th Bristol								
Robert Correia Total Candidates for Seat:	1	D	I	W	\$3,024.76 \$3,024.76	\$53,569.32 \$53,569.32	\$55,612.78 \$55,612.78	\$981.30 \$981.30
8th Bristol								
Michael J. Rodrigues Total Candidates for Seat:	1	D	I	W	\$10,332.86 \$10,332.86	\$40,954.91 \$40,954.91	\$39,096.93 \$39,096.93	\$12,190.84 \$12,190.84
9th Bristol								
David Gillis John F. Quinn Total Candidates for Seat :	2	R D	N I	L W	\$0.00 \$55,774.44 \$55,774.44	\$8,380.00 \$45,085.79 \$53,465.79	\$8,015.71 \$59,160.54 \$67,176.25	\$364.29 \$41,699.69 \$42,063.98
rotal Carididates for Seat.	_				Ψυυ, ι ι τ. τ.	ψυυ,τυυ.: υ	ψ01,110.23	Ψ-2,000.30

		<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
10th Bristol								
Michele Merolla		R	N	L	\$0.00	\$36,582.14	\$35,259.63	\$1,322.51
William Straus		D	1	W	\$28,687.51	\$28,386.56	\$43,321.80	\$13,752.27
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$28,687.51	\$64,968.70	\$78,581.43	\$15,074.78
11th Bristol								
Robert Koczera		D	ı	W	\$19,988.41	\$7,769.14	\$2,799.11	\$24,958.44
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$19,988.41	\$7,769.14	\$2,799.11	\$24,958.44
12th Bristol								
Ivo Almeida		R	N	L	\$766.88	\$12,743.00	\$13,484.92	\$24.96
Paul Koczera		D	N	L	\$1,437.85	\$14,401.10	\$15,770.71	\$68.24
George Rogers		D	N	W	\$483.82	\$48,907.28	\$48,138.34	\$1,252.76
Christopher Saunders		D	N	L	\$14,555.73	\$25,529.01	\$39,646.49	\$438.25
Duarte Silva		0	N	L	\$521.85	\$11,141.10	\$11,514.01	\$148.94
Total Candidates for Seat :	5				\$17,766.13	\$112,721.49	\$128,554.47	\$1,933.15
13th Bristol								
Antonio Cabral		D	I	W	\$80,871.35	\$21,160.00	\$7,121.32	\$94,910.03
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$80,871.35	\$21,160.00	\$7,121.32	\$94,910.03
14th Bristol								
Kevin Poirier		R	ı	W	\$3,892.58	\$10,337.53	\$9,753.91	\$4,476.20
Total Candidates for Seat :	1		·		\$3,892.58	\$10,337.53	\$9,753.91	\$4,476.20

		<u>Party</u>	Incumben	t Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
1st Essex								
Kevin Finnegan		R	1	W	\$3,642.47	\$12,980.00	\$15,932.07	\$690.40
Dana Hilliard		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$6,944.96	\$6,907.46	\$37.50
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$3,642.47	\$19,924.96	\$22,839.53	\$727.90
2nd Essex								
Harriett Stanley		D	I	W	\$14,666.84	\$17,725.00	\$21,716.26	\$10,675.58
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$14,666.84	\$17,725.00	\$21,716.26	\$10,675.58
3rd Essex								
Brian Dempsey		D	1	W	\$1,274.36	\$20,669.50	\$19,312.71	\$2,631.15
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$1,274.36	\$20,669.50	\$19,312.71	\$2,631.15
4th Essex								
Forrester Clark		R	1	L	\$2,125.69	\$13,605.00	\$15,707.76	\$22.93
Bradford Hill		R	N	W	\$40.00	\$16,234.80	\$15,284.94	\$989.86
Patrick McNally		D	N	L	\$1,166.83	\$6,285.83	\$6,065.53	\$1,387.13
Total Candidates for Seat :	3				\$3,332.52	\$36,125.63	\$37,058.23	\$2,399.92
5th Essex								
Anthony Verga		D	1	W	\$4,728.06	\$3,598.91	\$1,355.30	\$6,971.67
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$4,728.06	\$3,598.91	\$1,355.30	\$6,971.67

		<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
6th Essex								
Michael Cahill		D	I	W	\$4,992.64	\$17,141.00	\$21,829.59	\$304.05
Kerry Murphy Healey		R	N	L	\$0.00	\$20,686.00	\$19,734.63	\$951.37
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$4,992.64	\$37,827.00	\$41,564.22	\$1,255.42
7th Essex								
J. Michael Ruane		D	I	W	\$10,315.96	\$538.90	\$223.05	\$10,631.81
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$10,315.96	\$538.90	\$223.05	\$10,631.81
8th Essex								
Benjamin Gatchell		R	N	L	\$0.00	\$4,630.00	\$4,309.07	\$320.93
Douglas Petersen		D	1	W	\$11,828.11	\$11,815.48	\$10,330.82	\$13,312.77
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$11,828.11	\$16,445.48	\$14,639.89	\$13,633.70
9th Essex								
Steven Angelo		D	I	W	\$313.62	\$8,288.00	\$8,563.45	\$38.17
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$313.62	\$8,288.00	\$8,563.45	\$38.17
10th Essex								
Robert Fennell		D	I	W	\$27,470.00	\$20,134.00	\$9,309.72	\$38,294.28
Total Candidates for Seat:	1				\$27,470.00	\$20,134.00	\$9,309.72	\$38,294.28
11th Essex								
Thomas McGee		D	I	W	\$22,603.56	\$24,580.00	\$15,398.56	\$31,785.73
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$22,603.56	\$24,580.00	\$15,398.56	\$31,785.73

