#### INTRODUCTION

This study examines campaign finance activity undertaken by candidates for the Massachusetts Senate and House of Representatives (known collectively as The General Court) in calendar year 2008. The Office of Campaign and Political Finance has issued a report of this type after every state election since 1990.

The Office of Campaign and Political Finance (OCPF) is an independent state agency that administers Massachusetts General Law Chapter 55, the campaign finance law. The law provides for disclosure and regulation of campaign finance activity on the state, county and municipal levels. Candidates who report directly to OCPF include those running for state and county office and most citywide offices in Boston, Cambridge, Lowell, Springfield and Worcester, as well as state and local party committees, political action committees, people's committees and state ballot question committees. The reports filed by most candidates and committees are available on the office's web site at <a href="https://www.mass.gov/ocpf">www.mass.gov/ocpf</a>. In the case of candidates and committees that file paper reports, those documents are available for public inspection at OCPF's office at the John W. McCormack Building, One Ashburton Place, Boston.

The information contained in this legislative study is based on data for 2008 compiled from campaign finance reports filed by candidates and treasurers of political committees organized on behalf of candidates for the Massachusetts Senate and House. In 2008, a total of 311 candidates sought legislative office and filed disclosure reports with OCPF: 58 running for the Senate and 253 seeking a House seat.

Legislative candidates and their committees are required to file three campaign finance reports disclosing election year financial activity. The reports are due with OCPF eight days prior to the September state primary election; eight days prior to the November general election; and on January 20 of the year immediately following. Reports were due from the candidates in this study on Sept. 8, 2008, Oct. 27, 2008, and Jan. 20, 2009. Only one report is due for a year in which there is no state election.

Starting in 2002, legislative candidates were required to file their campaign finance reports in electronic form to an OCPF server, which immediately posts the information on the office Web site at www.mass.gov/ocpf. Electronic filing was required for candidates whose aggregate receipts or expenditures exceeded \$5,000 in a two-year election cycle. All but a handful of legislative candidates exceeded this threshold and were therefore required to e-file their reports.

Candidates and committee treasurers are required to disclose on those reports their account balances at the beginning of each reporting period; receipts and expenditures for the reporting period; in-kind contributions for the reporting period; and all liabilities.

The campaign finance law allows legislative candidates and committees to make expenditures for "the enhancement of the political future of the candidate," as long as the expenditure is not primarily for the personal use of a candidate or any other person. Some of the expenditures that are included in the totals contained in this report, especially expenditures by incumbents, may not have been directly related to campaigning. For example, candidates may use campaign funds for purposes such as constituent or legislative services, charitable contributions and other activity.

In addition, some data may also reflect activity related to a municipal office also held or sought by some legislative candidates in 2008 (e.g., a city councilor or selectman running for the Senate or House). The campaign finance law does not allow the formation of more than one political committee by a candidate. A candidate holding or seeking elected positions on both the state and municipal levels has dual filing requirements with OCPF and his or her local election official, but the candidate's reports reflect activity by only one committee.

OCPF has taken steps to ensure that the information contained in this study is accurate as of the time of its compilation, the spring of 2009. This study takes into account many corrections, additions or deletions made by candidates as a result of any review conducted by OCPF or amendments filed by candidates or political committees. Nevertheless, the information used for this study does not reflect subsequent amendments. In addition, the information provided by candidates and committees may include some mathematical errors and balance inconsistencies. Finally, annual comparative data are not adjusted for inflation.

This study was compiled and written by Jason Tait, OCPF's Director of Public Information, based on information filed by candidates and committees. Those seeking further information on the study or any other facet of the Massachusetts campaign finance law may contact the Office of Campaign and Political Finance, John W. McCormack Building, One Ashburton Place, Room 411, Boston, MA 02108, or call (617) 979-8300 or (800) 462-OCPF. This study and other data are also available on the office's web site, at www.mass.gov/ocpf.

June 2009

#### **OVERVIEW**

Receipts and expenditures for legislative candidates increased in 2008 compared to 2006, even with 28 fewer candidates.

Total fundraising for the 311 legislative candidates in 2008 reached \$12.46 million, \$64,295 more than 2006 levels when 339 candidates ran.

Expenditure totals in 2008 increased to \$12.55 million, \$673,267 more than in 2006. Receipt and expenditure figures in 2008 are similar to the 2000, 2002 and 2006 totals, but about \$5 million less in than the 2004 election, which had 80 more candidates.

## AGGREGATE RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY LEGISLATIVE CANDIDATES 2000 – 2008

	2000	2002	2004	2006	2008
Number of candidates	330	372	390	339	311
Receipts	\$12,223,071	\$11,561,938	\$17,275,537	\$12,398,381	\$12,462,676
Expenditures	\$11,013,392	\$11,968,889	\$17,640,644	\$11,877,068	\$12,550,335

Of the 311 legislative candidates in 2008, 58 were seeking one of 40 Senate seats and 253 were running for the 160-seat House.

Of the 200 legislative races, 71, or 35 percent, were contested by more than one candidate in either the primary or general election, five fewer than in 2006. Of the 71 contested races, nine featured winners in one-on-one races whose opponents spent less than \$1,000.

The 311 legislative candidates were comprised of 183 incumbents, or 59 percent of the total number of contenders. Incumbents made up 54 percent of the field in 2006.

The number of candidates in 2008 is the lowest total ever recorded in OCPF studies, which have been compiled after each election since 1990. The highest number of legislative candidates recorded in an OCPF study was 507 in 1990.

All but four incumbents in 2008, or 98 percent, were re-elected. In the Senate, Sen. James Marzilli, D-Arlington, and Sen. Dianne Wilkerson, D-Boston, lost their respective primaries (Marzilli announced in June that he would not seek re-election but his name remained on the primary ballot). In the House, representatives Patrick Natale, D-Woburn, and Anthony Verga, D-Gloucester, lost their primary elections.

Twenty-one new legislators were elected – six in the Senate and 15 in the House.

The findings for each of the two chambers of the Legislature are broken down in each of the two sections that follow this introduction.

#### **SECTION I: THE SENATE**

Average receipt and expenditure totals show notable increases in 2008 compared to the 2006 election. Senate candidates on average spent \$74,883 on campaigns, up \$9,222 from 2006, and raised \$74,311, an increase of \$8,417.

The number of unopposed incumbents, 27, is the highest ever recorded in an OCPF study, two more than the 2006 election. The remaining 13 races in 2008 featured 31 candidates, nine of whom were incumbents seeking re-election.

Of 36 incumbents on the ballot, all but two returned to office. Four new senators were elected to fill open seats.

### OVERVIEW OF CAMPAIGN FINANCE ACTIVITY FOR SENATE CANDIDATES

	2002	2004	2006	2008
Number of candidates	61	81	70	58
Total on hand at start	\$3,261,677	\$3,593,595	\$4,847,382	\$4,820,215
Total receipts	\$3,819,774	\$7,562,984	\$4,612,580	\$4,310,074
Average receipts per candidate	\$62,619	\$93,370	\$65,894	\$74,311
Total expenditures	\$4,177,425	\$7,620,649	\$4,596,300	\$4,343,233
Average expenditures per candidate	\$68,482	\$94,082	\$65,661	\$74,883
Total on hand at end	\$2,919,457	\$3,501,943	\$4,843,370	\$4,772,024

Expenditures do not include debts incurred that had not been paid at the end of 2008. Also, total receipts do not include in-kind contributions, which are things of value other than money. Senate candidates reported receiving \$256,082 in in-kind contributions in 2008, more than twice the amount reported in 2006 but a substantial decrease from the \$1,244,740 recorded in 2004. Ending cash on hand does not correspond exactly with the aggregate starting balance, total receipts and total expenditures due to inconsistencies or errors in candidates' reports that are addressed during the OCPF audit process.

While average per-candidate receipts and expenditures increased, overall totals in both categories dropped in 2008 compared to 2006. The 2008 fundraising total of \$4.3 million was \$302,506 less than in 2006, and the \$4.34 million in expenditures falls \$253,067 short.

A total of 58 candidates ran for the Senate in 2008, a decrease of 17 percent from the 70 candidates in 2006 and the lowest total ever recorded in an OCPF study. The Senate that was seated in January 2009 was made up of 35 Democrats and five Republicans, the same ratio at the start of the previous term two years earlier.

The findings in greater detail:

#### I. Success of Campaigns

Winning candidates spent more money than their opponents. On average, Senate winners outspent unsuccessful candidates two to one: \$88,827 to \$43,897. Successful candidates in 2006 outspent failed campaigns by a three to one ratio.

Candidates who spent the most money in 2008 won in all but two of the 11 contested Senate races, or 82 percent of the time. The comparable ratio in 2006 was 14 of 15 races, or 93 percent. Candidates who won their race and were outspent were Susan Fargo, D-Lincoln, an incumbent, and Sonia Chang-Diaz, D-Boston, who defeated incumbent Sen. Dianne Wilkerson<sup>1</sup> in the Democratic primary election.

The average amount spent by unsuccessful candidates increased in 2008 from two years earlier, up 43 percent to \$43,897. Average receipts in 2008 also increased for unsuccessful candidates in 2008 to \$41,850 from \$30,480 two years earlier.

## SENATE CANDIDATES' RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY SUCCESS OF CAMPAIGNS 2008

	Successful (40)	Unsuccessful (18)
Total Receipts	\$3,556,772	\$753,302
Average Receipts	\$88,327	\$41,850
Total Expenditures	\$3,553,081	\$790,152
Average Expenditures	\$88,827	\$43,897

#### II. Incumbency

Senators seeking to return to office accounted for the majority of the total receipts and expenditures, but non-incumbents on average spent and raised similar amounts.<sup>2</sup>

Averages for receipts and expenditures were up for non-incumbents and down for incumbents compared to 2006. The 36 incumbent senators on the ballot, two fewer than 2006, spent \$79,729 on average, an 11 percent drop from two years prior. The 22 non-incumbents in 2008 spent \$66,553 each on average, a significant 81 percent increase

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sen. Wilkerson's committee has not filed a year-end report for the 2008 election as of the publication date of this study. If it is filed, some results throughout this study could change by minor amounts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A member of the House running for a Senate seat is not an incumbent in this analysis.

from 2006. The 2008 election had 10 fewer non-incumbents running for office compared to 2006.

### SENATE CANDIDATES' RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY INCUMBENCY 2008

	Incumbents (36)	Non-Incumbents (22)
Total Receipts	\$2,890,678	\$1,419,397
Average Receipts	\$80,296	\$64,518
Total Expenditures	\$2,870,263	\$1,472,970
Average Expenditures	\$79,729	\$66,953

Historically, some expenditures by incumbents are not necessarily related directly to their election campaigns (such as bumper stickers or advertisements), but are geared toward constituent or legislative expenses. The campaign finance law allows campaign funds to be used for such expenditures as maintaining a district office, charitable contributions and official or political travel.

#### **III.** Party Affiliation

Democrats, who accounted for the majority of Senate candidates in 2008, had higher average receipt and expenditure totals than Republican candidates.

The 42 Democrats on the ballot in 2008, a decrease of six from 2006, accounted for 85 percent of funds raised and 85 percent of expenditures. Two years before, the party's share was 83 percent of receipts and 74 percent of expenditures.

The 13 Republicans on the ballot, a decrease of seven from 2006 and 18 from 2004, made up 22 percent of all candidates. The GOP's share of receipts and expenditures was 15 percent each, a drop from 17 and 16 percent, respectively.

## SENATE CANDIDATES' RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY PARTY AFFILIATION 2008

	Democrats (42)	Republicans (13)	Unenrolled or Other (3)
Total receipts	\$3,651,685	\$654,296	\$4,093
Average receipts	\$86,944	\$50,330	\$1,364
Total expenditures	\$3,713,268	\$625,871	\$4,093
Average expenditures	\$88,411	\$48,143	\$1,364

Two Senate candidates were not affiliated with political parties. Another belonged to the Green Rainbow Party. Those three candidates accounted for well under 1 percent of total receipts and expenditures.

On average, Democrats spent \$88,411 per race, \$40,268 more than Republicans. Democrats also raised more money on average, \$86,944 per candidate compared to \$50,330 for Republicans.

#### IV. Contested and Uncontested Races

Opposed candidates reported higher total receipts and expenditures in 2008 compared to unopposed candidates, and averages for those figures were also higher than those of unopposed candidates. <sup>3</sup> Candidates in contested races accounted for 54 percent of the total receipts and 55 percent of total expenditures; the corresponding figures in 2006 were 53 and 50 percent.

The average amount raised by an opposed candidate in 2008 was about 50 percent higher than the 2006 results, while the expenditure average was up about 60 percent. Averages for unopposed candidates were down in 2008, reflecting a drop of 16 percent in average receipts and a decrease of 21 percent in average expenditures.

## RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY SENATE CANDIDATES OPPOSED AND UNOPPOSED 2008

	Opposed (31)	Unopposed (27)
Total Receipts	\$2,332,505	\$1,977,569
Average receipts	\$75,242	\$73,243
Total Expenditures	\$2,404,763	\$1,938,469
Average Expenditures	\$77,573	\$71,795

#### **CONTESTED SEATS**

Thirteen of the 40 seats for the Senate in 2008 were contested (races with more than one candidate). Of those 13 races, four were for seats being vacated by outgoing senators: Pamela Resor, D-Acton; Robert Antonioni, D-Leominster; Robert Creedon, D-Brockton; and Edward Augustus, D-Worcester.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Some studies of legislative races have used other criteria in determining whether a race was "contested" or whether an incumbent was "opposed," looking at such factors as whether the winner exceeded a certain margin of victory or whether an opponent had any campaign finance activity. This study considered a race contested if there were two or more candidates for the seat, regardless of the outcome or the level of campaign finance activity.

The four open seats in 2008:

District	Previous	Winner
	Incumbent	
Middlesex & Worcester	Pamela Resor	Jamie Eldridge
Worcester & Middlesex	Robert Antonioni	Jennifer Flanagan
2 <sup>nd</sup> Plymouth & Bristol	Robert Creedon	Thomas Kennedy
2 <sup>nd</sup> Worcester	Edward Augustus	Michael Moore

Candidates in the four races for open seats had about the same expenditure averages as other contested races. The 10 candidates for four open seats raised a total of \$772,217, or \$77,221 each, and spent a total of \$806,160, or \$80,616 each. Three of the four races were among the most expensive contested Senate elections in 2008. It is possible for the receipts totals to be smaller than the expenditures total because many candidate committees have existing balances in their campaign accounts at the start of the calendar year.

The remaining seven contested races featured incumbents seeking re-election. The 21 candidates in those races raised a total of \$1,560,288, or an average of \$74,299, and spent a total of \$1,508,603, or an average of \$71,838.

#### V. Starting Balances

Candidates for the Senate reported having a total of \$4,820,215 on hand at the start of 2008, about \$27,000 less than the record-setting 2006 figure.

A sizeable majority of the funds on hand was held by incumbents, as in previous years. Those who were in office at the start of the election year and later ran for reelection reported having 95.6 percent of the total available at the start of the election year. Non-incumbents started with a total of \$210,297. The comparable percentage for the incumbents' share in 2006 was 99.3 percent. The average amount on hand in an incumbent's account at the start of the year was \$128,053, while the average held by a non-incumbent was \$9,559.

The starting balance figures have traditionally favored incumbents because many challengers are first-time candidates who begin their campaign with zero balances and start their campaigns during the election year. A total of 12 candidates, all non-incumbents, reported having no campaign funds on hand at the start of the year. Of the 12, none were elected.

The Senate candidate with the highest starting balance was Sen. Mark Montigny, D-New Bedford, who reported a total of \$1,158,288. Montigny was also first in 2006 and 2004. This is only the third time a Senate candidate has reported an election-year starting balance of more than \$1 million (Senate President Thomas Birmingham started 2000 with \$1.1 million, and Montigny topped \$1 million in 2006).

Seventeen of the 58 Senate candidates reported starting balances of more than \$100,000, four more than in 2006.

The candidates with the 10 largest starting balances in 2008 shared at least two characteristics: each was an incumbent and each was re-elected. One candidate was opposed. All were Democrats except Robert Hedlund, R-East Weymouth.

#### SENATE CANDIDATES WITH THE HIGHEST STARTING BALANCES 2008

	Candidate	District	Balance	Opposed	Elected
1.	Mark Montigny (D,I)	2 <sup>nd</sup> Bristol & Plymouth	\$1,158,288	Yes	Yes
2.	Joan Menard (D,I)	1 <sup>st</sup> Bristol & Plymouth	\$344,299	No	Yes
3.	Michael Morrissey (D,I)	Norfolk & Plymouth	\$331,623	No	Yes
4.	Steven Baddour (D,I)	1 <sup>st</sup> Essex	\$277,080	No	Yes
5.	Marc Pacheco (D, I)	1 <sup>st</sup> Plymouth & Bristol	\$238,288	No	Yes
6.	Therese Murray (D,I)	Plymouth & Barnstable	\$200,381	No	Yes
7.	Stephen Brewer (D, I)	Worcester, Hampden, Hampshire and Franklin	\$192,147	No	Yes
8.	Frederick Berry (D,I)	2 <sup>nd</sup> Essex	\$170,805	No	Yes
9.	Robert Hedlund (R,I)	Plymouth & Norfolk	\$156,833	No	Yes
10.	Stephen Buoniconti (D,I)	Hampden	\$140,035	No	Yes

D=Democrat, R=Republican, I = Incumbent

#### VI. Most Active Candidates and Races

The race that featured the highest expenditure total in 2008 was in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Suffolk District, where challenger Sonia Chang-Diaz defeated incumbent Dianne Wilkerson, both Boston Democrats. The winner, Chang-Diaz, was not the top spender in the race.

The ten races below accounted for \$2.5 million, or 57 percent of the total expenditures by Senate candidates in 2008. The comparable percentage in 2006 was 43 percent.

### SENATE RACES WITH THE HIGHEST EXPENDITURES 2008

	District	Total spent	Number of candidates	Winner
1.	2 <sup>nd</sup> Suffolk	\$397,569	3	Sonia Chang-Diaz (D)
2.	Plymouth & Barnstable	\$397,331	1	Therese Murray (I,D)
3.	Middlesex & Worcester (O)	\$324,955	2	James Eldridge (D)
4.	4 <sup>th</sup> Middlesex	\$266,788	4	Kenneth Donnelly (D)
5.	Norfolk, Bristol & Middlesex	\$260,778	2	Scott Brown (I,R)
6.	2 <sup>nd</sup> Worcester (O)	\$253,779	4	Michael Moore (D)
7.	Worcester & Middlesex (O)	\$171,112	2	Jennifer Flanagan (D)
8.	1 <sup>st</sup> Suffolk	\$159,895	2	John Hart (I,D)
9.	3 <sup>rd</sup> Middlesex	\$142,850	2	Susan Fargo (I,D)
10.	Bristol & Norfolk	\$136,940	2	James Timilty (I,D)

<sup>\*</sup>O=Open seat. I = Incumbent. D= Democrat

The highest amount ever spent for a Senate race was \$809,637. Three candidates were vying for an open seat in the Middlesex, Suffolk and Essex District in 2002 (the winner was Jarrett Barrios, D-Cambridge).

The least expensive contested Senate election was in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Plymouth and Bristol District, where two candidates – former State Rep. Thomas Kennedy, D-Brockton, beat Republican David McGee of Hanson. Kennedy spent \$56,315 while McGee reported no expenditures.

#### TOP FUNDRAISERS

The list of the 10 Senate candidates who raised the most money in 2008 is comprised of six incumbents and one state representative, Acton Democrat Jamie Eldridge, who was seeking an open Senate seat.

All but two of the top 10 were Democrats and nine were successful in their campaigns. (This list included no Republicans in 2006.) Seven of the top ten were opposed.

The top fundraiser in 2008, Senate President Therese Murray, was unopposed for re-election and raised \$360,158. The top fundraiser who was opposed was Eldridge, who raised \$246,810 and placed second overall on the top 10 list. The highest amount ever raised by any Senate candidate in an election year was \$1.358 million by Thomas Birmingham in 2000, who was unopposed. The highest amount ever raised by an opposed Senate candidate in an election year was the \$364,973 reported by Murray in her successful campaign in 2004.

#### SENATE CANDIDATES RAISING THE MOST MONEY IN 2008

	Candidate	District	Receipts	Opposed	Winner
1.	Therese Murray (I,D)	Plymouth & Barnstable	\$360,158	No	Yes
2.	James Eldridge (O,D)	Middlesex & Worcester	\$246,810	Yes	Yes
3.	Dianne Wilkerson (I,D)	2 <sup>nd</sup> Suffolk	\$198,180	Yes	No
4.	Kenneth Donnelly (D)	4 <sup>th</sup> Middlesex	\$170,009	Yes	Yes
5.	Sonia Chang-Diaz (D)	2 <sup>nd</sup> Suffolk	\$168,497	Yes	Yes
6.	Scott Brown (I,R)	Norfolk, Bristol & Middlesex	\$151,772	Yes	Yes
7.	John Hart (I,D)	1 <sup>st</sup> Suffolk	\$134,238	Yes	Yes
8.	Michael Moore (O,D)	2 <sup>nd</sup> Worcester	\$132,829	Yes	Yes
9.	Michael Morrissey (I,D)	Norfolk & Plymouth	\$126,041	No	Yes
10.	Richard Tisei (I,R)	Middlesex & Essex	\$119,899	No	Yes

O=Open seat D= Democrat I = Incumbent R=Republican

All but five of the 58 Senate candidates reported raising funds in 2008. The winning candidate who raised the least was unopposed incumbent Cynthia Creem, D-Newton, who raised \$1,375. The winning opposed candidate who raised the least was Thomas Kennedy, D-Brockton, who had receipts of \$36,523.

#### TOP EXPENDITURE TOTALS

Sen. Therese Murray, D-Plymouth, topped the expenditure list in 2008 with \$397,331 in disbursements. She received 68,908 votes, averaging \$5.76 per vote. Murray's expenditures are short of her record amount of \$474,095, which she posted in a contested race in 2004.

### SENATE CANDIDATES WITH THE HIGHEST EXPENDITURE TOTALS IN 2008

	Candidate	District	Expenditures	Opposed	Elected
1.	Therese Murray (I,D)	Plymouth & Barnstable	\$397,331	No	Yes
2.	James Eldridge (D)	Middlesex & Worcester	\$259,933	Yes	Yes
3.	Dianne Wilkerson (I,D)	2 <sup>nd</sup> Suffolk	\$215,287*	Yes	No
4.	Sonia Chang-Diaz (D)	2 <sup>nd</sup> Suffolk	\$182,281	Yes	Yes
5.	Kenneth Donnelly (D)	4 <sup>th</sup> Middlesex	\$180,415	Yes	Yes
6.	John Hart (I,D)	1 <sup>st</sup> Suffolk	\$155,801	Yes	Yes
7.	Scott Brown (I,R)	Norfolk, Bristol & Middlesex	\$148,778	Yes	Yes
8.	Michael Moore (D)	2 <sup>nd</sup> Worcester	\$132,329	Yes	Yes
9.	Richard Tisei (I,R)	Middlesex & Essex	\$126,476	No	Yes
10.	Joan Menard (I,D)	1 <sup>st</sup> Bristol & Plymouth	\$120,714	No	Yes

I = Incumbent. D= Democrat. R=Republican

<sup>\*</sup>Does not include 2008 year-end report, which has not been filed.

Seven of the candidates on the top 10 expenditure list faced opposition. Nine candidates on the top 10 list were elected – only incumbent Sen. Dianne Wilkerson of Boston lost.

The expenditure list includes two successful Republicans, senators Richard Tisei of Wakefield and Scott Brown of Wrentham. Of the two, only Brown faced an opponent.

Expenditures were reported by all but five Senate candidates (David McGee, R-Hanson, Vince Dixon, R-Cambridge, Raimundo Delgado, D-New Bedford, Socialist Workers Party candidate William T. Leonard of Boston, and Steven Baer of Shrewsbury, a Green Rainbow candidate). The opposed candidate who spent the least and won was Thomas Kennedy, D-Brockton, who reported \$56,315 in expenditures (his opponent was McGee).

The unopposed candidate who spent the least was Sen. Karen Spilka, D-Ashland, who reported \$10,383 in expenditures. She received 57,006 votes, averaging 18 cents a vote.

#### VII. Ending Balances

Candidates for the Senate reported ending 2008 with a total of 4,772,024, about 48,000 less than their total at the start of the year. The total ending balance is about 71,000 less than the ending balance from 2006. The record high of 4.9 million was posted in 2000.

The candidate with the greatest amount of money on hand was Sen. Mark Montigny with a total of \$1,165,460. That amount is second to the record of \$2.2 million held by Senate President Thomas Birmingham in 2000. Montigny also had the most on hand at the end of 2006 with \$1,078,047.

As was the case in every election year since 1998, the candidates with the ten highest ending balances in 2008 were all incumbents and all had been re-elected. One was opposed. Nine were Democrats.

Incumbents accounted for a total of 96.8 percent of all funds on hand at the end of the year, all but \$150,812. The winning candidate who finished with the smallest amount was Kenneth Donnelly, D-Arlington, who faced three opponents and showed a negative ending balance of \$6,682.

9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This ending balance may not correspond exactly with the aggregate starting balance, total receipts and total expenditures due to inconsistencies or errors in candidates' reports that are addressed during the OCPF audit process.

#### SENATE CANDIDATES WITH THE HIGHEST ENDING BALANCES 2008

	Candidate	District	Balance	Opposed	Winner
1.	Mark Montigny (I,D)	2 <sup>nd</sup> Bristol & Plymouth	\$1,165,460	Yes	Yes
2.	Michael Morrissey (I,D)	Norfolk & Plymouth	\$363,587	No	Yes
3.	Joan Menard (I,D)	1 <sup>st</sup> Bristol & Plymouth	\$320,111	No	Yes
4.	Steven Baddour (I,D)	1 <sup>st</sup> Essex	\$263,659	No	Yes
5.	Marc Pacheco (I,D)	1 <sup>st</sup> Plymouth & Bristol	\$233,321	No	Yes
6.	Stephen Buoniconti (I,D)	Hampden	\$196,189	No	Yes
7.	Harriette Chandler (I,D)	1 <sup>st</sup> Worcester	\$187,596	No	Yes
8.	Stephen Brewer (I,D)	Worcester, Hampden, Hampshire and Franklin	\$179,691	No	Yes
9.	Robert Hedlund (I,R)	Plymouth & Norfolk	\$175,615	No	Yes
10	Therese Murray (I,D)	Plymouth & Barnstable	\$161,632	No	Yes

I = Incumbent. D= Democrat. R=Republican

Ten Senate candidates reported having no money (or negative balances) at the end of the election year, up one from 2006. Only one incumbent, Dianne Wilkerson of Boston, reported a negative balance (though her year-end report was not filed as of the publication of this study).

A table of campaign finance activity by all Senate candidates may be found immediately following this section.

#### SECTION II: THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

State representative candidates raised and spent more money on average in 2008 than any election year since OCPF began publishing studies on legislative campaign finance activity in 1990. Several other records were broken in 2008, including total expenditures by a candidate and the largest campaign fund at the end of an election year.

The 2008 election also marked near-records in total receipts and expenditures, second only to the 2004 election, which had 56 more candidates.

A total of 253 candidates sought election to the House in 2008, a decrease of 16 from 2006. The largest number of House candidates recorded in an OCPF study was 401 in 1990. Of the 160 House seats, 58 were sought by more than one candidate, a decrease of two contested seats from 2006. A total of 151 candidates sought those 58 contested seats. The remaining 102 seats were held by unopposed incumbents running for reelection, a two-seat increase from 2006.

Of the 151 candidates in contested races, 45 were incumbents, two of whom were defeated, for an incumbent success rate of 96 percent. That rate is comparable to the incumbent success rate of two years before. Thirteen seats were considered open, including three in which the incumbents chose to run for Senate seats.

The new House that took office in January 2009 was composed of 145 returning members and 15 new representatives. A total of 143 were Democrats and 16 were Republicans. That is an increase of two Democrats and a decrease of three Republicans from the start of the 2007-08 session. The House consists of one member unenrolled in a political party, newly elected state Rep. Timothy Madden of Nantucket.