		<u>Party</u>	Incumbent	t Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	Raised	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
12th Essex								
Elizabeth Hosman		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$49.00	\$49.00	\$0.00
John Slattery		D	1	W	\$36,006.45	\$34,720.98	\$38,992.54	\$31,734.89
Mark Vainas		R	N	L	\$0.00	\$12,216.05	\$12,297.68	\$412.79
Robert J. Wood, Sr.		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$13,145.18	\$13,145.18	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat :	4				\$36,006.45	\$60,131.21	\$64,484.40	\$32,147.68
13th Essex								
Theodore Speliotis		D	1	W	\$573.35	\$3,805.00	\$4,032.23	\$346.12
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$573.35	\$3,805.00	\$4,032.23	\$346.12
14th Essex								
Donna Cuomo		R	I	L	\$2,513.17	\$32,313.64	\$26,529.28	\$8,297.53
David Torrisi		D	N	W	\$9,282.99	\$52,535.00	\$57,607.85	\$4,210.14
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$11,796.16	\$84,848.64	\$84,137.13	\$12,507.67
15th Essex								
Arthur Broadhurst		D	1	W	\$2,677.61	\$8,988.09	\$5,504.07	\$6,161.63
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$2,677.61	\$8,988.09	\$5,504.07	\$6,161.63
16th Essex								
M. Paul lannuccillo		D	1	L	\$15,157.99	\$21,000.00	\$28,686.73	\$274.59
Jose Santiago		D	N	W	\$0.00	\$7,841.47	\$7,652.28	\$189.19
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$15,157.99	\$28,841.47	\$36,339.01	\$463.78

	<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbe</u>	nt Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
17th Essex							
Barry Finegold	D	I	W	\$32,924.73	\$71,921.93	\$101,333.85	\$3,512.81
Salim Rodriguez Tabit	R	N	L	\$5,089.10	\$27,265.60	\$32,304.22	\$50.48
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$38,013.83	\$99,187.53	\$133,638.07	\$3,563.29
1st Franklin							
Stephen Kulik	D	1	W	\$10,022.22	\$9,035.00	\$6,549.96	\$12,507.26
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$10,022.22	\$9,035.00	\$6,549.96	\$12,507.26
2nd Franklin							
John Merrigan	D	1	W	\$1,611.03	\$8,250.00	\$9,836.11	\$24.92
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$1,611.03	\$8,250.00	\$9,836.11	\$24.92
1st Hampden							
Richard Barry	R	N	L	\$13.78	\$34,345.00	\$33,861.38	\$497.40
Patrick Landers III	D	1	W	\$629.72	\$32,523.50	\$31,084.79	\$2,068.43
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$643.50	\$66,868.50	\$64,946.17	\$2,565.83
2nd Hampden							
Mary Rogeness	R	1	W	\$25,721.42	\$14,960.13	\$6,610.03	\$34,071.52
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$25,721.42	\$14,960.13	\$6,610.03	\$34,071.52
3rd Hampden							
Daniel Keenan	D	1	W	\$1,996.77	\$13,340.07	\$12,826.65	\$2,510.19
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$1,996.77	\$13,340.07	\$12,826.65	\$2,510.19

		<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
4th Hampden								
Celia Hahn		R	1	W	\$22,137.82	\$14,493.97	\$8,827.07	\$27,804.72
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$22,137.82	\$14,493.97	\$8,827.07	\$27,804.72
5th Hampden								
John Barrett		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$17,991.36	\$17,991.36	\$0.00
Evelyn Chesky		D	1	W	\$6,380.68	\$27,552.00	\$32,034.37	\$1,898.31
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$6,380.68	\$45,543.36	\$50,025.73	\$1,898.31
6th Hampden								
Walter DeFilippi		R	I	W	\$12,057.21	\$926.46	\$7,550.62	\$5,433.05
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$12,057.21	\$926.46	\$7,550.62	\$5,433.05
7th Hampden								
Thomas Petrolati		D	1	W	\$68,595.53	\$9,169.21	\$18,559.10	\$59,205.64
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$68,595.53	\$9,169.21	\$18,559.10	\$59,205.64
8th Hampden								
Joseph Wagner		D	I	W	\$3,629.36	\$28,278.05	\$30,727.48	\$1,179.93
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$3,629.36	\$28,278.05	\$30,727.48	\$1,179.93
9th Hampden								
Dennis Murphy		D	I	W	\$47,051.55	\$50,634.62	\$47,146.23	\$50,539.94
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$47,051.55	\$50,634.62	\$47,146.23	\$50,539.94

		<u>Party</u>	Incumben	t Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
10th Hampden								
Cheryl Rivera		D	N	W	\$0.00	\$20,500.00	\$20,199.10	\$300.90
Carmen Rosa		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$7,975.18	\$7,452.64	\$522.54
Cesar Ruiz		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$1,805.60	\$497.29	\$0.00
Anthony Scibelli		D	1		\$0.00	\$5,573.03	\$1,052.45	\$4,520.58
Total Candidates for Seat :	4				\$0.00	\$35,853.81	\$29,201.48	\$5,344.02
11th Hampden								
Paul Caron		D	ī	W	\$147,835.9	\$74,965.40	\$61,369.30	\$161,432.06
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$147,835.96	\$74,965.40	\$61,369.30	\$161,432.06
12th Hampden								
Morris Jones		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$15,210.02	\$15,210.02	\$0.00
Larry Lawson		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Benjamin Swan		D	1	W	\$5,599.66	\$23,450.00	\$26,517.39	\$2,532.27
Total Candidates for Seat :	3				\$5,599.66	\$38,660.02	\$41,727.41	\$2,532.27
13th Hampden								
Gale D. Candaras		D	1	W	\$8,712.97	\$35,326.00	\$42,545.54	\$1,493.43
Matthew Ferri		R	N	L	\$1,181.79	\$11,237.92	\$12,357.21	\$62.50
Rodney Fountain		R	N	L	\$0.00	\$14,924.00	\$14,915.21	\$8.79
Total Candidates for Seat :	3				\$9,894.76	\$61,487.92	\$69,817.96	\$1,564.72
1st Hampshire								
William P. Nagle Jr.		D	1	W	\$23,614.37	\$23,330.76	\$23,182.62	\$23,762.51
Total Candidates for Seat :	1	5	ı	v v	\$23,614.37	\$23,330.76	\$23,182.62	\$23,762.51
rotal Garialdates for Geat .	•				Ψ=0,017.01	Ψ20,000.10	Ψ20, 102.02	Ψ20,102.01

	<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
2nd Hampshire							
Nancy Flavin Total Candidates for Seat: 1	D	I	W	\$16,693.61 \$16,693.61	\$26,684.86 \$26,684.86	\$22,774.39 \$22,774.39	\$20,604.08 \$20,604.08
3rd Hampshire							
Ellen Story Total Candidates for Seat: 1	D	I	W	\$18,420.17 \$18,420.17	\$1,437.09 \$1,437.09	\$8,194.34 \$8,194.34	\$11,662.92 \$11,662.92
1st Middlesex							
Robert Hargraves Total Candidates for Seat: 1	R	I	W	\$27,851.13 \$27,851.13	\$17,963.21 \$17,963.21	\$12,390.68 \$12,390.68	\$33,423.66 \$33,423.66
2nd Middlesex							
Geoffrey Hall Total Candidates for Seat: 1	D	I	W	\$9,429.52 \$9,429.52	\$2,635.00 \$2,635.00	\$3,983.23 \$3,983.23	\$8,081.29 \$8,081.29
3rd Middlesex							
Douglas MacLean Patricia Walrath Total Candidates for Seat: 2	R D	N I	L W	\$0.00 \$19,438.83 \$19,438.83	\$25,718.50 \$33,004.31 \$58,722.81	\$26,826.10 \$32,569.83 \$59,395.93	(\$1,107.60) \$19,873.31 \$18,765.71
4th Middlesex							
Stephen LeDuc Michael Ossing Leonard Umina Total Candidates for Seat: 3	D D R	I N N	W L L	\$2,658.88 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$2,658.88	\$38,386.00 \$200.00 \$30,000.00 \$68,586.00	\$35,305.10 \$200.00 \$29,118.27 \$64,623.37	\$5,739.78 \$0.00 \$881.73 \$6,621.51

		<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
5th Middlesex								
Douglas Stoddart		R	1	W	\$4,179.07	\$252.13	\$3,350.25	\$1,080.95
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$4,179.07	\$252.13	\$3,350.25	\$1,080.95
6th Middlesex								
John Stasik		D	1	W	\$6,081.18	\$11,295.18	\$12,319.74	\$5,056.62
Jerrald Vengrow		R	N	L	\$358.17	\$7,873.00	\$6,646.83	\$1,584.34
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$6,439.35	\$19,168.18	\$18,966.57	\$6,640.96
7th Middlesex								
John Stefanini		D	1	W	\$14,513.04	\$21,998.58	\$27,327.97	\$9,183.65
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$14,513.04	\$21,998.58	\$27,327.97	\$9,183.65
8th Middlesex								
Barbara Gardner		D	1	W	\$29,164.17	\$53,586.61	\$57,803.40	\$24,947.38
Daniel Gregory		R	N	L	\$600.00	\$9,974.32	\$10,552.79	\$21.53
John McPartland		R	N	L	\$0.00	\$2,815.00	\$2,815.00	\$0.00
Graham Steele		0	N	L	\$0.00	\$189.00	\$189.00	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat :	4				\$29,764.17	\$66,564.93	\$71,360.19	\$24,968.91
9th Middlesex								
David Gately		0	1	W	\$87.22	\$10,465.00	\$10,028.70	\$523.52
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$87.22	\$10,465.00	\$10,028.70	\$523.52

		<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
10th Middlesex								
Peter Koutoujian		D	1	W	\$10,942.92	\$33,135.45	\$31,642.87	\$12,435.50
Jeffrey Rubin		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$6,505.00	\$6,676.00	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$10,942.92	\$39,640.45	\$38,318.87	\$12,435.50
11th Middlesex								
Ruth Balser		D	N	W	\$2,611.87	\$52,610.08	\$54,492.96	\$728.99
Kenneth Bresler		D	N	L	\$5,352.10	\$25,520.90	\$29,858.10	\$1,014.90
Nicole Payne		D	N	L	\$6,896.50	\$45,976.00	\$48,560.52	\$4,311.98
Total Candidates for Seat :	3				\$14,860.47	\$124,106.98	\$132,911.58	\$6,055.87
12th Middlesex								
Richard Freedman		R	N	L	\$788.38	\$651.21	\$1,439.59	\$0.00
Virginia Gardner		R	N	L	\$0.00	\$11,266.45	\$10,638.81	\$627.64
Kay Khan		D	1	W	\$959.45	\$32,452.79	\$31,072.35	\$2,339.89
Total Candidates for Seat :	3				\$1,747.83	\$44,370.45	\$43,150.75	\$2,967.53
13th Middlesex								
Russell Ashton		D	N	L	\$916.16	\$3,072.00	\$2,179.18	\$1,808.98
Susan Pope		R	I	W	\$17,536.22	\$21,517.72	\$13,007.54	\$26,046.40
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$18,452.38	\$24,589.72	\$15,186.72	\$27,855.38
14th Middlesex								
Dean Cavaretta		R	N	L	\$5,012.30	\$24,600.00	\$29,590.30	\$22.00
Pamela Resor		D	I	W	\$2,840.43	\$35,421.00	\$31,081.02	\$7,180.41
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$7,852.73	\$60,021.00	\$60,671.32	\$7,202.41