### OVERVIEW OF CAMPAIGN FINANCE ACTIVITY FOR HOUSE CANDIDATES

	2004	2006	2008
Number of candidates	309	269	253
Total cash on hand at start	\$5,191,305	\$5,514,689	\$6,704,725
Total receipts	\$9,712,553	\$7,785,801	\$8,152,602
Average receipts per	\$31,432	\$28,943	\$32,223
candidate			
Total expenditures	\$10,019,995	\$7,280,768	\$8,207,102
Average expenditures per	\$32,247	\$27,066	\$32,439
candidate			
Total on hand at end	\$4,912,736	\$6,036,061	\$6,660,700

Expenditures do not include debts incurred that had not been paid. Also, total receipts do not include in-kind contributions, which are things of value other than money. House candidates reported a total of \$311,617 in in-kind receipts in 2008.

Total receipts and expenditures increased in 2008: a five percent boost in fundraising and a 13 percent increase in expenditures.

The findings in greater detail:

#### I. Winners vs. Losers

Winning candidates comprised 63 percent of the field and accounted for the majority of expenditures in 2008: 78 percent.

The average expenditure and receipt totals for winning candidates increased from 2006: five and 15 percent, respectively. Winners outspent unsuccessful candidates two to one, on average.

## HOUSE CANDIDATES' RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY SUCCESS OF CAMPAIGNS 2008

	Successful (160)	Unsuccessful (93)
Total Receipts	\$6,457,478	\$1,695,124
Average Receipts	\$40,359	\$18,227
Total Expenditures	\$6,437,348	\$1,769,754
Average Expenditures	\$40,233	\$19,029

In the 58 contested House races, the candidate who spent the most money won 48 times, a success rate of 83 percent. The comparable rate in 2006 was 53 winners in 60 races, or 88 percent.

The 10 candidates who were outspent but still won their races in 2008 were three incumbents (Bradford Hill, R-Ipswich, Robert Hargraves, R-Groton, and Thomas Conroy, D-Wayland) and seven newcomers (Carolyn Dykema, D-Holliston, Ann-Margaret Ferrante, D-Gloucester, Brian Ashe, D-Longmeadow, James Arciero, D-Westford, Kate Hogan, D-Stow, Danielle Gregoire, D-Marlborough, and Michael Brady, D-Brockton).

The average spent by unsuccessful candidates increased 24 percent from 2006, while receipts increased 20 percent.

#### **II.** Incumbents vs. Challengers

House incumbents accounted for a majority of the receipts and expenditures in 2008. The 147 incumbent representatives seeking re-election made up 69 percent of receipts and 68 percent of expenditures. The comparable figures in 2006 were 69 and 67 percent, respectively.

On average, receipts and expenditures increased for incumbents compared to 2006 figures. Receipts for the average incumbent increased 5 percent over 2006's figure and expenditures were up 15 percent. For non-incumbents, average receipts grew by 57 percent and expenditures increased 59 percent.

## HOUSE CANDIDATES' RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY INCUMBENCY 2008

	Incumbents (147)	Non-Incumbents (106)
Total Receipts	\$5,619,184	\$2,533,418
Average Receipts	\$38,225	\$23,900
Total Expenditures	\$5,616,539	\$2,589,563
Average Expenditures	\$38,207	\$24,429

The 2008 election saw two incumbent representatives lose their bids for reelection, both in the primary: Patrick Natale, D-Woburn, and Anthony Verga, D-Gloucester.

Historically, many expenditures made by incumbents, both unopposed and opposed, are not necessarily related directly to their campaigns (such as bumper stickers or advertisements), but were geared toward constituent or legislative expenses. The campaign finance law allows campaign funds to be used for such expenses as maintaining a district office and making charitable donations.

#### III. Party Affiliation

Democrats were the dominant party in terms of receipts and expenditures in 2008. The 194 Democrats represented 77 percent of those running for House seats and accounted for 81 percent of the total expenditures in 2008. In 2006, the party made up 70 percent of candidates and 80 percent of expenditures. The 39 Republicans running in 2008 (24 fewer than in 2006) made up 15 percent of the candidate roster and 15 percent of the total expenditures.

Receipts and expenditures increased for both parties as well as the candidates who did not run under either banner, but Republicans experienced the most significant change since 2006. Receipts were up 39 percent on average for Republicans, while expenditures increased 52 percent. Average receipts for Democrats increased by 2.5 percent, while expenditures were 11 percent higher than the 2006 figure.

## RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY PARTY AFFILIATION 2008

	Democrats (194)	Republicans (39)	Unenrolled or
			minor party (20)
Total Receipts	\$6,693,363	\$1,164,505	\$294,734
Average Receipts	\$34,501	\$29,859	\$14,034
Total Expenditures	\$6,640,728	\$1,271,881	\$294,493
Average Expenditures	\$34,230	\$32,612	\$14,023

#### IV. Contested and Uncontested Races

There were 102 House races in 2008 that featured unopposed candidates, all of whom were incumbents. That is two more than the number of unopposed candidates in 2006 and the highest number recorded since OCPF began studying legislative campaign finance activity in 1990 (the previous high was 100 recorded in 2006). The remaining 58 races were contested, featuring two or more candidates. <sup>1</sup>

## RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY HOUSE CANDIDATES OPPOSED AND UNOPPOSED 2008

	Opposed (151)	Unopposed (102)
Total Receipts	\$4,502,733	\$3,649,869
Average receipts	\$29,819	\$35,783
Total Expenditures	\$4,728,544	\$3,478,558
Average Expenditures	\$31,314	\$34,103

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Some studies of legislative races have used other criteria in determining whether a race was "contested" or whether an incumbent was "opposed," looking at such factors as whether the winner exceeded a certain margin of victory or whether an opponent had any campaign finance activity. This study considered a race contested if there were two or more candidates for the seat, regardless of the outcome or the level of campaign finance activity.

#### **CONTESTED SEATS**

Candidates who were opposed in 2008 outspent unopposed candidates as a group.

The 58 contested races in 2008 consist of two categories: contests for open seats and those featuring incumbents seeking re-election. Thirteen House seats were open in the 2008 election, a decrease of one from 2006:

#### **OPEN SEATS**

District	Previous incumbent	Winner
2 <sup>nd</sup> Middlesex	Geoffrey Hall	Jim Arciero
2 <sup>nd</sup> Hampden	Mary Rogeness	Brian Ashe
37 <sup>th</sup> Middlesex	James Eldridge *	Jennifer Benson
2 <sup>nd</sup> Bristol	John Lepper	Bill Bowles
9 <sup>th</sup> Plymouth	Thomas Kennedy *	Michael Brady
4 <sup>th</sup> Plymouth	Frank Hynes	Jim Cantwell
8 <sup>th</sup> Middlesex	Paul Loscocco	Carolyn Dykema
4 <sup>th</sup> Middlesex	Stephen LeDuc	Danielle Gregoire
29 <sup>th</sup> Middlesex	Rachel Kaprielian	Jonathan Hecht
3 <sup>rd</sup> Middlesex	Patricia Walrath	Kate Hogan
31 <sup>st</sup> Middlesex	Paul Casey	Jason Lewis
Barnstable, Dukes	Enio Typicinoton	Tim Madden
and Nantucket	Eric Turkington	i iii wadden
4 <sup>th</sup> Worcester	Jennifer Flanagan *	Dennis Rosa

<sup>\*</sup>Moved to the Senate

The 51 candidates for the 13 open seats reported raising a total of \$1,717,012, or an average of \$33,666, and logging a total of \$1,683,247 in expenditures, or an average of \$33,004. The top spender won in seven of the 13 races. The six winners who were outspent in their races were Jim Arcerio, Brian Ashe, Michael Brady, Carolyn Dykema, Danielle Gregoire and Kate Hogan.

The most expensive race for an open seat was in the 31<sup>st</sup> Middlesex District, where four candidates raised a total of \$193,045, or an average of \$48,261, and spent a total of \$226,162, or an average of \$56,540. The winner, Jason Lewis, was also the top spender in that race at \$131,013. In 2008, 38 contested races featured an incumbent, a decrease of nine from two years before. The incumbent won all but two of these races, or 95 percent of the time.

Expenditures by unopposed candidates increased 38 percent on average to \$33,772. In comparison, opposed candidates spent \$31,523 on average.

#### V. Starting Balances

Candidates for the House had a total of \$6,703,525 on hand at the start of the 2008 election. That total is \$1.17 million more than the starting balance in 2006 and surpasses that year's figure as the largest ever recorded in an OCPF study.

A head start in fundraising is often accompanied by success at the polls: of the total amount on hand at the start of the year, 98 percent was held by eventual winners.

Candidates with the 10 largest starting balances faced no opposition.

The 147 incumbents seeking re-election had average starting balances of \$44,316 at the start of the year, or 97.5 percent of the total cash on hand among all candidates. That average is \$8,059 more than the amount held by the average incumbent at the start of 2006. Generally, new candidates do not organize their campaigns until the year in which the election is held, accounting for significantly lower beginning balances.

The list of candidates with the 10 highest starting balances consists entirely of incumbents, all of whom won re-election in 2008. All 10 were unopposed. Nine were Democrats.

#### HOUSE CANDIDATES WITH HIGHEST STARTING BALANCES 2008

	Candidate	District	Balance	Opposed	Elected
1.	Thomas Petrolati (I,D)	7 <sup>th</sup> Hampden	\$422,949	No	Yes
2.	Peter Koutoujian (I,D)	10 <sup>th</sup> Middlesex	\$402,687	No	Yes
3.	Salvatore DiMasi (I,D)	3 <sup>rd</sup> Suffolk	\$391,732	No	Yes
4.	John Binienda (I,D)	17 <sup>th</sup> Worcester	\$362,606	No	Yes
5.	Ronald Mariano (I,D)	3 <sup>rd</sup> Norfolk	\$312,008	No	Yes
6.	Robert DeLeo (I,D)	19 <sup>th</sup> Suffolk	\$224,704	No	Yes
7.	John Quinn (I,D)	9 <sup>th</sup> Bristol	\$199,487	No	Yes
8.	Michael Rodrigues (I,D)	8 <sup>th</sup> Bristol	\$144,502	No	Yes
9.	Karyn Polito (I,R)	11 <sup>th</sup> Worcester	\$141,877	No	Yes
10.	Antonio Cabral (I,D)	13 <sup>th</sup> Bristol	\$138,403	No	Yes

D=Democrat R=Republican I=Incumbent

The largest starting balance in 2006 belonged to House Speaker Sal DiMasi, who reported having \$318,171. He fell to the third slot in 2008.

A total of 67 candidates, or a quarter of the total number running, started the year with no money on hand. Only one of those 67 candidates was an incumbent, Benjamin

Swan, who started the year with a negative balance. Seven of those 67 candidates, including Swan, were elected.

#### VI. Most Active Candidates and Races

While 58 of the 160 seats in the House were contested in the 2008 election, each election varied in factors such as the level of competition and campaign finance activity. Twenty-one races featured total expenditures that exceeded \$100,000, eight more than in 2006. Five of the 10 races with the highest expenditures were for open House seats, including an eight-way race in which an unenrolled candidate, Timothy Madden, won.

The most expensive House race was in the 3<sup>rd</sup> Suffolk District, where unopposed House Speaker Salvatore DiMasi, D-Boston, spent a total of \$475,984. A total of \$2 million was spent in the ten races listed below, 24 percent of the overall total for House candidates in 2008.

### HOUSE RACES WITH THE HIGHEST EXPENDITURE TOTALS 2008

	District	Total spent	Number of candidates	Winner
		Total spent	Candidates	
1.	3 <sup>rd</sup> Suffolk	\$475,984	1	Salvatore DiMasi (I,D)
2.	31 <sup>st</sup> Middlesex (O)	\$226,162	4	Jason Lewis (D)
3.	8 <sup>th</sup> Middlesex (O)	\$179,122	3	Carolyn Dykema (D)
4.	19 <sup>th</sup> Suffolk	\$169,869	1	Robert DeLeo (I,D)
5.	5 <sup>th</sup> Essex	\$169,444	3	Ann-Margaret Ferrante (D)
6.	35 <sup>th</sup> Middlesex	\$162,840	3	Paul Donato (I,D)
7.	4 <sup>th</sup> Plymouth (O)	\$159,064	5	James Cantwell (D)
8.	29 <sup>th</sup> Middlesex (O)	\$154,898	3	Jonathan Hecht (D)
9.	12 <sup>th</sup> Worcester	\$153,467	2	Harold Naughton (I,D)
10.	Barnstable, Dukes & Nantucket (O)	\$152,078	8	Timothy Madden (D)

O=Open seat. I = Incumbent. D=Democrat. R=Republican

The least expensive contested election was in the 8<sup>th</sup> Essex District, a race with two candidates and total expenditures of \$13,283. Most of the expenditures were done by incumbent Lori Ehrlich, D-Marblehead. Her opponent, John Blaisdell, R-Marblehead, reported \$920 in expenditures in his unsuccessful challenge.

#### TOP FUNDRAISERS AND SPENDERS

The list of the top 10 House fundraisers in 2008 was made up of seven incumbents and eight Democrats. Six of the top ten fundraisers were in contested races.

House Speaker Sal DiMasi, D-Boston, topped the fundraising list for the second straight election, raising \$312,174 in 2008. He raised \$353,202 in 2006. The highest amount of receipts ever recorded by a House candidate in an OCPF study was \$370,641 in 2002 by former Speaker Thomas Finneran, D-Boston.