		<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
15th Middlesex								
Jay Kaufman		D	1	W	\$12,886.92	\$8,254.03	\$2,847.42	\$18,293.53
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$12,886.92	\$8,254.03	\$2,847.42	\$18,293.53
16th Middlesex								
Carol Cleven		R	1	W	\$1,226.61	\$29,146.80	\$27,514.44	\$2,858.97
William Dalton		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$22,265.16	\$21,868.23	\$396.93
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$1,226.61	\$51,411.96	\$49,382.67	\$3,255.90
17th Middlesex								
Thomas Golden Jr.		D	1	W	\$5,504.36	\$34,556.94	\$23,190.10	\$16,871.20
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$5,504.36	\$34,556.94	\$23,190.10	\$16,871.20
18th Middlesex								
Scott Consaul		D	N	L	\$120.86	\$9,804.40	\$9,925.26	\$0.00
Stephen Geary		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$33,757.84	\$33,757.84	\$0.00
William Martin		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$36,654.20	\$34,722.31	\$1,931.89
Rita Mercier		D	N	L	\$2,071.18	\$7,066.00	\$7,931.49	\$1,205.69
David Nangle		D	N	W	\$0.00	\$47,590.40	\$47,188.85	\$401.55
Karen Simao		R	N	L	\$0.00	\$24,094.33	\$23,608.43	\$485.90
Karin Theodoros		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$54,415.00	\$44,231.81	\$10,183.19
Total Candidates for Seat :	7				\$2,192.04	\$213,382.17	\$201,365.99	\$14,208.22
19th Middlesex								
Kevin Murphy		D	1	W	\$2,468.05	\$23,320.00	\$19,411.41	\$6,376.64
Patrick O'Connor		D	N	L,	\$0.00	\$697.59	\$697.59	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$2,468.05	\$24,017.59	\$20,109.00	\$6,376.64

		<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
20th Middlesex								
James Miceli		D	I	W	\$1,927.74	\$41,268.01	\$42,234.47	\$961.28
Michael Newhouse		D	N	L	\$864.86	\$50,690.98	\$50,375.71	\$1,180.13
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$2,792.60	\$91,958.99	\$92,610.18	\$2,141.41
21st Middlesex								
Bradley Jones Jr.		R	I	W	\$5,073.66	\$27,499.00	\$15,420.02	\$17,152.64
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$5,073.66	\$27,499.00	\$15,420.02	\$17,152.64
22nd Middlesex								
Brian Cresta		R	I	W	\$8,304.32	\$13,300.00	\$9,896.89	\$11,707.43
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$8,304.32	\$13,300.00	\$9,896.89	\$11,707.43
23rd Middlesex								
Charles Murphy		D	1	W	\$5,689.10	\$17,945.00	\$16,257.19	\$7,376.91
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$5,689.10	\$17,945.00	\$16,257.19	\$7,376.91
24th Middlesex								
Brion Cangiamila		R	N	L	\$169.93	\$16,120.00	\$15,617.20	\$672.73
William G. Greene, Jr.		D	I	W	\$8,568.92	\$13,608.00	\$17,975.73	\$4,201.19
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$8,738.85	\$29,728.00	\$33,592.93	\$4,873.92
25th Middlesex								
Michael Keefe		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$25,915.34	\$25,655.36	\$259.98
James Marzilli		D	1	W	\$6,107.00	\$39,632.00	\$41,130.47	\$4,608.53
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$6,107.00	\$65,547.34	\$66,785.83	\$4,868.51

		<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
26th Middlesex								
Anne Paulsen		D	ı	W	\$8,845.10	\$504.45	\$335.55	\$9,014.00
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$8,845.10	\$504.45	\$335.55	\$9,014.00
27th Middlesex								
Anthony Galluccio		D	N	L	\$619.80	\$99,876.55	\$99,919.05	\$577.30
Alice Wolf		D	1	W	\$31,757.67	\$88,016.12	\$112,215.86	\$7,557.93
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$32,377.47	\$187,892.67	\$212,134.91	\$8,135.23
28th Middlesex								
Jarrett Barrios		D	N	W	\$29,748.15	\$54,829.66	\$72,724.05	\$11,853.76
Dennis Benzan		D	N	L	\$10.93	\$20,643.45	\$20,454.29	\$200.09
David Hoicka		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$2,777.00	\$5,197.28	(\$2,420.28)
Ron Potvin		R	N	L	\$0.00	\$743.00	\$764.48	(\$21.48)
Alvin Thompson		D	1	L	\$1,383.17	\$15,270.00	\$16,653.17	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat :	5				\$31,142.25	\$94,263.11	\$115,793.27	\$9,612.09
29th Middlesex								
Timothy J. Toomey Jr.		D	I	W	\$2,754.27	\$21,188.78	\$21,474.36	\$2,468.69
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$2,754.27	\$21,188.78	\$21,474.36	\$2,468.69
30th Middlesex								
Ronald Bargoot		0	N	L	\$31.00	\$75.00	\$0.00	\$106.00
Patricia Jehlen		D	1	W	\$5,627.03	\$8,274.94	\$6,056.77	\$7,845.20
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$5,658.03	\$8,349.94	\$6,056.77	\$7,951.20

		<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
31st Middlesex								
Edward Connolly		D	1	W	\$33,439.47	\$30,412.13	\$57,305.52	\$6,546.08
Michael Marchese		D	N	L	\$6,232.21	\$33,406.76	\$38,324.75	\$1,314.22
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$39,671.68	\$63,818.89	\$95,630.27	\$7,860.30
32nd Middlesex								
Rachel Kaprielian		D	I	W	\$36,535.07	\$35,247.00	\$18,443.03	\$53,339.04
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$36,535.07	\$35,247.00	\$18,443.03	\$53,339.04
33rd Middlesex								
Carol Donovan		D	1	W	\$14,389.13	\$23,502.73	\$29,074.12	\$8,817.74
Scott Galvin		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$9,380.00	\$8,667.76	\$712.24
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$14,389.13	\$32,882.73	\$37,741.88	\$9,529.98
34th Middlesex								
Paul Casey		D	1	W	\$12,509.42	\$28,374.64	\$36,327.62	\$4,556.44
Bill O'Leary		R	N	L	\$0.00	\$14,720.00	\$14,720.00	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$12,509.42	\$43,094.64	\$51,047.62	\$4,556.44
35th Middlesex								
Michael Festa		D	N	W	\$3,714.19	\$53,999.53	\$57,152.32	\$561.40
J. Michael Hughes		R	N	L,	\$2,549.30	\$15,471.00	\$17,871.61	\$148.69
Paul O'Neill		R	N	L	\$3,147.57	\$54,238.52	\$57,465.41	(\$79.32)
Robert Snow		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$2,340.00	\$2,340.00	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat :	4				\$9,411.06	\$126,049.05	\$134,829.34	\$630.77

		<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	t Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
36th Middlesex								
Orlando Conforti		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$1,171.55	\$1,171.55	\$0.00
Christopher Fallon		D	1	W	\$16,703.85	\$49,702.44	\$60,132.25	\$6,274.04
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$16,703.85	\$50,873.99	\$61,303.80	\$6,274.04
37th Middlesex								
Vincent Ciampa		D	1	W	\$15,227.67	\$36,662.88	\$44,825.91	\$7,064.64
Joshua O'Brien		D	N	L	\$3,252.81	\$29,749.00	\$32,813.15	\$188.66
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$18,480.48	\$66,411.88	\$77,639.06	\$7,253.30
38th Middlesex								
Sabino Cataldo		D	N	L	\$0.28	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.28
Anthony Giglio		D	1	W	\$4,832.32	\$11,307.50	\$6,021.73	\$10,118.09
Francis Stone		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$200.00	\$195.50	\$4.50
Total Candidates for Seat :	3				\$4,832.60	\$11,507.50	\$6,217.23	\$10,122.87
39th Middlesex								
Colleen Garry		D	1	W	\$8,257.26	\$15,018.00	\$19,640.12	\$3,635.14
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$8,257.26	\$15,018.00	\$19,640.12	\$3,635.14
1st Norfolk								
Bruce Ayers		D	N	W	\$17,511.93	\$34,439.17	\$50,820.97	\$1,130.13
Lawrence Chretien		D	N	L	\$15,284.13	\$30,545.12	\$44,428.69	\$1,400.56
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$32,796.06	\$64,984.29	\$95,249.66	\$2,530.69

		<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
2nd Norfolk								
Arthur Stephen Tobin		D	1	W	\$66,381.90	\$22,123.35	\$21,721.32	\$66,783.93
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$66,381.90	\$22,123.35	\$21,721.32	\$66,783.93
3rd Norfolk								
Ronald Mariano		D	1	W	\$44,766.64	\$21,068.21	\$14,302.75	\$51,532.10
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$44,766.64	\$21,068.21	\$14,302.75	\$51,532.10
4th Norfolk								
Henry Dunker		R	N	L	\$0.00	\$3,955.15	\$3,676.10	\$279.05
Paul Haley		D	1	W	\$88,449.75	\$96,269.22	\$55,948.33	\$128,770.64
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$88,449.75	\$100,224.37	\$59,624.43	\$129,049.69
5th Norfolk								
Joseph Sullivan		D	ı	W	\$39,747.84	\$32,450.00	\$15,264.12	\$56,933.72
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$39,747.84	\$32,450.00	\$15,264.12	\$56,933.72
6th Norfolk								
William Galvin		D	ı	W	\$3,016.98	\$100.00	\$2,180.00	\$936.98
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$3,016.98	\$100.00	\$2,180.00	\$936.98
7th Norfolk								
Christopher Huban		R	N	L	\$38.70	\$8,104.15	\$8,142.85	\$0.00
Joseph McEttrick		D	N	L	\$10,740.32	\$45,603.80	\$53,319.50	\$3,024.62
Walter Timilty		D	N	W	\$0.00	\$97,538.22	\$95,888.05	\$1,650.17
Total Candidates for Seat :	3				\$10,779.02	\$151,246.17	\$157,350.40	\$4,674.79

		<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
8th Norfolk								
Louis Kafka		D	I	W	\$12,390.68	\$13,804.68	\$21,137.53	\$5,057.83
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$12,390.68	\$13,804.68	\$21,137.53	\$5,057.83
9th Norfolk								
Adeline Bee		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$22,369.69	\$19,112.98	\$3,256.71
Scott Brown		R	N	W	\$0.00	\$45,399.76	\$44,422.86	\$976.90
Robert Finneran		0	N	L	\$0.00	\$358.72	\$358.72	\$0.00
Elizabeth Nashawaty		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$6,495.22	\$6,495.22	\$0.00
Harry Tominey		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$4,365.00	\$4,365.00	\$0.00
John Vozzella		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$6,174.63	\$5,438.07	\$736.56
Total Candidates for Seat :	6				\$0.00	\$85,163.02	\$80,192.85	\$4,970.17
10th Norfolk								
James Vallee		D	1	W	\$1,398.76	\$15,680.00	\$11,997.20	\$5,081.56
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$1,398.76	\$15,680.00	\$11,997.20	\$5,081.56
11th Norfolk								
Maryanne Lewis		D	1	W	\$23,970.34	\$31,388.48	\$30,114.86	\$25,243.96
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$23,970.34	\$31,388.48	\$30,114.86	\$25,243.96
12th Norfolk								
John Rogers		D	1	W	\$23,320.49	\$27,376.21	\$18,605.75	\$32,090.95
Total Candidates for Seat :	1	5	•	••	\$23,320.49	\$27,376.21	\$18,605.75	\$32,090.95
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	-				+,	,	¥ . 0,000 0	\$0 = ,000.00