#### HOUSE CANDIDATES RAISING THE MOST MONEY IN 2008

	Candidate	District	Total	Opposed	Elected
1.	Salvatore DiMasi (I,D)	3 <sup>rd</sup> Suffolk	\$312,174	No	Yes
2.	Robert DeLeo (I,D)	19 <sup>th</sup> Suffolk	\$273,681	No	Yes
3.	Thomas Petrolati (I,D)	7 <sup>th</sup> Hampden	\$117,182	No	Yes
4.	Peter Koutoujian (I,D)	10 <sup>th</sup> Middlesex	\$108,621	No	Yes
5.	Carl Sciortino (I,D)	34 <sup>th</sup> Middlesex	\$108,529	Yes	Yes
6.	Jeffrey Perry (I,R)	5 <sup>th</sup> Barnstable	\$105,746	Yes	Yes
7.	Dan Haley (R)	8 <sup>th</sup> Middlesex	\$104,630	Yes	No
8.	Paul Donato (I,D)	35 <sup>th</sup> Middlesex	\$102,496	Yes	Yes
9.	Jonathan Hecht (D)	29 <sup>th</sup> Middlesex	\$101,209	Yes	Yes
10.	Jason Lewis (D)	31 <sup>st</sup> Middlesex	\$98,165	Yes	Yes

I = Incumbent. D=Democrat. R=Republican

Eight House candidates, all unsuccessful non-incumbents, reported no receipts. The winning candidate who reported raising the least amount of money in 2008 was Rep. Gloria Fox, D-Boston, who raised \$680.

\* \* \* \* \*

### HOUSE CANDIDATES WITH THE HIGHEST EXPENDITURE TOTALS IN 2008

	Candidate	District	Total	Opposed	Elected
1.	Salvatore DiMasi (I,D)	3 <sup>rd</sup> Suffolk	\$475,984	No	Yes
2.	Robert DeLeo (I,D)	19 <sup>th</sup> Suffolk	\$169,869	No	Yes
3.	Jason Lewis (D,O)	31 <sup>st</sup> Middlesex	\$131,013	Yes	Yes
4.	Jeffrey Perry (I,R)	5 <sup>th</sup> Barnstable	\$125,332	Yes	Yes
5.	Paul Donato (I,D)	35 <sup>th</sup> Middlesex	\$124,882	Yes	Yes
6.	Carl Sciortino (I,D)	34 <sup>th</sup> Middlesex	\$115,591	Yes	Yes
7.	John Rogers (I,D)	12 <sup>th</sup> Norfolk	\$112,748	No	Yes
8.	Harold Naughton (I,D)	12 <sup>th</sup> Worcester	\$106,053	No	Yes
9.	Dan Haley (R,O)	8 <sup>th</sup> Middlesex	\$104,948	Yes	No
10.	Peter Koutoujian (D,I)	10 <sup>th</sup> Middlesex	\$100,980	No	Yes

O=Open seat. I = Incumbent. D=Democrat. R=Republican

The top ten list of candidates in terms of expenditures is made up of eight reelected incumbents, one candidate who won an open seat and one candidate who was unsuccessful in his bid to win an open seat. Eight were Democrats and five of the 10 were opposed.

In 2008 Salvatore DiMasi broke the expenditure record held by Thomas Finneran, making \$475,984 in expenditures, \$68,752 more than the previous high set in 2002. DiMasi received 11,695 votes, averaging \$40.70 per vote.

Six candidates reported no expenditures in 2008, all of whom also reported no receipts. The winning candidate with the lowest expenditure total was incumbent Byron Rushing, D-Boston, who spent \$1,023. Rushing received 10,563 votes, averaging nine cents per vote. Incumbent Bradford Hill, R-Ipswich, spent the least amount of money, \$11,245, among winning candidates who were opposed.

#### VII. Ending Balances

Candidates for the House in 2008 reported a total balance of \$6,660,700 on hand at the end of the year. That is \$42,825 less than what was held at the start of the year. The ending balance is also \$600,000 more than the corresponding figure from 2006 and is the largest such total recorded in an OCPF study.

All of the candidates with the ten highest ending balances were incumbent Democrats who were re-elected without opposition.

#### HOUSE CANDIDATES WITH

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This ending balance may not correspond exactly with the aggregate starting balance, total receipts and total expenditures.

### THE HIGHEST ENDING BALANCES 2008

	Candidate	District	Balance	Opposed	Elected
1.	Thomas Petrolati (D,I)	7 <sup>th</sup> Hampden	\$484,675	No	Yes
2.	Peter Koutoujian (D,I)	10 <sup>th</sup> Middlesex	\$410,328	No	Yes
3.	John Binienda (D,I)	17 <sup>th</sup> Worcester	\$368,567	No	Yes
4.	Ronald Mariano (D,I)	3 <sup>rd</sup> Norfolk	\$348,473	No	Yes
5.	Robert DeLeo (D,I)	19 <sup>th</sup> Suffolk	\$327,757	No	Yes
6.	Salvatore DiMasi (D,I)	3 <sup>rd</sup> Suffolk	\$227,923	No	Yes
7.	John Quinn (D,I)	9 <sup>th</sup> Bristol	\$199,042	No	Yes
8.	Michael Rodrigues (D,I)	8 <sup>th</sup> Bristol	\$159,722	No	Yes
9.	Angelo Puppolo (D,I)	12 <sup>th</sup> Hampden	\$150,760	No	Yes
10.	Antonio Cabral (D,I)	13 <sup>th</sup> Bristol	\$148,159	No	Yes

D = Democrat. R = Republican. I = Incumbent

The highest ending balances in 2000, 2002 and 2004 had been posted by then-Speaker Finneran, whose highest figure was \$472,331 in 2002. Incumbent Thomas Petrolati, D-Springfield, broke Finneran's record in 2008 with an ending balance of \$484,675.

A total of 29 candidates reported having no money on hand (or negative account balances) at the end of 2008. Twenty-eight were non-incumbents who had lost their elections. The one incumbent, Rep. William Lantigua, D-Lawrence, ended with a negative balance of \$4,062 in his successful campaign against Marcos Devers, D-Lawrence.

A table of campaign finance activity by all House candidates may be found immediately following this section.

# Campaign Finance Activity by Legislative Candidates 1992-2008

<b>SENATE</b>	1992	1994	1996	1998	2000	2002	2004	2006	2008
Candidates	111	85	63	71	65	61	81	70	58
Total raised	\$5,674,643	\$4,829,019	\$3,510,827	\$3,809,576	\$5,504,933	\$3,819,774	\$7,562,984	\$4,612,580	\$4,310,074
Average raised	\$51,123	\$56,812	\$55,727	\$53,656	\$84,691	\$62,619	\$93,370	\$65,894	\$74,311
Total spent	\$6,086,061	\$5,044,959	\$3,211,808	\$3,806,032	\$4,369,766	\$4,177,425	\$7,620,649	\$4,596,300	\$4,343,233
Average spent	\$54,829	\$59,352	\$50,981	\$53,606	\$67,227	\$68,482	\$94,082	\$65,661	\$74,883

HOUSE	1992	1994	1996	1998	2000	2002	2004	2006	2008
Candidates	361	323	280	296	265	311	309	269	253
Total raised	\$6,342,000	\$5,662,804	\$5,165,929	\$6,765,000	\$6,718,138	\$7,746,418	\$9,712,553	\$7,785,801	\$8,152,602
Average raised	\$17,568	\$17,532	\$18,450	\$22,855	\$25,351	\$24,908	\$31,432	\$28,943	\$32,223
Total spent	\$6,250,385	\$5,488,771	\$5,065,065	\$6,875,821	\$6,643,626	\$7,799,288	\$10,019,995	\$7,280,768	\$8,207,102
Average spent	\$17,314	\$16,993	\$18,090	\$23,229	\$25,070	\$25,078	\$32,247	\$27,066	\$32,439

# Campaign Finance Activity by Candidates for the Senate 2008

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent W	on/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
Berkshi	re, Hampshire & Fran	klin							
В	Benjamin Downing	Pittsfield	D	I	W	\$40,477.58	\$59,566.00	\$25,577.01	\$74,466.57
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$40,477.58	\$59,566.00	\$25,577.01	\$74,466.57
Bristol 8	& Norfolk								
J	on Rockwood	Walpole	R		L	\$0.00	\$36,559.05	\$35,379.70	\$1,179.35
J	ames Timilty	Walpole	D	I	W	\$22,193.45	\$97,703.00	\$101,560.59	\$18,067.42
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$22,193.45	\$134,262.05	\$136,940.29	\$19,246.77
1st Bris	tol & Plymouth								
J	oan M. Menard	Fall River	D	I	W	\$344,299.54	\$96,525.94	\$120,714.33	\$320,111.15
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$344,299.54	\$96,525.94	\$120,714.33	\$320,111.15
2nd Bris	stol & Plymouth								
R	Raimundo Delgado	New Bedford	D		L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
N	Mark C.W. Montigny	New Bedford	D	I	W	\$1,158,288.97	\$78,609.34	\$71,437.77	\$1,165,460.54
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$1,158,288.97	\$78,609.34	\$71,437.77	\$1,165,460.54

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won/L	ost Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
Cape & Is	slands							
Rol	bert O'Leary	Cummaquid	D	I V	V \$26,224.3	\$8,668.59	\$12,902.38	\$21,990.58
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$26,224.3	\$8,668.59	\$12,902.38	\$21,990.58
1st Essex	X							
Ste	even A. Baddour	Methuen	D	I V	V \$277,080.1	5 \$100,560.61	\$113,980.91	\$263,659.85
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$277,080.1	5 \$100,560.61	\$113,980.91	\$263,659.85
2nd Esse	ex							
Fre	ederick E. Berry	Peabody	D	I V	V \$170,805.0	\$31,168.65	\$75,412.61	\$126,561.04
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$170,805.0	\$31,168.65	\$75,412.61	\$126,561.04
1st Essex	x & Middlesex							
Bru	uce Tarr	Gloucester	R	I V	V \$83,050.3	\$38,640.00	\$16,528.28	\$105,162.05
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$83,050.3	\$38,640.00	\$16,528.28	\$105,162.05
2nd Esse	ex & Middlesex							
Sus	san C. Tucker	Andover	D	I V	V \$130,850.3	\$24,735.00	\$29,443.73	\$126,141.66
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$130,850.3	\$24,735.00	\$29,443.73	\$126,141.66

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won/Lo	st Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
3rd Ess	ex & Middlesex							
Т	homas M. McGee	Lynn	D	I W	\$46,271.96	\$32,875.00	\$37,403.21	\$41,743.75
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$46,271.96	\$32,875.00	\$37,403.21	\$41,743.75
Hampde	en							
S	Stephen J. Buoniconti	W. Springfield	D	I W	\$140,035.63	\$115,538.30	\$59,384.94	\$196,188.99
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$140,035.63	\$115,538.30	\$59,384.94	\$196,188.99
1st Han	npden & Hampshire							
G	Gale D. Candaras	Wilbraham	D	I W	\$52,828.11	\$57,381.29	\$38,182.78	\$72,026.62
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$52,828.11	\$57,381.29	\$38,182.78	\$72,026.62
2nd Hai	mpden & Hampshire							
N	lichael R. Knapik	Westfield	R	I W	\$22,467.85	\$57,524.51	\$64,899.98	\$15,092.38
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$22,467.85	\$57,524.51	\$64,899.98	\$15,092.38
Hampsh	nire & Franklin							
K	Ceith McCormic	Greenfield	R	L	\$0.00	\$6,647.60	\$5,933.26	\$714.34
S	Stanley C. Rosenberg	Amherst	D	I W	\$24,109.81	\$95,554.13	\$67,763.68	\$51,900.26
	Total candidates for seat:	2			\$24,109.81	\$102,201.73	\$73,696.94	\$52,614.60

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Wor	n/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
1st Mi	ddlesex								
	Steven C. Panagiotakos	Lowell	D	I	W	\$131,690.35	\$37,982.87	\$60,522.07	\$109,151.15
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$131,690.35	\$37,982.87	\$60,522.07	\$109,151.15
2nd M	iddlesex								
	Patricia D. Jehlen	Somerville	D	1	W	\$25,181.96	\$25,420.23	\$21,598.76	\$29,003.43
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$25,181.96	\$25,420.23	\$21,598.76	\$29,003.43
3rd Mi	ddlesex								
	Susan C. Fargo	Lincoln	D	1	W	\$49,925.56	\$62,620.65	\$63,422.17	\$49,124.04
	Sandra Martinez	Chelmsford	R		L	\$4,659.96	\$75,837.00	\$79,427.94	\$1,069.02
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$54,585.52	\$138,457.65	\$142,850.11	\$50,193.06
4th Mi	ddlesex								
	Brion Cangiamila	Billerica	R		L	(\$4,478.39)	\$17,058.30	\$12,132.59	\$447.32
	Kenneth J. Donnelly	Arlington	D		W	\$3,723.39	\$170,009.38	\$180,414.87	(\$6,682.10)
	John W. Hurd	Arlington	D		L	\$0.00	\$56,289.47	\$55,144.90	\$1,144.57
	James Marzilli	Arlington	D	1	L	\$16,873.65	\$2,500.00	\$19,096.00	\$277.65
	Total candidates for seat:	4				\$16,118.65	\$245,857.15	\$266,788.36	(\$4,812.56)

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won	/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
Middles	ex & Essex								
F	Richard R. Tisei	Wakefield	R	1	W	\$105,674.53	\$119,899.34	\$126,476.18	\$99,596.44
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$105,674.53	\$119,899.34	\$126,476.18	\$99,596.44
1st Mide	dlesex & Norfolk								
C	Cynthia S. Creem	Newton	D	1	W	\$115,215.92	\$1,375.00	\$16,415.23	\$100,175.69
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$115,215.92	\$1,375.00	\$16,415.23	\$100,175.69
2nd Mic	ldlesex & Norfolk								
K	aren Spilka	Ashland	D	1	W	\$38,290.52	\$27,960.44	\$10,383.69	\$55,867.27
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$38,290.52	\$27,960.44	\$10,383.69	\$55,867.27
Middles	ex, Suffolk & Essex								
٧	incent Dixon	Cambridge	R		L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Д	anthony D. Galluccio	Cambridge	D	1	W	\$6,659.62	\$91,932.00	\$88,646.16	\$9,945.46
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$6,659.62	\$91,932.00	\$88,646.16	\$9,945.46
Middles	ex & Worcester								
J	ames Eldridge	Acton	D		W	\$17,039.34	\$246,810.00	\$259,933.47	\$490.28
S	Steven L. Levy	Marlborough	R		L	\$2,338.97	\$64,488.94	\$65,021.96	\$1,855.95
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$19,378.31	\$311,298.94	\$324,955.43	\$2,346.23