		<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
13th Norfolk								
Lida Harkins Total Candidates for Seat :	1	D	I	W	\$8,068.09 \$8,068.09	\$19,795.00 \$19,795.00	\$11,921.16 \$11,921.16	\$15,941.93 \$15,941.93
14th Norfolk								
John Locke Total Candidates for Seat:	1	R	I	W	\$7,872.47 \$7,872.47	\$1,600.00 \$1,600.00	\$8,132.77 \$8,132.77	\$1,339.70 \$1,339.70
15th Norfolk								
John Businger Ronny Sydney Total Candidates for Seat:	2	D D	I N	L W	\$25,520.98 \$6,445.31 \$31,966.29	\$26,023.00 \$49,971.40 \$75,994.40	\$27,217.48 \$53,178.02 \$80,395.50	\$24,326.50 \$3,238.69 \$27,565.19
1st Plymouth								
Vinny deMacedo Joseph Gallitano Total Candidates for Seat :	2	R D	N I	W L	\$1,979.75 \$1,254.84 \$3,234.59	\$33,170.00 \$31,031.00 \$64,201.00	\$34,619.86 \$31,456.02 \$66,075.88	\$529.89 \$829.82 \$1,359.71
2nd Plymouth								
Samuel Corbitt Ruth W. Provost Total Candidates for Seat:	2	R D	N I	L W	\$3,505.11 \$3,791.01 \$7,296.12	\$14,695.00 \$26,453.27 \$41,148.27	\$16,944.15 \$28,776.95 \$45,721.10	\$1,255.96 \$1,467.33 \$2,723.29

		<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
3rd Plymouth								
Garrett Bradley		D	N	L	\$18,437.93	\$80,717.31	\$99,012.01	\$143.23
Joshua Cutler		D	N	L	\$13,663.19	\$31,884.93	\$44,794.47	\$753.65
Mary Jeanette Murray		R	1	W	\$6,935.44	\$48,077.91	\$51,995.71	\$3,017.64
Walter Murray		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$806.14	\$786.14	\$20.00
Total Candidates for Seat :	4				\$39,036.56	\$161,486.29	\$196,588.33	\$3,934.52
4th Plymouth								
Mark Casper		R	N	L	\$541.09	\$8,410.00	\$8,937.18	\$13.91
Frank Hynes		D	I	W	\$28,884.66	\$17,380.17	\$25,527.10	\$20,737.73
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$29,425.75	\$25,790.17	\$34,464.28	\$20,751.64
5th Plymouth								
David Flynn		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$9,045.00	\$8,994.71	\$50.29
Fred Levin		R	N	L	\$0.00	\$8,908.93	\$8,275.34	\$633.59
Carole Mooney		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$12,535.76	\$11,250.11	\$1,285.65
Robert Nyman		D	N	W	\$455.68	\$40,430.00	\$37,980.15	\$2,905.53
Christopher Ryan		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$15,701.84	\$15,701.84	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat :	5				\$455.68	\$86,621.53	\$82,202.15	\$4,875.06
6th Plymouth								
Francis Marini		R	1	W	\$8,696.69	\$8,000.00	\$8,344.49	\$8,352.20
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$8,696.69	\$8,000.00	\$8,344.49	\$8,352.20

		<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
7th Plymouth								
Steven Olson		R	N	L	\$0.00	\$7,922.00	\$8,498.43	\$18.56
Kathleen Teahan		D	I	W	\$6,824.41	\$24,757.14	\$19,178.88	\$12,402.67
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$6,824.41	\$32,679.14	\$27,677.31	\$12,421.23
8th Plymouth								
William Cole		R	N	L	\$0.00	\$32,189.04	\$31,752.82	\$436.22
Stephen Elliott		0	N	L	\$0.00	\$5,836.85	\$5,833.48	\$3.37
David Flynn		D	N	W	\$0.00	\$43,945.40	\$41,348.65	\$2,596.75
Michael Magoon		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$4,595.38	\$3,650.47	\$944.91
Total Candidates for Seat :	4				\$0.00	\$86,566.67	\$82,585.42	\$3,981.25
9th Plymouth								
Dale Fegel, Jr.		R	N	L	\$0.00	\$5,278.00	\$5,265.07	\$12.93
Thomas Kennedy		D	I	W	\$35,458.48	\$28,488.02	\$20,624.91	\$43,321.59
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$35,458.48	\$33,766.02	\$25,889.98	\$43,334.52
10th Plymouth								
Christine Canavan		D	I	W	\$8,376.93	\$6,999.73	\$9,311.89	\$6,064.77
Craig Pina		R	N	L	\$796.98	\$3,473.00	\$3,857.85	\$412.13
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$9,173.91	\$10,472.73	\$13,169.74	\$6,476.90
11th Plymouth								
Geraldine Creedon		D	I	W	\$504.24	\$17,795.00	\$9,850.51	\$8,448.73
Steven Gabriel		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$504.24	\$17,795.00	\$9,850.51	\$8,448.73

		<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumben</u>	t Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
12th Plymouth								
Olavo DeMacedo		R	N	L	\$29.26	\$25,955.00	\$18,921.57	\$7,062.69
Thomas O'Brien		D	I	W	\$6,768.45	\$45,527.50	\$50,516.66	\$1,779.29
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$6,797.71	\$71,482.50	\$69,438.23	\$8,841.98
1st Suffolk								
James Loring		R	N	L	\$0.00	\$1,241.60	\$1,242.60	(\$1.00)
Emanuel Serra		D	I	W	\$4,661.46	\$55,930.00	\$56,423.25	\$4,168.21
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$4,661.46	\$57,171.60	\$57,665.85	\$4,167.21
2nd Suffolk								
Gene O'Flaherty		D	ı	W	\$1,291.27	\$23,335.00	\$24,065.94	\$560.33
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$1,291.27	\$23,335.00	\$24,065.94	\$560.33
3rd Suffolk								
Salvatore DiMasi		D	ı	W	\$100,920.3	\$48,938.00	\$50,820.56	\$99,037.76
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$100,920.32	\$48,938.00	\$50,820.56	\$99,037.76
4th Suffolk								
John A. Hart Jr.		D	ı	W	\$7,837.73	\$37,400.00	\$44,704.87	\$532.86
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$7,837.73	\$37,400.00	\$44,704.87	\$532.86

<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumber</u>	nt Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
D D	N I	L W	\$0.00 \$5,886.96 \$5,886.96	\$6,070.04 \$21,470.00 \$27,540.04	\$6,070.04 \$24,497.10 \$30,567.14	\$0.00 \$2,859.86 \$2,859.86
D	1	W	\$2,290.19 \$2,290.19	\$2,485.00 \$2,485.00	\$2,605.98 \$2,605.98	\$2,169.21 \$2,169.21
D	I	W	\$3,494.89 \$3,494.89	\$2,860.00 \$2,860.00	\$3,977.24 \$3,977.24	\$2,377.65 \$2,377.65
R D	N I	L W	\$4,368.88 \$11,033.81 \$15,402.69	\$11,938.74 \$68,050.00 \$79,988.74	\$13,778.06 \$54,985.28 \$68,763.34	\$2,259.41 \$24,098.53 \$26,357.94
D D D	N I N	L W L	\$12,133.09 \$28,123.69 \$23,882.12 \$64.138.90	\$27,484.68 \$51,831.01 \$38,951.86 \$118.267.55	\$34,452.58 \$61,753.48 \$62,833.98 \$159.040.04	\$5,165.19 \$18,201.22 \$0.00 \$23,366.41
	D D D D D	D N D I R N D I D N D I	D N L D I W D I W R N L D I W D N L D I W	D N L \$0.00 D I W \$5,886.96 \$5,886.96 D I W \$2,290.19 \$2,290.19 D I W \$3,494.89 R N L \$4,368.88 D I W \$11,033.81 \$15,402.69 D N L \$12,133.09 D I W \$28,123.69	D N L \$4,368.88 \$11,938.74 D I W \$11,033.81 \$68,050.00 \$15,402.69 \$27,484.68 D I W \$2,882.12 \$38,951.86	D N L \$4,368.88 \$11,938.74 \$13,778.06 D I W \$11,033.81 \$68,050.00 \$54,985.28 \$15,402.69 \$79,988.74 \$68,763.34 D N L \$12,133.09 \$27,484.68 \$34,452.58 D I W \$28,123.69 \$51,831.01 \$61,753.48 D N L \$23,882.12 \$38,951.86 \$66,2833.98

		<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
10th Suffolk								
David Donnelly Total Candidates for Seat:	1	D	I	W	\$1,568.91 \$1,568.91	\$38,665.00 \$38,665.00	\$38,359.79 \$38,359.79	\$1,874.12 \$1,874.12
11th Suffolk	1				ψ1,300.31	\$30,003.00	ψ50,555.75	Ψ1,07 4.12
		0	N		\$0.00	¢1 700 10	¢1 255 00	\$533.19
Arthur Craffey Elizabeth Malia		O D	N	L W	\$6,079.52	\$1,788.19 \$9,889.00	\$1,255.00 \$11,336.12	\$4,632.40
Total Candidates for Seat :	2	Б	ľ	VV	\$6,079.52	\$11,677.19	\$12,591.12	\$ 5 ,1 65 .5 9
12th Suffolk								
Thomas Finneran		D	I	W	\$122,776.1	\$152,355.73	\$151,452.79	\$123,679.12
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$122,776.18	\$152,355.73	\$151,452.79	\$123,679.12
13th Suffolk								
Martin Walsh		D	I	W	\$6,470.47	\$41,240.00	\$46,914.02	\$796.45
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$6,470.47	\$41,240.00	\$46,914.02	\$796.45
14th Suffolk								
Angelo Scaccia		D	I	W	\$4,507.14	\$39,256.20	\$32,078.18	\$11,685.16
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$4,507.14	\$39,256.20	\$32,078.18	\$11,685.16
15th Suffolk								
Kevin W. Fitzgerald		D	I	W	\$19,240.72	\$53,104.87	\$44,878.80	\$27,466.79
Richard Whitney		0	N	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$19,240.72	\$53,104.87	\$44,878.80	\$27,466.79

	<u>P</u>	art <u>y</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
16th Suffolk								
Kathi-Anne Reinstein		D	N	W	\$0.00	\$16,940.00	\$16,133.66	\$806.34
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$0.00	\$16,940.00	\$16,133.66	\$806.34
17th Suffolk								
Kevin Honan		D	1	W	\$18,042.28	\$23,774.39	\$18,915.83	\$22,900.84
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$18,042.28	\$23,774.39	\$18,915.83	\$22,900.84
18th Suffolk								
Neil F. Duffy, Jr.		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$23,055.00	\$8,852.10	\$14,202.90
Brian Golden		D	N	W	\$0.00	\$51,323.13	\$50,174.73	\$1,148.40
Gilbert Hoy		D	N	L	\$6,003.74	\$58,265.45	\$64,246.28	\$22.91
Michael Moran		D	N	L	\$3,050.76	\$33,198.26	\$32,780.36	\$4,468.66
Nadene Stein		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$19,342.09	\$18,736.97	\$605.12
Total Candidates for Seat :	5				\$9,054.50	\$185,183.93	\$174,790.44	\$20,447.99
19th Suffolk								
Robert DeLeo		D	I	W	\$36,687.53	\$40,700.00	\$44,297.07	\$33,090.46
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$36,687.53	\$40,700.00	\$44,297.07	\$33,090.46
1st Worcester								
Harold Lane Jr.		D	ı	W	\$15,831.94	\$1,351.26	\$3,741.43	\$13,441.77
Total Candidates for Seat :	1	U	I	VV	\$15,831.94	\$1,351.26	\$3,741.43	\$13,441.77
Total Calluldates for Seat .	1				Ψ10,001.04	Ψ1,331.20	Ψυ,1 - 11-40	Ψ10,771.77