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won/L	ost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
Norfolk,	Bristol & Middlesex								
	cott P. Brown	Wrentham	R	I V	V	\$65,104.53	\$151,772.00	\$148,778.55	\$68,097.98
S	ara Orozco	Needham	D	L	_	\$0.00	\$112,186.00	\$111,999.97	\$186.03
	Total candidates for seat:	2			-	\$65,104.53	\$263,958.00	\$260,778.52	\$68,284.01
Norfolk,	Bristol & Plymouth								
В	rian A. Joyce	Milton	D	I V	V	\$194.15	\$117,377.49	\$104,177.64	\$13,394.00
	Total candidates for seat:	1			-	\$194.15	\$117,377.49	\$104,177.64	\$13,394.00
Norfolk	& Plymouth								
М	lichael W. Morrissey	Quincy	D	I V	V	\$331,623.57	\$126,041.11	\$94,076.69	\$363,587.99
	Total candidates for seat:	1			•	\$331,623.57	\$126,041.11	\$94,076.69	\$363,587.99
Plymout	th & Barnstable								
TI	herese Murray	Plymouth	D	I V	V	\$200,381.37	\$360,158.00	\$397,331.50	\$161,632.87
	Total candidates for seat:	1			-	\$200,381.37	\$360,158.00	\$397,331.50	\$161,632.87
1st Plym	nouth & Bristol								
М	larc R. Pacheco	Taunton	D	I V	V	\$238,288.92	\$71,870.00	\$76,837.59	\$233,321.33
	Total candidates for seat:	1			-	\$238,288.92	\$71,870.00	\$76,837.59	\$233,321.33

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
2nd Plv	mouth & Bristol							
•	homas P. Kennedy	Brockton	D	W	\$135,689.28	\$36,523.37	\$56,315.22	\$115,897.43
	David McGee	Hanson	R	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
_	Total candidates for seat:	2			\$135,689.28	\$36,523.37	\$56,315.22	\$115,897.43
Plymou	th & Norfolk							
F	Robert L. Hedlund, Jr.	E. Weymouth	R	I W	\$156,833.40	\$44,306.32	\$25,523.92	\$175,615.80
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$156,833.40	\$44,306.32	\$25,523.92	\$175,615.80
1st Suff	folk							
Д	Althea Garrison	Roxbury	U	L	\$0.00	\$4,093.86	\$4,093.86	\$0.00
J	lohn A. Hart Jr.	South Boston	D	I W	\$23,778.12	\$134,238.00	\$155,801.26	\$2,214.86
	Total candidates for seat:	2			\$23,778.12	\$138,331.86	\$159,895.12	\$2,214.86
2nd Suf	ffolk							
S	Sonia Chang-Diaz	Jamaica Plain	D	W	\$29,697.38	\$168,497.00	\$182,280.99	\$15,913.39
V	Villiam Theodore Leonard	Boston	S	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Dianne Wilkerson	Boston	D	I L	\$24,872.82	\$198,180.00	\$215,287.94	\$0.00
	Total candidates for seat:	3			\$54,570.20	\$366,677.00	\$397,568.93	\$15,913.39

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Wor	n/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
1st Suffo	lk & Middlesex								
An	thony W. Petruccelli	East Boston	D	I	W	\$19,434.04	\$81,821.00	\$76,963.72	\$24,291.32
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$19,434.04	\$81,821.00	\$76,963.72	\$24,291.32
2nd Suffe	olk & Middlesex								
Ste	even A. Tolman	Brighton	D	I	W	\$126,476.97	\$95,659.00	\$72,977.03	\$149,148.94
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$126,476.97	\$95,659.00	\$72,977.03	\$149,148.94
Suffolk &	Norfolk								
Ма	rian Walsh	W. Roxbury	D	I	W	\$13,914.59	\$38,040.00	\$45,846.34	\$6,108.25
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$13,914.59	\$38,040.00	\$45,846.34	\$6,108.25
1st Word	ester								
На	rriette L. Chandler	Worcester	D	I	W	\$135,261.13	\$89,778.64	\$37,443.55	\$187,596.22
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$135,261.13	\$89,778.64	\$37,443.55	\$187,596.22
2nd Word	cester								
Ste	even W. Baer	Shrewsbury	G		L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Do	uglas A. Belanger	Leicester	D		L	\$0.00	\$74,350.09	\$75,679.73	(\$1,329.64)
Joh	nn I. Lebeaux	Shrewsbury	R		L	\$7,610.70	\$41,563.12	\$45,769.28	\$3,404.54
Mic	chael Moore	Millbury	D		W	\$6,432.48	\$132,829.00	\$132,329.60	\$6,931.88
	Total candidates for seat:	4				\$14,043.18	\$248,742.21	\$253,778.61	\$9,006.78

Distric	t Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent V	Won/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
Worce Frank	ester, Hampden, Hamps lin	shire &							
	Stephen M. Brewer	Barre	D	1	W	\$192,147.12	\$56,046.76	\$68,502.38	\$179,691.50
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$192,147.12	\$56,046.76	\$68,502.38	\$179,691.50
Worc	ester & Middlesex								
	Jennifer Flanagan	Leominster	D		W	\$5,948.14	\$112,105.77	\$105,927.01	\$9,589.03
	Brian Knuuttila	Gardner	D		L	\$1,636.58	\$63,548.81	\$65,185.39	\$0.00
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$7,584.72	\$175,654.58	\$171,112.40	\$9,589.03
Worc	ester & Norfolk								
	Richard T. Moore	Uxbridge	D	I	W	\$53,112.13	\$60,649.01	\$108,963.26	\$4,797.88
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$53,112.13	\$60,649.01	\$108,963.26	\$4,797.88
	Total candidates:	58			_				
	GRAND TOTA	LS:				\$4,820,215.94	\$4,310,074.98	\$4,343,233.57	\$4,772,024.32

# Campaign Finance Activity by Candidates for the House 2008

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won/I	Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
1st Barn	stable								
CI	eon H. Turner	E. Dennis	D	I V	W	\$13,330.63	\$10,960.00	\$5,495.40	\$18,795.23
	Total candidates for seat:	1			_	\$13,330.63	\$10,960.00	\$5,495.40	\$18,795.23
2nd Barı	nstable								
De	emetrius J. Atsalis	Hyannis	D	I V	W	\$3,072.25	\$25,850.00	\$27,559.22	\$1,363.03
Ca	arl D. Yingling	Barnstable	U	L	L	\$0.00	\$1,985.00	\$1,975.46	\$9.54
	Total candidates for seat:	2			_	\$3,072.25	\$27,835.00	\$29,534.68	\$1,372.57
3rd Barr	nstable								
Ca	arey M. Murphy	Waquoit	U	L	L	\$4,093.39	\$19,885.00	\$23,834.14	\$144.25
M	atthew C. Patrick	Waquoit	D	I \	W	\$16,948.76	\$27,880.00	\$34,097.91	\$10,730.85
	Total candidates for seat:	2			_	\$21,042.15	\$47,765.00	\$57,932.05	\$10,875.10
4th Barn	nstable								
Do	onald F. Howell	Harwich	R	L	L	\$87.91	\$16,920.00	\$16,827.93	\$179.98
Sa	arah K. Peake	Provincetown	D	I \	W	\$15,677.00	\$73,982.09	\$60,820.81	\$28,838.28
	Total candidates for seat:	2			_	\$15,764.91	\$90,902.09	\$77,648.74	\$29,018.26

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
5th Ba	rnstable							
	Glenn S. Pare	Sandwich	D	L	\$0.00	\$24,721.00	\$22,750.33	\$1,970.67
	Jeffrey Perry	E. Sandwich	R	I W	\$22,047.66	\$105,746.83	\$125,332.22	\$2,462.27
	Total candidates for seat:	2			\$22,047.66	\$130,467.83	\$148,082.55	\$4,432.94
Barnst	able, Dukes & Nantuck	æt						
	Catherine Bumpus	Woods Hole	D	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Jacob Ferreira	Vineyard Haven	U	L	\$0.00	\$10,927.07	\$10,848.43	\$78.64
	Melissa C. Freitag	West Falmouth	U	L	\$0.00	\$15,149.34	\$16,050.25	(\$900.91)
	Dan Larkosh	Vineyard Haven	D	L	\$733.22	\$41,865.00	\$41,464.33	\$1,133.89
	Timothy W. Lasker	Chilmark	D	L	\$0.00	\$7,775.00	\$3,500.36	\$0.00
	Timothy R. Madden	Nantucket	U	W	\$0.00	\$66,318.05	\$57,029.18	\$9,288.87
	David Moriarty	W. Falmouth	D	L	\$0.00	\$8,967.41	\$8,471.30	\$496.11
	Roger William Wey	Oak Bluffs	D	L	\$0.00	\$14,978.20	\$14,714.61	\$263.59
	Total candidates for seat:	8			\$733.22	\$165,980.07	\$152,078.46	\$10,360.19
1st Be	rkshire							
	Daniel E. Bosley	North Adams	D	I W	\$12,323.06	\$39,495.00	\$41,979.47	\$9,838.59
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$12,323.06	\$39,495.00	\$41,979.47	\$9,838.59

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won/Lo	st Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
2nd Be	rkshire							
	Denis Guyer	Dalton	D	I W	\$17,907.18	\$17,950.00	\$19,544.73	\$22,451.28
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$17,907.18	\$17,950.00	\$19,544.73	\$22,451.28
3rd Be	kshire							
	Christopher N. Speranzo	Pittsfield	D	I W	\$9,825.58	\$15,614.00	\$4,918.50	\$20,521.08
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$9,825.58	\$15,614.00	\$4,918.50	\$20,521.08
4th Bei	kshire							
,	William Pignatelli	Lenox	D	I W	\$28,695.39	\$53,100.00	\$20,343.71	\$61,451.68
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$28,695.39	\$53,100.00	\$20,343.71	\$61,451.68
1st Bris	stol							
	Fred J. Barrows	Mansfield	R	I W	\$18,243.92	\$40,310.00	\$57,364.96	\$1,188.96
	Devin Romanul	Mansfield	D	L	\$0.00	\$8,547.00	\$8,712.44	(\$165.44)
	Total candidates for seat:	2			\$18,243.92	\$48,857.00	\$66,077.40	\$1,023.52
2nd Bri	stol							
	Bill Bowles	Attleboro	D	W	\$1,552.23	\$35,252.35	\$33,893.73	\$2,910.85
ı	Kate M. Jackson	Attleboro	D	L	\$61.60	\$20,179.16	\$19,310.56	\$930.20
	George T. Ross	Attleboro	R	L	\$1,067.24	\$25,300.62	\$25,198.41	\$1,169.45
	Total candidates for seat:	3			\$2,681.07	\$80,732.13	\$78,402.70	\$5,010.50

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent W	on/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
3rd Brist	tol								
Ja	ames H. Fagan	Taunton	D	1	W	\$43,157.53	\$33,139.60	\$34,437.90	\$41,859.23
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$43,157.53	\$33,139.60	\$34,437.90	\$41,859.23
4th Brist	rol								
St	even J. D'Amico	Seekonk	D	1	W	\$11,175.47	\$7,220.00	\$12,325.92	\$6,169.55
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$11,175.47	\$7,220.00	\$12,325.92	\$6,169.55
5th Brist	rol								
Pa	atricia A. Haddad	Somerset	D	1	W	\$78,665.75	\$25,989.73	\$14,297.65	\$90,357.83
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$78,665.75	\$25,989.73	\$14,297.65	\$90,357.83
6th Brist	ol								
Li	nda M. Pereira	Fall River	D		L	\$2,318.32	\$8,076.10	\$18,196.89	(\$7,802.47)
D	avid B. Sullivan	Fall River	D	I	W	\$1,375.89	\$58,736.20	\$53,313.02	\$16,763.87
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$3,694.21	\$66,812.30	\$71,509.91	\$8,961.40
7th Brist	ol								
K	evin Aguiar	Fall River	D	1	W	\$3,101.16	\$31,845.00	\$30,401.28	\$4,544.88
C	J Ferry	Fall River	R		L	\$0.00	\$12,786.36	\$12,353.64	\$432.72
Jo	ohn J. Rodrigues	Fall River	D		L	\$284.00	\$1,345.67	\$1,629.67	\$0.00
	Total candidates for seat:	3				\$3,385.16	\$45,977.03	\$44,384.59	\$4,977.60

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won/Los	t Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
8th Bri	istol							
	Michael J. Rodrigues	Westport	D	I W	\$144,502.22	\$87,578.11	\$72,358.25	\$159,722.08
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$144,502.22	\$87,578.11	\$72,358.25	\$159,722.08
9th Bri	istol							
	John F. Quinn	Dartmouth	D	I W	\$199,487.49	\$37,958.58	\$38,403.52	\$199,042.55
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$199,487.49	\$37,958.58	\$38,403.52	\$199,042.55
10th B	ristol							
	William M. Straus	Mattapoisett	D	I W	\$30,302.57	\$18,323.79	\$17,872.93	\$30,753.43
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$30,302.57	\$18,323.79	\$17,872.93	\$30,753.43
11th B	ristol							
	Robert M. Koczera	New Bedford	D	I W	\$35,287.89	\$14,746.25	\$9,699.11	\$40,335.03
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$35,287.89	\$14,746.25	\$9,699.11	\$40,335.03
12th B	ristol							
	Stephen R. Canessa	New Bedford	D	I W	\$43,996.57	\$37,490.82	\$24,296.74	\$57,190.65
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$43,996.57	\$37,490.82	\$24,296.74	\$57,190.65

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won	/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
13th Br	istol								
,	Antonio F. D. Cabral	New Bedford	D	I	W	\$138,403.75	\$23,799.26	\$14,043.25	\$148,159.76
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$138,403.75	\$23,799.26	\$14,043.25	\$148,159.76
14th Br	istol								
E	Elizabeth A. Poirier	N. Attleboro	R	I	W	\$11,614.34	\$13,855.12	\$15,702.29	\$9,767.17
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$11,614.34	\$13,855.12	\$15,702.29	\$9,767.17
1st Ess	ex								
ı	Michael A. Costello	Newburyport	D	1	W	\$32,090.31	\$48,900.08	\$59,069.74	\$21,920.65
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$32,090.31	\$48,900.08	\$59,069.74	\$21,920.65
2nd Es	sex								
ŀ	Harriett L. Stanley	W. Newbury	D	1	W	\$61,075.47	\$10,647.89	\$10,702.75	\$61,020.61
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$61,075.47	\$10,647.89	\$10,702.75	\$61,020.61
3rd Ess	sex								
E	Brian S. Dempsey	Haverhill	D	1	W	\$101,756.46	\$59,002.36	\$53,010.34	\$107,748.48
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$101,756.46	\$59,002.36	\$53,010.34	\$107,748.48