	<u>Party</u>	Incumber	nt Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	Raised	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
2nd Worcester							
Brian Knuuttila	D	1	W	\$3,504.58	\$7,730.35	\$7,121.75	\$4,113.18
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$3,504.58	\$7,730.35	\$7,121.75	\$4,113.18
3rd Worcester							
Emile Goguen	D	1	W	\$846.99	\$17,706.46	\$16,481.86	\$2,071.59
Ralph Romano	R	N	L	\$143.92	\$12,675.00	\$12,735.38	\$83.54
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$990.91	\$30,381.46	\$29,217.24	\$2,155.13
4th Worcester							
Mary Jane Simmons	D	1	W	\$5,531.33	\$26,674.61	\$25,404.42	\$6,801.52
Virginia Tocci	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$10,239.49	\$9,914.49	\$325.00
Total Candidates for Seat: 2				\$5,531.33	\$36,914.10	\$35,318.91	\$7,126.52
5th Worcester							
Mark Schlosstein	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$32,592.46	\$32,240.61	\$351.85
William R. Shemeth, III	D	N	L	\$8.27	\$1,986.22	\$1,812.90	\$181.59
David H. Tuttle	R	1	W	\$5,053.05	\$32,287.50	\$36,397.49	\$943.06
Total Candidates for Seat: 3				\$5,061.32	\$66,866.18	\$70,451.00	\$1,476.50
6th Worcester							
Mark Carron	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$14,305.81	\$12,917.97	\$1,387.84
David Peters	R	1	W	\$46,687.12	\$55,063.66	\$78,725.51	\$23,025.27
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$46,687.12	\$69,369.47	\$91,643.48	\$24,413.11

		<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumbent</u>	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
7th Worcester								
Daniel Dowd		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Paul Frost		R	1	W	\$10,160.50	\$26,116.99	\$33,902.47	\$2,375.02
Susan Weagle		D	N	L	\$0.00	\$32,542.36	\$30,034.18	\$2,508.18
Total Candidates for Seat :	3				\$10,160.50	\$58,659.35	\$63,936.65	\$4,883.20
8th Worcester								
Paul Kujawski		D	I	W	\$1,823.61	\$37,820.88	\$37,615.15	\$2,029.34
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$1,823.61	\$37,820.88	\$37,615.15	\$2,029.34
9th Worcester								
George Peterson Jr.		R	ı	W	\$5,593.72	\$13,073.50	\$13,709.88	\$4,957.34
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$5,593.72	\$13,073.50	\$13,709.88	\$4,957.34
10th Worcester								
Marie Parente		D	ı	W	\$4,011.17	\$20,659.21	\$15,336.44	\$9,333.94
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$4,011.17	\$20,659.21	\$15,336.44	\$9,333.94
11th Worcester								
Ronald Gauch		R	ı	W	\$38,785.45	\$12,900.12	\$14,253.92	\$37,431.65
Total Candidates for Seat :	1				\$38,785.45	\$12,900.12	\$14,253.92	\$37,431.65
12th Worcester								
Dale Friedgen		0	N	L	\$0.00	\$250.00	\$250.00	\$0.00
Harold Naughton Jr.		D	I	W	\$16,775.45	\$16,255.50	\$23,498.88	\$9,532.07
Total Candidates for Seat :	2				\$16,775.45	\$16,505.50	\$23,748.88	\$9,532.07

		<u>Party</u>	<u>Incumben</u>	t Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	Raised	<u>Spent</u>	<u>End</u>
13th Worcester								
Harriette Chandler Total Candidates for Seat:	1	D	I	W	\$47,877.84 \$47,877.84	\$18,051.47 \$18,051.47	\$17,742.91 \$17,742.91	\$48,186.40 \$48,186.40
14th Worcester								
William J. McManus II Total Candidates for Seat:	1	D	I	W	\$5.26 \$5.26	\$25,228.39 \$25,228.39	\$24,015.51 \$24,015.51	\$1,218.14 \$1,218.14
15th Worcester								
Joseph O'Brien Vincent Pedone Total Candidates for Seat:	2	D D	N I	L W	\$0.00 \$15,518.60 \$15,518.60	\$20,221.00 \$49,603.81 \$69,824.81	\$20,211.57 \$42,972.02 \$63,183.59	\$9.43 \$22,150.39 \$22,159.82
16th Worcester								
John Fresolo		D	N	W	\$0.00	\$29,254.89	\$27,132.49	\$2,122.40
Carolyn Grenier Stephen Haggerty		D D	N	L	\$69.85 \$1,370.60	\$19,913.00 \$3,030.00	\$19,865.05 \$4,400.60	\$117.80 \$0.00
Terence Meehan, Sr.		D	N N	L L	\$939.60	\$3,030.00 \$73,378.24	\$74,317.84	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat :	4				\$2,380.05	\$125,576.13	\$125,715.98	\$2,240.20
17th Worcester								
John Binienda Total Candidates for Seat:	1	D	I	W	\$40,202.88 \$40,202.88	\$28,605.00 \$28,605.00	\$10,674.92 \$10,674.92	\$58,132.96 \$58,132.96
Total Candidates:	296		House	· Totals	\$2,650,719.93	\$6,764,999.75	\$6,875,820.64	\$2,533,385.0 5