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Wo	n/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
4th Es	sex								
	Donald R. Bumiller	Lynn	D		L	\$0.00	\$42,999.00	\$40,121.49	\$2,877.51
	Bradford R. Hill	Ipswich	R	1	W	\$544.93	\$27,970.00	\$11,245.52	\$19,149.76
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$544.93	\$70,969.00	\$51,367.01	\$22,027.27
5th Es	sex								
	Astrid afKlinteberg	Gloucester	D		L	\$3,475.00	\$20,796.31	\$24,267.95	\$3.36
	Ann-Margaret Ferrante	Gloucester	D		W	\$16,925.00	\$52,235.00	\$68,499.70	\$660.30
	Anthony J. Verga	Gloucester	D	1	L	\$10,901.79	\$67,038.99	\$76,676.46	\$1,264.32
	Total candidates for seat:	3				\$31,301.79	\$140,070.30	\$169,444.11	\$1,927.98
6th Es	sex								
	Mary Grant	Beverly	D	1	W	\$10,589.02	\$14,061.00	\$13,231.68	\$11,418.34
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$10,589.02	\$14,061.00	\$13,231.68	\$11,418.34
7th Es	sex								
	John D. Keenan Jr.	Salem	D	1	W	\$52,808.27	\$53,173.48	\$32,583.82	\$73,397.93
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$52,808.27	\$53,173.48	\$32,583.82	\$73,397.93

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Wor	n/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
8th Ess	Sex								
	John Blaisdell	Marblehead	R		L	\$5,377.49	\$350.00	\$920.17	\$4,807.32
L	_ori Ehrlich	Marblehead	D	1	W	\$9,733.22	\$25,731.00	\$12,363.57	\$23,100.65
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$15,110.71	\$26,081.00	\$13,283.74	\$27,907.97
9th Ess	sex								
ľ	Mark V. Falzone	Saugus	D	1	W	\$60,004.43	\$22,708.40	\$40,291.80	\$42,421.03
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$60,004.43	\$22,708.40	\$40,291.80	\$42,421.03
10th Es	ssex								
F	Robert F. Fennell	Lynn	D	I	W	\$82,074.80	\$849.00	\$10,603.11	\$72,320.69
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$82,074.80	\$849.00	\$10,603.11	\$72,320.69
11th Es	ssex								
Ş	Steven M. Walsh	Lynn	D	I	W	\$26,329.10	\$21,236.00	\$32,287.61	\$15,277.49
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$26,329.10	\$21,236.00	\$32,287.61	\$15,277.49
12th Es	ssex								
	Joyce Spiliotis	Peabody	D	1	W	\$21,271.12	\$9,350.00	\$3,302.76	\$27,318.36
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$21,271.12	\$9,350.00	\$3,302.76	\$27,318.36

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent W	on/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
13th Es	ssex								
-	Theodore C. Speliotis	Danvers	D	1	W	\$58.33	\$6,025.00	\$1,952.29	\$4,131.04
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$58.33	\$6,025.00	\$1,952.29	\$4,131.04
14th Es	ssex								
1	David M. Torrisi	N. Andover	D	1	W	\$71,227.70	\$25,190.15	\$26,993.95	\$69,423.90
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$71,227.70	\$25,190.15	\$26,993.95	\$69,423.90
15th Es	ssex								
1	_inda Dean Campbell	Methuen	D	1	W	\$15,133.64	\$45,728.82	\$56,932.93	\$3,929.53
(	Christopher DiBella	Methuen	D		L	\$160.46	\$29,625.00	\$28,977.82	\$807.64
,	Joseph A. Leone III	Methuen	U		L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Total candidates for seat:	3				\$15,294.10	\$75,353.82	\$85,910.75	\$4,737.17
16th Es	ssex								
ı	Marcos A. Devers	Lawrence	D		L	\$0.00	\$40,858.00	\$40,677.04	\$403.76
,	William Lantigua	Lawrence	D	I	W	\$5,613.18	\$47,785.00	\$57,460.65	(\$4,062.47)
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$5,613.18	\$88,643.00	\$98,137.69	(\$3,658.71)
17th Es	ssex								
1	Barry R. Finegold	Andover	D	1	W	\$28,126.70	\$51,160.00	\$51,454.96	\$27,831.74
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$28,126.70	\$51,160.00	\$51,454.96	\$27,831.74

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Wo	n/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
18th Es	ssex								
I	_awrence Brennan	Georgetown	R		L	\$259.84	\$28,494.99	\$28,603.07	\$151.76
ı	Barbara L'Italien	Andover	D	1	W	\$15,975.77	\$48,080.00	\$49,751.98	\$14,303.13
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$16,235.61	\$76,574.99	\$78,355.05	\$14,454.89
1st Fra	nklin								
;	Stephen Kulik	Worthington	D	1	W	\$22,499.00	\$9,943.11	\$4,787.91	\$27,654.20
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$22,499.00	\$9,943.11	\$4,787.91	\$27,654.20
2nd Fra	anklin								
(	Christopher J. Donelan	Orange	D	I	W	\$957.17	\$30,295.00	\$19,805.55	\$11,446.62
I	Robert E. Parks	Athol	R		L	\$0.00	\$616.14	\$446.00	\$170.14
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$957.17	\$30,911.14	\$20,251.55	\$11,616.76
1st Har	mpden								
-	Γodd Smola	Three Rivers	R	I	W	\$17,934.33	\$21,298.00	\$18,470.55	\$20,761.78
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$17,934.33	\$21,298.00	\$18,470.55	\$20,761.78

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won/L	ost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
2nd Ha	ampden								
	Brian M Ashe	Longmeadow	D	V	V	\$31.41	\$24,865.00	\$23,766.88	\$1,144.33
	William G. Scibelli	Longmeadow	R	L		\$0.00	\$54,396.50	\$58,506.68	(\$4,110.18)
	Kateri Walsh	Springfield	D	L	-	\$899.42	\$23,286.49	\$23,761.70	\$424.21
	Total candidates for seat:	3			_	\$930.83	\$102,547.99	\$106,035.26	(\$2,541.64)
3rd Ha	mpden								
	Nick Boldyga	Southwick	U	L	-	\$0.00	\$9,197.74	\$9,114.90	\$82.84
	Rosemary Sandlin	Agawam	D	I V	V	\$4,074.15	\$50,733.00	\$36,896.18	\$17,910.97
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$4,074.15	\$59,930.74	\$46,011.08	\$17,993.81
4th Ha	mpden								
	Brian Hoose	Westfield	D	L	-	\$0.00	\$4,312.17	\$4,178.48	\$133.69
	Donald F. Humason Jr.	Westfield	R	I V	V	\$6,614.82	\$28,217.56	\$22,665.65	\$12,166.73
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$6,614.82	\$32,529.73	\$26,844.13	\$12,300.42
5th Ha	mpden								
	Michael F. Kane	Holyoke	D	I V	V	\$13,970.60	\$33,776.28	\$27,779.09	\$19,967.79
	Total candidates for seat:	1			_	\$13,970.60	\$33,776.28	\$27,779.09	\$19,967.79

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won/I	Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
6th Hamp	oden								
Jar	mes T. Welch	W. Springfield	D	1	W	\$28,741.27	\$18,245.00	\$21,759.78	\$25,226.49
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$28,741.27	\$18,245.00	\$21,759.78	\$25,226.49
7th Hamp	oden								
Tho	omas M. Petrolati	Ludlow	D	1	W	\$422,949.20	\$117,182.58	\$55,456.67	\$484,675.11
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$422,949.20	\$117,182.58	\$55,456.67	\$484,675.11
8th Hamp	oden								
Jos	seph F. Wagner	Chicopee	D	1	W	\$36,692.50	\$33,722.85	\$45,028.93	\$25,386.42
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$36,692.50	\$33,722.85	\$45,028.93	\$25,386.42
9th Hamp	oden								
Sea	an Curran	Springfield	D	I	W	\$9,121.17	\$8,750.00	\$11,097.84	\$6,773.33
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$9,121.17	\$8,750.00	\$11,097.84	\$6,773.33
10th Han	npden								
Ch	eryl Rivera	Springfield	D	1	W	\$3,509.27	\$20,251.00	\$17,856.23	\$5,904.04
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$3,509.27	\$20,251.00	\$17,856.23	\$5,904.04

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
11th Hai	mpden							
	helan D. Brown	Springfield	D	L	\$0.00	\$1,900.00	\$1,888.06	\$11.94
	orenzo D. Gaines	Springfield	D	L	\$1,200.00	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$0.00
Be	enjamin Swan	Springfield	D	I W	(\$198.23)	\$23,827.50	\$23,604.24	\$125.03
	Total candidates for seat:	3			\$1,001.77	\$25,727.50	\$26,692.30	\$136.97
12th Hai	mpden							
	ngelo J. Puppolo Jr.	Springfield	D	I W	\$116,626.39	\$70,240.86	\$36,106.80	\$150,760.45
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$116,626.39	\$70,240.86	\$36,106.80	\$150,760.45
1st Ham	pshire							
Pe	eter V. Kocot	Northampton	D	I W	\$1,630.62	\$7,190.00	\$8,730.58	\$90.04
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$1,630.62	\$7,190.00	\$8,730.58	\$90.04
2nd Han	npshire							
Er	mily Lilly	Hadley	R	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Jo	ohn W. Scibak	S. Hadley	D	I W	\$41,212.24	\$22,648.65	\$19,479.09	\$44,381.80
	Total candidates for seat:	2			\$41,212.24	\$22,648.65	\$19,479.09	\$44,381.80
3rd Ham	npshire							
EI	llen Story	Amherst	D	I W	\$14,892.55	\$8,580.20	\$9,508.84	\$13,963.91
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$14,892.55	\$8,580.20	\$9,508.84	\$13,963.91

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
1st Mic	ddlesex							
	Robert S. Hargraves	Groton	R	I W	\$43,884.06	\$17,963.00	\$36,929.12	\$24,917.94
	Zachary Edward Saboliauskas	Pepperell	U	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$450.00	(\$450.00)
	Virginia Wood	Groton	D	L	\$3,950.00	\$33,877.19	\$37,214.02	\$88.16
	Total candidates for seat:	3			\$47,834.06	\$51,840.19	\$74,593.14	\$24,556.10
2nd Mi	ddlesex							
	Jim Arciero	Westford	D	W	\$0.00	\$47,535.00	\$48,388.61	\$729.37
	Paul J. Avella	Littleton	R	L	\$3,000.00	\$46,600.28	\$49,243.88	\$356.40
	William Bunker	Westford	R	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Patricia Wojtas	Chelmsford	D	L	\$0.00	\$6,446.50	\$6,446.50	\$153.93
	Total candidates for seat:	4			\$3,000.00	\$100,581.78	\$104,078.99	\$1,239.70
3rd Mid	ddlesex							
	Leonard H. Golder	Stow	D	L	\$0.00	\$5,224.00	\$4,421.09	\$802.91
	David A. Goulding	Bolton	U	L	\$0.00	\$3,446.80	\$3,253.67	\$193.13
	Kate Hogan	Stow	D	W	\$0.00	\$45,210.18	\$41,371.99	\$3,838.19
	Sonny Parente	Hudson	R	L	\$0.00	\$41,738.11	\$41,727.84	\$10.27
	Ellen S. Sturgis	Stow	D	L	\$0.00	\$22,581.07	\$22,581.07	\$0.00
	Total candidates for seat:	5			\$0.00	\$118,200.16	\$113,355.66	\$4,844.50

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won/Lo	st Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
4th Mi	ddlesex							
	Matthew A. Giancola	Marlborough	D	L	\$0.00	\$4,615.12	\$4,495.71	\$119.41
	Danielle W. Gregoire	Marlborough	D	W	\$120.37	\$39,590.46	\$36,136.67	\$3,574.16
	Joseph L. Valianti	Marlborough	U	L	\$0.00	\$7,819.19	\$7,795.58	\$23.61
	Arthur G. Vigeant	Marlborough	R	L	\$967.05	\$57,227.17	\$57,482.51	\$711.71
	Total candidates for seat:	4			\$1,087.42	\$109,251.94	\$105,910.47	\$4,428.89
5th Mi	ddlesex							
	David P. Linsky	Natick	D	I W	\$3,566.29	\$12,407.97	\$13,581.18	\$2,393.08
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$3,566.29	\$12,407.97	\$13,581.18	\$2,393.08
6th Mi	ddlesex							
	Dawn Harkness	Framingham	U	L	\$83.94	\$22,370.45	\$21,972.66	\$481.73
	Pam Richardson	Framingham	D	I W	\$12,070.61	\$34,692.00	\$43,823.44	\$150.17
	Chris Walsh	Framingham	D	L	\$0.00	\$26,824.99	\$26,672.38	\$152.61
	Total candidates for seat:	3			\$12,154.55	\$83,887.44	\$92,468.48	\$784.51
7th Mi	ddlesex							
	Tom Sannicandro	Ashland	D	I W	\$23,814.34	\$3,749.00	\$6,161.74	\$21,401.60
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$23,814.34	\$3,749.00	\$6,161.74	\$21,401.60

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
8th Mid	dlesex							
C	Carolyn Coyne Dykema	Holliston	D	W	\$11,781.25	\$29,823.00	\$37,463.23	\$4,141.02
	oan Haley	Holliston	R	L	\$0.00	\$104,630.94	\$104,948.52	(\$317.58)
E	dward J. Mills	Hopkinton	D	L	\$0.00	\$37,124.71	\$36,710.65	\$414.06
	Total candidates for seat:	3			\$11,781.25	\$171,578.65	\$179,122.40	\$4,237.50
9th Mide	dlesex							
Т	homas M. Stanley	Waltham	D	I W	\$18,108.50	\$38,800.00	\$27,475.78	\$29,432.72
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$18,108.50	\$38,800.00	\$27,475.78	\$29,432.72
10th Mi	ddlesex							
P	Peter J. Koutoujian	Waltham	D	I W	\$402,687.40	\$108,621.48	\$100,980.65	\$410,328.23
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$402,687.40	\$108,621.48	\$100,980.65	\$410,328.23
11th Mi	ddlesex							
K	ay S. Khan	Newton	D	I W	\$32,368.69	\$18,468.00	\$15,988.41	\$34,848.28
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$32,368.69	\$18,468.00	\$15,988.41	\$34,848.28
12th Mi	ddlesex							
R	Ruth Balser	Newton	D	I W	\$42,273.83	\$86,315.00	\$69,687.82	\$58,901.01
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$42,273.83	\$86,315.00	\$69,687.82	\$58,901.01

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent W	on/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
13th M	liddlesex								
	Thomas P. Conroy	Wayland	D	1	W	\$10,682.06	\$46,296.61	\$35,928.68	\$21,049.99
	Susan W. Pope	Wayland	R		L	\$45,347.55	\$37,133.35	\$58,064.58	\$24,416.32
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$56,029.61	\$83,429.96	\$93,993.26	\$45,466.31
14th M	liddlesex								
	Cory Atkins	Concord	D	1	W	\$4,426.61	\$39,881.10	\$40,282.88	\$4,024.83
	Richard P. McClure	Chelmsford	U		L	\$0.00	\$1,510.00	\$1,831.50	(\$321.50)
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$4,426.61	\$41,391.10	\$42,114.38	\$3,703.33
15th M	liddlesex								
	Jay R. Kaufman	Lexington	D	1	W	\$7,772.79	\$19,876.02	\$24,573.10	\$3,075.71
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$7,772.79	\$19,876.02	\$24,573.10	\$3,075.71
16th M	liddlesex								
	Thomas A. Golden Jr.	Lowell	D	1	W	\$62,225.47	\$48,414.00	\$50,239.74	\$60,399.73
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$62,225.47	\$48,414.00	\$50,239.74	\$60,399.73
17th M	liddlesex								
	David M. Nangle	Lowell	D	1	W	\$4,644.99	\$40,093.77	\$38,293.81	\$6,444.95
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$4,644.99	\$40,093.77	\$38,293.81	\$6,444.95

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent W	on/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
18th Mic	ddlesex								
Ke	evin J. Murphy	Lowell	D	1	W	\$52,102.76	\$24,909.83	\$24,793.00	\$52,219.59
Ke	enneth J. Patrician	Lowell	U		L	\$0.00	\$259.00	\$259.00	\$0.00
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$52,102.76	\$25,168.83	\$25,052.00	\$52,219.59
19th Mic	ddlesex								
Ja	ames R. Miceli	Wilmington	D	1	W	\$857.20	\$11,219.25	\$10,820.42	\$1,256.03
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$857.20	\$11,219.25	\$10,820.42	\$1,256.03
20th Mic	ldlesex								
Ві	radley H. Jones Jr.	North Reading	R	I	W	\$102,074.46	\$65,379.91	\$71,330.49	\$96,123.88
CI	laire M. Paradiso	Reading	D		L	\$0.00	\$3,735.00	\$3,299.29	\$435.71
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$102,074.46	\$69,114.91	\$74,629.78	\$96,559.59
21st Mid	ldlesex								
CI	harles A. Murphy	Burlington	D	I	W	\$36,072.04	\$26,835.00	\$15,037.67	\$47,869.37
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$36,072.04	\$26,835.00	\$15,037.67	\$47,869.37

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent V	Won/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
22nd N	1iddlesex								
	William G. Greene, Jr.	Billerica	D	1	W	\$1,527.13	\$27,514.00	\$24,612.55	\$4,428.58
	Anthony Lucacio	Billerica	R		L	\$0.00	\$13,010.00	\$14,704.44	(\$1,694.44)
	James F. O'Donnell Jr.	Billerica	D		L	\$0.00	\$8,908.57	\$8,908.57	\$0.00
	Total candidates for seat:	3				\$1,527.13	\$49,432.57	\$48,225.56	\$2,734.14
23rd M	iddlesex								
	Sean Garballey	Arlington	D	1	W	\$4,473.83	\$5,592.52	\$7,978.12	\$2,088.23
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$4,473.83	\$5,592.52	\$7,978.12	\$2,088.23
24th M	iddlesex								
	William N. Brownsberger	Belmont	D	1	W	\$32,458.91	\$8,146.29	\$11,341.64	\$29,263.56
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$32,458.91	\$8,146.29	\$11,341.64	\$29,263.56
25th M	iddlesex								
	Alice K. Wolf	Cambridge	D	1	W	\$82,553.31	\$22,380.00	\$18,899.61	\$86,033.70
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$82,553.31	\$22,380.00	\$18,899.61	\$86,033.70
26th M	iddlesex								
	Timothy Toomey	Cambridge	D	1	W	\$32,755.77	\$37,687.25	\$38,460.10	\$31,982.92
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$32,755.77	\$37,687.25	\$38,460.10	\$31,982.92

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Wor	n/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
27th M	iddlesex								
	Denise Provost	Somerville	D	I	W	\$12,041.19	\$10,623.86	\$2,112.17	\$20,552.88
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$12,041.19	\$10,623.86	\$2,112.17	\$20,552.88
28th M	iddlesex								
,	Wayne Matewsky	Everett	D		L	\$0.00	\$23,688.75	\$23,688.75	\$0.00
;	Stephen Stat Smith	Everett	D	I	W	\$32,228.17	\$35,597.65	\$40,078.11	\$27,747.71
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$32,228.17	\$59,286.40	\$63,766.86	\$27,747.71
29th M	iddlesex								
:	Stephen Corbett	Watertown	D		L	\$0.00	\$14,234.46	\$14,234.46	\$0.00
,	Julia Fahey	Watertown	D		L	\$0.00	\$55,545.00	\$42,401.82	\$13,143.18
	Jonathan Hecht	Watertown	D		W	\$43.18	\$101,209.00	\$98,261.73	\$2,990.45
	Total candidates for seat:	3				\$43.18	\$170,988.46	\$154,898.01	\$16,133.63
30th M	iddlesex								
,	James Dwyer	Woburn	D		W	\$10,377.81	\$74,517.00	\$84,083.88	\$810.93
	Patrick M. Natale	Woburn	D	I	L	\$6,908.00	\$31,288.00	\$34,807.00	\$3,389.00
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$17,285.81	\$105,805.00	\$118,890.88	\$4,199.93

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
31st Mic	ddlesex							
J	ason Lewis	Winchester	D	W	\$34,843.26	\$98,165.00	\$131,013.12	\$1,995.14
Е	Brian P. O'Connor	Winchester	R	L	\$19.55	\$28,082.14	\$27,893.35	\$208.34
C	Chad Riley	Winchester	U	L	\$0.00	\$43,373.00	\$43,813.15	(\$440.15)
N	/lichael J. Rotondi	Stoneham	R	L	\$2,249.43	\$23,425.00	\$23,442.79	(\$768.36)
	Total candidates for seat:	4			\$37,112.24	\$193,045.14	\$226,162.41	\$994.97
32nd M	iddlesex							
K	Katherine Clark	Melrose	D	I W	\$30,090.49	\$13,096.80	\$14,864.50	\$28,322.79
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$30,090.49	\$13,096.80	\$14,864.50	\$28,322.79
33rd Mi	ddlesex							
C	Christopher G. Fallon	Malden	D	I W	\$25,656.49	\$37,475.00	\$46,839.41	\$16,292.08
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$25,656.49	\$37,475.00	\$46,839.41	\$16,292.08
34th Mi	ddlesex							
C	Carl Sciortino, Jr.	Medford	D	I W	\$7,620.71	\$108,529.87	\$115,591.14	\$559.44
F	Robert Trane	Somerville	D	L	\$6,338.00	\$35,615.00	\$35,737.49	\$6,215.51
	Total candidates for seat:	2			\$13,958.71	\$144,144.87	\$151,328.63	\$6,774.95

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won/Lo	ost Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
35th Midd	dlesex							
Α	James Caralis	Medford	D	L	\$0.00	\$8,495.00	\$7,533.30	\$961.70
Pa	ul J. Donato	Medford	D	I W	\$92,700.89	\$102,496.76	\$124,882.37	\$70,315.28
Pat	trick McCabe	Medford	D	L	\$11,137.22	\$19,464.00	\$30,424.92	\$1,426.30
	Total candidates for seat:	3			\$103,838.11	\$130,455.76	\$162,840.59	\$72,703.28
36th Midd	dlesex							
Col	lleen M. Garry	Dracut	D	I W	\$15,946.70	\$2,310.00	\$3,906.69	\$14,350.01
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$15,946.70	\$2,310.00	\$3,906.69	\$14,350.01
37th Midd	dlesex							
Jer	nnifer Benson	Lunenburg	D	W	\$0.00	\$63,727.50	\$54,768.01	\$8,959.49
Kui	rt Hayes	Boxborough	R	L	\$0.00	\$26,402.00	\$24,652.76	\$1,749.24
	Total candidates for seat:	2			\$0.00	\$90,129.50	\$79,420.77	\$10,708.73
1st Norfo	olk							
Bru	uce J. Ayers	N. Quincy	D	I W	\$56,967.18	\$22,713.66	\$27,720.23	\$51,960.61
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$56,967.18	\$22,713.66	\$27,720.23	\$51,960.61
2nd Norfo	olk							
Arti	hur Stephen Tobin	Quincy	D	I W	\$77,241.33	\$17,059.48	\$29,741.77	\$64,459.04
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$77,241.33	\$17,059.48	\$29,741.77	\$64,459.04

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won/L	Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
3rd Norfo	olk								
Ro	nald Mariano	Quincy	D	I \	W	\$312,008.25	\$79,816.65	\$43,351.62	\$348,473.28
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$312,008.25	\$79,816.65	\$43,351.62	\$348,473.28
4th Norfo	olk								
Jai	mes Murphy	Weymouth	D	I V	N	\$41,433.30	\$31,970.59	\$61,637.69	\$11,766.20
Ro	bert Montgomery Thomas	Weymouth	R	ι	-	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$41,433.30	\$31,970.59	\$61,637.69	\$11,766.20
5th Norfo	olk								
Jos	seph R. Driscoll	Braintree	D	I V	N	\$43,894.39	\$54,884.00	\$39,949.77	\$58,828.62
Ric	chard A. Moran	Braintree	D	L	-	\$0.00	\$2,636.85	\$2,108.50	\$528.35
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$43,894.39	\$57,520.85	\$42,058.27	\$59,356.97
6th Norfo	olk								
Wi	lliam C. Galvin	Canton	D	I V	N	\$32,048.95	\$7,860.00	\$10,370.73	\$29,538.22
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$32,048.95	\$7,860.00	\$10,370.73	\$29,538.22
7th Norfo	olk								
Wa	alter F. Timilty	Milton	D	I V	W	\$32,621.36	\$61,630.00	\$31,983.06	\$62,268.30
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$32,621.36	\$61,630.00	\$31,983.06	\$62,268.30

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent V	Won/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
8th No	rfolk								
	Louis L. Kafka	Stoughton	D	I	W	\$2,066.70	\$25,016.82	\$21,877.47	\$5,206.05
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$2,066.70	\$25,016.82	\$21,877.47	\$5,206.05
9th No	rfolk								
	Thomas Roache	Medfield	U		L	\$0.00	\$11,045.18	\$10,845.61	\$199.57
	Richard Ross	Wrentham	R	I	W	\$30,904.00	\$48,233.18	\$74,252.01	\$5,085.17
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$30,904.00	\$59,278.36	\$85,097.62	\$5,284.74
10th N	orfolk								
	James E. Vallee	Franklin	D	1	W	\$85,369.78	\$37,372.24	\$40,829.50	\$86,073.31
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$85,369.78	\$37,372.24	\$40,829.50	\$86,073.31
11th N	orfolk								
	Paul McMurtry	Dedham	D	1	W	\$2,120.59	\$19,551.00	\$16,039.21	\$5,632.38
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$2,120.59	\$19,551.00	\$16,039.21	\$5,632.38
12th N	orfolk								
	John H. Rogers	Norwood	D	1	W	\$79,278.13	\$81,560.37	\$112,748.27	\$48,359.73
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$79,278.13	\$81,560.37	\$112,748.27	\$48,359.73

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent W	on/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
13th N	orfolk								
	Lida E. Harkins	Needham	D	I	W	\$10,971.75	\$27,068.77	\$28,697.54	\$9,342.98
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$10,971.75	\$27,068.77	\$28,697.54	\$9,342.98
14th N	orfolk								
	Lawrence R. Kaplan	Wellesley	D		L	\$0.00	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$0.00
	Alice Hanlon Peisch	Wellesley	D	I	W	\$10,697.26	\$60,291.62	\$64,399.57	\$6,589.31
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$10,697.26	\$70,291.62	\$74,399.57	\$6,589.31
15th N	orfolk								
	Frank I. Smizik	Brookline	D	I	W	\$9,422.94	\$7,590.00	\$9,169.41	\$7,843.53
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$9,422.94	\$7,590.00	\$9,169.41	\$7,843.53
1st Ply	rmouth								
	Vinny deMacedo	Plymouth	R	1	W	\$22,826.75	\$28,740.00	\$40,335.88	\$11,230.87
	Jay D. Ferguson	Plymouth	D		L	\$0.00	\$2,220.00	\$1,851.55	\$368.45
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$22,826.75	\$30,960.00	\$42,187.43	\$11,599.32
2nd Pl	ymouth								
	Susan D. Williams Gifford	Wareham	R	I	W	\$38,262.00	\$18,575.03	\$6,299.05	\$50,537.68
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$38,262.00	\$18,575.03	\$6,299.05	\$50,537.68

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won/	Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
3rd Ply	mouth								
(	Garrett J. Bradley	Hingham	D	I	W	\$100,453.58	\$41,134.78	\$66,470.22	\$75,118.14
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$100,453.58	\$41,134.78	\$66,470.22	\$75,118.14
4th Plyi	mouth								
	James M. Cantwell	Marshfield	D		W	\$0.00	\$76,686.04	\$68,811.90	\$7,874.14
	James M. Gilmore	Scituate	D		L	\$0.00	\$38,942.07	\$37,364.04	\$1,237.99
9	Stephen A. Lynch	Marshfield	D		L	\$413.00	\$8,419.87	\$8,181.87	\$651.00
N	Michael A. Maresco	Marshfield	D		L	\$0.00	\$28,165.00	\$27,000.34	\$1,164.66
	John M. Valianti	Marshfield	U		L	\$0.00	\$17,862.00	\$17,706.16	\$155.84
	Total candidates for seat:	5				\$413.00	\$170,074.98	\$159,064.31	\$11,083.63
5th Plyi	mouth								
F	Robert J. Nyman	Hanover	D	1	W	\$5,857.16	\$19,295.00	\$19,898.82	\$5,253.34
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$5,857.16	\$19,295.00	\$19,898.82	\$5,253.34
6th Plyi	mouth								
[	Daniel K. Webster	Pembroke	R	1	W	\$2,141.91	\$12,735.00	\$9,480.89	\$5,546.02
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$2,141.91	\$12,735.00	\$9,480.89	\$5,546.02

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Wo	on/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
7th Plym	outh								
Alle	en J. McCarthy	East Bridgewater	r D	I	W	\$45.79	\$22,966.18	\$16,605.66	\$6,406.31
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$45.79	\$22,966.18	\$16,605.66	\$6,406.31
8th Plym	outh								
Da	vid L. Flynn	Bridgewater	D	I	W	\$3,537.16	\$34,600.00	\$17,006.55	\$21,130.61
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$3,537.16	\$34,600.00	\$17,006.55	\$21,130.61
9th Plym	outh								
Mic	chael D. Brady	Brockton	D		W	\$0.00	\$42,153.78	\$39,005.70	\$3,148.08
La	wrence P. Novak	Brockton	R		L	\$0.00	\$40,658.00	\$40,358.25	\$299.75
Ro	bert F. Sullivan	Brockton	D		L	\$3,974.45	\$39,570.00	\$43,342.40	\$202.05
Co	nor Yunits	Brockton	D		L	\$0.00	\$22,375.68	\$22,375.68	\$0.00
	Total candidates for seat:	4				\$3,974.45	\$144,757.46	\$145,082.03	\$3,649.88
10th Plyr	nouth								
Ch	ristine E. Canavan	Brockton	D	I	W	\$19,100.93	\$6,281.92	\$12,037.00	\$13,345.85
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$19,100.93	\$6,281.92	\$12,037.00	\$13,345.85
11th Plyr	nouth								
Ge	raldine Creedon	Brockton	D	I	W	\$8,676.06	\$15,050.00	\$11,378.75	\$12,347.31
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$8,676.06	\$15,050.00	\$11,378.75	\$12,347.31

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won	/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
12th F	lymouth								
	Thomas Calter	Kingston	D	1	W	\$7,651.36	\$20,009.22	\$22,082.84	\$5,577.74
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$7,651.36	\$20,009.22	\$22,082.84	\$5,577.74
1st Su	ffolk								
	Carlo Basile	E. Boston	D	1	W	\$5,843.73	\$86,045.00	\$70,262.35	\$21,626.38
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$5,843.73	\$86,045.00	\$70,262.35	\$21,626.38
2nd S	uffolk								
	Eugene L. O'Flaherty	Chelsea	D	1	W	\$84,947.27	\$47,310.00	\$68,187.77	\$64,194.50
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$84,947.27	\$47,310.00	\$68,187.77	\$64,194.50
3rd Su	iffolk								
	Salvatore F. DiMasi	Boston	D	1	W	\$391,732.87	\$312,174.30	\$475,984.12	\$227,923.05
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$391,732.87	\$312,174.30	\$475,984.12	\$227,923.05
4th Su	ffolk								
	Brian P. Wallace	South Boston	D	1	W	\$976.04	\$50,430.00	\$51,259.34	\$146.70
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$976.04	\$50,430.00	\$51,259.34	\$146.70

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Wo	on/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
5th Suffo	olk								
Ro	by Owens	Boston	D		L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Ma	arie St. Fleur	Dorchester	D	1	W	\$12,596.37	\$64,172.40	\$76,762.32	\$6.45
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$12,596.37	\$64,172.40	\$76,762.32	\$6.45
6th Suffo	olk								
Wi	illie Mae Allen	Mattapan	D	I	W	\$4,294.26	\$24,084.00	\$28,242.44	\$135.82
Fa	ustina Kathy Gabriel	Boston	D		L	\$0.00	\$5,144.35	\$4,721.70	\$422.65
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$4,294.26	\$29,228.35	\$32,964.14	\$558.47
7th Suffo	olk								
Gl	oria L. Fox	Roxbury	D	I	W	\$8,282.28	\$680.00	\$3,703.54	\$5,258.74
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$8,282.28	\$680.00	\$3,703.54	\$5,258.74
8th Suffo	olk								
Ma	arty Walz	Boston	D	I	W	\$29,864.76	\$52,910.00	\$13,636.78	\$69,137.98
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$29,864.76	\$52,910.00	\$13,636.78	\$69,137.98
9th Suffo	olk								
Ву	rron Rushing	Boston	D	1	W	\$19,051.36	\$925.00	\$1,023.32	\$18,953.04
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$19,051.36	\$925.00	\$1,023.32	\$18,953.04

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won/I	Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
10th S	uffolk								
	Pamela Julian	Brookline	D	I	L	\$0.00	\$4,578.00	\$4,572.17	\$5.83
	Michael F. Rush	West Roxbury	D	1	W	\$9,129.85	\$45,733.18	\$46,410.86	\$7,871.92
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$9,129.85	\$50,311.18	\$50,983.03	\$7,877.75
11th S	uffolk								
	Elizabeth A. Malia	Jamaica Plain	D	1 '	W	\$6,589.66	\$9,640.00	\$11,624.51	\$4,595.20
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$6,589.66	\$9,640.00	\$11,624.51	\$4,595.20
12th S	uffolk								
	Linda Dorcena Forry	Dorchester	D	1 '	W	\$37,863.82	\$33,000.00	\$30,517.75	\$40,346.07
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$37,863.82	\$33,000.00	\$30,517.75	\$40,346.07
13th S	uffolk								
	Martin J. Walsh	Dorchester	D	1	W	\$126,070.51	\$66,665.00	\$88,002.39	\$104,733.12
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$126,070.51	\$66,665.00	\$88,002.39	\$104,733.12
14th S	uffolk								
	Angelo M. Scaccia	Readville	D	1	W	\$72,696.28	\$33,746.80	\$28,998.24	\$77,444.84
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$72,696.28	\$33,746.80	\$28,998.24	\$77,444.84

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won/Lo	st Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
15th S	uffolk							
	Jeffrey Sanchez	Jamaica Plain	D	I W	\$28,731.30	\$27,175.00	\$25,205.27	\$30,701.03
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$28,731.30	\$27,175.00	\$25,205.27	\$30,701.03
16th S	uffolk							
	Kathi-Anne Reinstein	Revere	D	I W	\$8,415.66	\$31,860.09	\$38,985.28	\$1,275.47
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$8,415.66	\$31,860.09	\$38,985.28	\$1,275.47
17th S	uffolk							
	Kevin G. Honan	Brighton	D	I W	\$68,210.85	\$47,735.00	\$40,264.52	\$75,681.33
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$68,210.85	\$47,735.00	\$40,264.52	\$75,681.33
18th S	uffolk							
	Michael Moran	Brighton	D	I W	\$9,296.55	\$29,028.81	\$34,290.04	\$4,035.32
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$9,296.55	\$29,028.81	\$34,290.04	\$4,035.32
19th S	uffolk							
	Robert A. DeLeo	Winthrop	D	I W	\$224,704.30	\$273,681.79	\$169,869.01	\$327,757.08
	Total candidates for seat:	1			\$224,704.30	\$273,681.79	\$169,869.01	\$327,757.08

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Won	/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
1st Wo	rcester								
	Lewis G. Evangelidis	Holden	R	I	W	\$48,500.91	\$11,835.00	\$17,448.95	\$42,950.60
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$48,500.91	\$11,835.00	\$17,448.95	\$42,950.60
2nd W	orcester								
	Carolyn A. Kamuda	Gardner	U		L	\$0.00	\$526.68	\$526.68	\$0.00
	Robert L. Rice, Jr.	Gardner	D	I	W	\$12,605.26	\$13,280.74	\$14,012.32	\$11,873.68
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$12,605.26	\$13,807.42	\$14,539.00	\$11,873.68
3rd Wo	orcester								
;	Stephen Louis DiNatale	Fitchburg	D	1	W	\$21,243.03	\$12,082.00	\$2,682.23	\$30,642.80
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$21,243.03	\$12,082.00	\$2,682.23	\$30,642.80
4th Wo	orcester								
	Claire M. Freda	Leominster	U		L	\$256.92	\$20,062.00	\$20,083.08	\$235.84
	Dennis Rosa	Leominster	D		W	\$47.73	\$42,423.81	\$39,429.24	\$3,042.30
;	Susan Chalifoux Zephir	Leominster	D		L	\$0.00	\$36,665.00	\$34,363.94	\$2,301.06
	Total candidates for seat:	3				\$304.65	\$99,150.81	\$93,876.26	\$5,579.20

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent Wo	on/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
5th W	orcester								
	Stephen J. Comtois II	Brookfield	R		L	\$2,303.97	\$8,420.37	\$10,651.17	\$73.17
	Anne Gobi	Spencer	D	1	W	\$2,680.77	\$16,075.00	\$14,483.18	\$4,272.59
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$4,984.74	\$24,495.37	\$25,134.35	\$4,345.76
6th W	orcester								
	Geraldo Alicea	Charlton	D	1	W	\$22,346.99	\$45,967.00	\$66,868.63	\$1,445.36
	Ronald Chernisky	Southbridge	R		L	\$4,074.02	\$32,989.00	\$35,399.60	\$474.81
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$26,421.01	\$78,956.00	\$102,268.23	\$1,920.17
7th W	orcester								
	Daniel Carpenter	Auburn	D		L	\$32.90	\$9,681.15	\$9,390.15	\$323.90
	Stephen Consilvio	Auburn	D		L	\$0.00	\$667.00	\$667.00	\$0.00
	Paul K. Frost	Auburn	R	I	W	\$13,599.22	\$31,000.80	\$37,588.27	\$7,011.75
	Total candidates for seat:	3				\$13,632.12	\$41,348.95	\$47,645.42	\$7,335.65
8th W	orcester								
	Paul Kujawski	Webster	D	1	W	\$10,981.87	\$61,195.08	\$70,214.94	\$1,962.01
	Kevin J. Kuros	Uxbridge	R		L	\$2,005.00	\$18,555.00	\$18,374.79	\$2,185.21
	Richard E. Preston	Douglas	D		L	\$125.13	\$3,605.43	\$3,696.96	\$33.62
	Total candidates for seat:	3				\$13,112.00	\$83,355.51	\$92,286.69	\$4,180.84

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent W	on/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
9th W	orcester								
	George N. Peterson Jr.	Grafton	R	1	W	\$14,825.83	\$27,710.00	\$26,635.72	\$15,900.11
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$14,825.83	\$27,710.00	\$26,635.72	\$15,900.11
10th V	Vorcester								
	John V. Fernandes	Milford	D	I	W	\$13,586.05	\$21,022.90	\$7,930.12	\$26,678.83
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$13,586.05	\$21,022.90	\$7,930.12	\$26,678.83
11th V	Vorcester								
	Karyn E. Polito	Shrewsbury	R	1	W	\$141,877.06	\$47,200.00	\$50,999.85	\$138,077.21
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$141,877.06	\$47,200.00	\$50,999.85	\$138,077.21
12th V	Vorcester								
	Stephen J. Kerrigan	Lancaster	D		L	\$0.00	\$49,071.00	\$47,414.56	\$1,656.44
	Harold P. Naughton Jr.	Clinton	D	I	W	\$9,494.04	\$97,079.53	\$106,053.26	\$520.31
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$9,494.04	\$146,150.53	\$153,467.82	\$2,176.75
13th V	Vorcester								
	John Mahoney	Worcester	U		L	\$0.00	\$19,530.00	\$19,452.09	\$687.00
	Robert Spellane	Worcester	D	I	W	\$42,771.36	\$52,546.61	\$66,355.08	\$26,829.51
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$42,771.36	\$72,076.61	\$85,807.17	\$27,516.51

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party	Incumbent W	Von/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
14th W	/orcester								
	James O'Day	W. Boylston	D	I	W	\$6,661.92	\$22,523.85	\$11,432.53	\$17,853.24
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$6,661.92	\$22,523.85	\$11,432.53	\$17,853.24
15th W	/orcester								
	Vincent A. Pedone	Worcester	D	1	W	\$31,008.01	\$34,435.00	\$43,009.56	\$22,739.20
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$31,008.01	\$34,435.00	\$43,009.56	\$22,739.20
16th W	/orcester								
	John P. Fresolo	Worcester	D	I	W	\$53,127.97	\$30,199.87	\$34,351.97	\$48,975.87
	Mathew T. Taylor	Worcester	U		L	\$7,000.00	\$2,670.00	\$3,382.50	\$6,287.50
	Total candidates for seat:	2				\$60,127.97	\$32,869.87	\$37,734.47	\$55,263.37
17th W	/orcester								
	John J. Binienda, Sr.	Worcester	D	I	W	\$362,606.33	\$47,170.00	\$41,208.84	\$368,567.49
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$362,606.33	\$47,170.00	\$41,208.84	\$368,567.49
18th W	/orcester								
	Jennifer Callahan	Sutton	D	1	W	\$39,417.13	\$31,090.00	\$43,811.19	\$26,695.94
	Total candidates for seat:	1				\$39,417.13	\$31,090.00	\$43,811.19	\$26,695.94

District	Candidate	City/Town	Party Incumbent Won/Lost	Start	Receipts	Expenditures	End
	Total candidates:	253					
	GRAND TOTA	ALS:	\$6,7	703,525.38	\$8,152,602.20	\$8,207,102.70	\$6,660,700.32